

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 224**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Advani v. Park Mead Condominium Assn. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Ascentium Capital, LLC v. Aero-Precision MFG, LLC (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
Avon v. Sastre . . . . .	155
<i>Administrative appeal; alleged violations of Freedom of Information Act (§ 1-200 et seq.); claim that trial court erred in finding that public employee's log of incidents regarding town's chief of police was public record as defined in statute (§ 1-200 (5)); claim that trial court erred in concluding that log was not exempt from disclosure as privileged attorney-client communication pursuant to statute (§ 1-210 (b) (10)).</i>	
Bartolotta v. Human Resources Agency of New Britain, Inc. . . . .	248
<i>Employment discrimination; summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant employer's motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's claims of violation of Palliative Use of Marijuana Act (§ 21a-408 et seq.), discrimination on basis of disability and failure to accommodate disability in violation of provision (§ 46a-60 (b) (1)) of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.), and violation of drug testing statute (§ 31-51x); claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant improperly terminated plaintiff's employment due to her status as person qualified to use medical marijuana; claim that trial court employed improper legal standard in evaluating plaintiff's disability discrimination claim; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant's stated reason for terminating plaintiff's employment was pretextual; whether plaintiff's claim that defendant improperly denied her request for accommodation was time barred by statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 46a-82 (f)); claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether plaintiff made request for medical marijuana accommodation and whether defendant violated § 46a-60 (b) (1) by denying such request; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant violated § 31-51x by requiring plaintiff to take drug test without reasonable suspicion.</i>	
Bernier v. Travelers Property Casualty Ins. Co. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
Clark v. Quantitative Strategies Group, LLC . . . . .	224
<i>Application for bank execution to satisfy domesticated judgment against defendant; motion for exemption from execution pursuant to statute ((Supp. 2022) § 52-367b); claim that plaintiff judgment creditors executed on bank accounts that did not belong to defendant; whether trial court properly found that bank accounts at issue were joint accounts and were not exempt from execution under § 52-367b; whether trial court correctly concluded that defendant's asserted exemption was not recognized or enumerated exemption that he was entitled to assert under § 52-367b; reviewability of defendant's claim for determination of interests pursuant to statute (§ 52-356c); whether defendant was authorized by § 52-356c to challenge bank's determination that he was co-owner of bank accounts by pursuing claim for determination of interests.</i>	
De Almeida-Kennedy v. Kennedy . . . . .	19
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for modification of unallocated alimony and child support; claim that trial court abused its discretion in determining that change in residence of parties' child did not constitute substantial change in circumstances; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for modification of unallocated alimony and child support without determining child support component of unallocated support order; procedure applicable on remand to financial aspects of modification of child support in context of unallocated support order, discussed; claim that trial court improperly interpreted alimony component of unallocated support order as set forth in parties' separation agreement to be nonmodifiable; whether trial court applied incorrect standard of law; claim</i>	

	<i>that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to modify alimony component of unallocated support order because it disallowed testimony of parties' child as to alleged cohabitation of plaintiff.</i>	
Delgado v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		283
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas counsel in petitioner's second habeas action rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claims that petitioner's criminal trial counsel and counsel on direct appeal from conviction rendered ineffective assistance; whether criminal trial counsel's decision not to request self-defense instruction constituted deficient performance; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to object to jury instruction on intent element of murder; claim that petitioner's counsel on direct appeal from conviction rendered ineffective assistance by improperly failing to raise issue of incorrect instruction on intent element of murder.</i>	
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		93
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner was deprived of due process right to fair trial because appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claims on direct appeal from petitioner's criminal conviction that petitioner's criminal trial counsel failed to raise claims of prosecutorial impropriety and claim that state violated Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) by failing to provide petitioner with complete copy of police detective's notes; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by prosecutor's comment during closing argument to jury that petitioner had told detective "some BS" and prosecutor's use of term "victim" during trial; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by prosecutor's statement that witness who had not testified was in courtroom during prosecutor's closing argument to jury.</i>	
Greer v. State . . . . .		1
	<i>Petition for new trial; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's petition for new trial based on newly discovered evidence; whether trial court properly determined that petitioner's purported new evidence, which consisted wholly of witness affidavit and testimony, would not, if introduced at new trial, likely result in different outcome.</i>	
Hine Builders, LLC v. Glasscock . . . . .		185
	<i>Arbitration; motion to compel arbitration; motion to terminate automatic appellate stay; whether defendants' appeal was rendered moot by commencement of arbitration proceedings following trial court's order to commence arbitration proceedings.</i>	
Kusielias v. Zingaro & Cretella, LLC . . . . .		192
	<i>Legal malpractice; motion for summary judgment; motion to reargue and reconsider; motion for judgment of nonsuit; accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592 (a)); claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment for defendants; claim that plaintiff's action was not time barred by applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-577) because action fell within purview of § 52-592; whether judgment of nonsuit rendered in prior action was result of matter of form for purposes of § 52-592; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion to reargue and reconsider its ruling on defendants' motion for summary judgment.</i>	
Marshall v. Marshall . . . . .		45
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion by basing alimony and child support orders on plaintiff's reported income rather than on her more recent partnership distributions; claim that trial court abused its discretion by basing alimony and child support orders on plaintiff's reported income rather than on her earning capacity.</i>	
Northeast Building Supply, LLC v. Morrill . . . . .		137
	<i>Prejudgment remedy; vexatious litigation; subject matter jurisdiction; whether plaintiff had standing to pursue application for prejudgment remedy predicated on vexatious litigation claims that had been assigned to it from different entity.</i>	
Priti, LLC v. Shakespeare (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		902
Rapp v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		336
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court failed to apply correct legal standard under statute (§ 52-470 (c) and (e)) in deciding that petitioner had not demonstrated good cause for late filing of habeas petition when prior habeas counsel allegedly failed to advise petitioner of time limits imposed by § 52-470 (c) and (e); import of decision in Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (348 Conn. 333), holding that ineffective assistance of counsel is objective factor external to petitioner that may constitute good cause to excuse late filing of habeas petition under § 52-470 (c) and (e), discussed.</i>	

Rodriguez v. Hartford . . . . . 314  
*Negligence; motion for summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiff's requests to amend her complaint; whether trial court erred in addressing sua sponte whether proposed new claims were barred by applicable statutes of limitations (§§ 52-577 and 52-584); claim that trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff's complaint failed to set forth claim of public nuisance; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on basis of its conclusion that negligence claims against defendant city and defendant city forester were barred by governmental immunity.*

Sachem Capital Corp. v. Yoney (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 901

Stanley v. Grant (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 903

Supronowicz v. Eaton . . . . . 66  
*Adverse possession; claim that trial court erred in granting defendants' motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs could not establish claim of adverse possession as matter of law; claim that trial court erred in determining that plaintiffs failed to demonstrate that privity existed between themselves and their predecessors in title for purposes of tacking periods of possession; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issues of material fact remained regarding whether plaintiffs acknowledged defendants' superior title to disputed area and whether plaintiffs' use of disputed area was exclusive.*

Torrington v. Council 4, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 442 . . . . . 237  
*Arbitration; appeal from trial court's judgment vacating arbitration award and remanding matter for new arbitration hearing; motion to dismiss appeal; claim that this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over defendants' appeal because appeal was not taken from final judgment; whether statutes (§§ 52-407cc and 52-423) governing arbitration proceedings in context of municipal employee contract grievances provided right of appeal from judgment vacating arbitration award.*