

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 222

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Bennett v. Commissioner of Correction	689
<i>Habeas corpus; motion to open or vacate judgment; claim that trial court improperly denied petitioner's motion to open or vacate judgment denying his petition for writ of habeas corpus; failure of petitioner to address threshold question of whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal.</i>	
Bridgeport v. Freedom of Information Commission	17
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly sustained plaintiffs' appeal from final decision of defendant Freedom of Information Commission finding plaintiffs violated Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (§ 1-200 et seq.) in their handling of incarcerated individual's request for documents related to his criminal murder investigation; whether trial court exceeded scope of judicial review when it concluded that commission lacked jurisdiction over individual's complaint on unpreserved issue regarding individual's purported waiver of four day response period set forth in statute (§ 1-206 (a)); claim that commission's interpretation of § 1-206 (a) as authorizing individual to file complaint with commission after four business days from date of request was consistent with plain language of statute; claim that executive director of commission violated statute (§ 1-206 (b) (2)) by failing to seek and obtain leave of commission before scheduling hearing on complaint; whether commission abused its discretion by declining to dismiss complaint without evidentiary hearing; claim that commission should have refused to consider propriety of plaintiffs' claimed exemptions under FOIA; whether commission's finding that plaintiffs failed to prove that their redactions to responsive records were proper was reasonably supported by substantial evidence in record.</i>	
Brown v. Commissioner of Correction	278
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that trial counsel's failure to call eyewitness identification expert at petitioner's criminal trial did not constitute constitutionally deficient performance that prejudiced petitioner.</i>	
Cokic v. Fiore Powersports, LLC	216
<i>Motion for attorney's fees; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting defendant's postjudgment motion for attorney's fees in connection with dispute with plaintiff over repairs to jet ski; whether trial court failed to set forth, with high degree of specificity, factual findings necessary to support its determination that plaintiff's claims were without color and had been brought in bad faith.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Forbes (Memorandum Decision)	901
Dusto v. Rogers Corp.	71
<i>Negligence; workplace asbestos exposure; motion for summary judgment; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant employer's motion for summary judgment on ground that plaintiff's claims were barred by exclusivity provision of Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.); whether plaintiff had established genuine issue of material fact as to whether her claims against decedent's employer satisfied substantial certainty exception to exclusivity provision of act; factors set forth in <i>Lucenti v. Laviero</i> (327 Conn. 764) for determining whether plaintiff has satisfied substantial certainty exception, discussed; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss filed by defendant Wisconsin company that sold asbestos materials to decedent's employer for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on ground that plaintiff did not file her claims within two years of date of publication of notice of dissolution by Wisconsin company's insurers.</i>	
Finance of America Reverse, LLC v. Henry	810
<i>Foreclosure; interplay of rules of practice (§§ 61-11 (h) and 63-1 (c)) that govern automatic appellate stays, discussed; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order to nullify foreclosure sale; claim that sale occurred in violation</i>	

<i>of automatic appellate stay of execution that took effect under Practice Book § 61-11 (a) when, within twenty day appeal period that arose following trial court's denial of motion to open foreclosure judgment, motion for reconsideration of denial was filed; claim that denial of motion for reconsideration did not create new appeal period because motion lacked required notation at bottom of its first page identifying it as having been filed pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 11-11).</i>		
Francis v. Williams (Memorandum Decision)		905
Fridkin v. Grosu (Memorandum Decision)		905
Gainty v. Infantino.		785
<i>Paternity; motion for extension of child support order pursuant to statute (§ 46b-84 (c)) for child with qualified disability; motion for modification of child support; motion for appellate attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion by ordering reimbursement of certain educational costs for child because plaintiff's claims were barred by principles of res judicata and collateral estoppel; whether trial court abused its discretion by modifying child support order and awarding support pursuant to § 46b-84 (c); whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding appellate attorney's fees to plaintiff.</i>		
Graham v. Graham		560
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; motion for attorney's fees; offer of compromise; claim that trial court improperly found defendant in contempt for wilfully violating postjudgment orders pertaining to his obligations to pay alimony and child support to plaintiff and medical expenses of parties' children; claim that trial court erred in awarding attorney's fees to plaintiff; claim that trial court erred in dismissing offer of compromise that plaintiff filed to resolve her claim that defendant violated trial court's postjudgment order relating to his alimony and child support obligation.</i>		
HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 421 Logan Street, LLC (See HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 32 Wilmot Place, LLC)		261
HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 66 Bell Street, LLC (See HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 32 Wilmot Place, LLC)		261
HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 32 Wilmot Place, LLC		261
<i>Breach of contract; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment for defendants because their motions for summary judgment were not supported by admissible evidence; claim that trial court failed to consider defendants' alleged bad faith in asserting special defense that plaintiff was not in compliance with Home Improvement Act (§ 20-418 et seq.); claim that trial court abused its discretion by rendering its decisions on summary judgment motions without first holding status conference.</i>		
HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 203 CMO Zone Fund, LP (See HM Construction & Painting, LLC v. 32 Wilmot Place, LLC)		261
In re Aurora H.		307
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent mother failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation within meaning of statute (§ 17a-112) governing termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly relied on respondent's pending criminal charges in determining respondent's failure to rehabilitate; unpreserved claim that trial court erred in considering specific step that respondent refrain from involvement with criminal justice system because § 17a-112 (j) (3) (B), as applied to respondent, was void for vagueness and violated federal due process principles; whether specific steps issued to respondent provided adequate notice as to what was needed to achieve such degree of rehabilitation as required by § 17a-112 (j) (3) (B).</i>		
In re Blake P.		693
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent mother failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation within meaning of statute (§ 17a-112) governing termination of parental rights; claim that trial court's factual findings with respect to whether respondent achieved sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation were clearly erroneous; claim that trial court's conclusion that respondent failed to rehabilitate was not supported by evidence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that termination of respondent's parental rights was in child's best interest.</i>		
In re Na-Ki J.		1
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court violated respondent mother's due process rights to be present and to testify at her termination of parental</i>		

	<i>rights trial by denying her request for continuance; alternative claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying mother's request for continuance.</i>	
International Assn. of EMTs & Paramedics, Local R1-701 v. Bristol Hospital EMS, LLC	Arbitration; motion to enforce prior judgment; whether trial court, in granting motion to enforce judgment confirming arbitration award, had subject matter jurisdiction to order defendant to provide medical authorization and supervision to reinstated employee due to plaintiff's failure to exhaust its administrative remedies; whether futility exception to exhaustion of administrative remedies doctrine applied to plaintiff's claim for remedies; whether award of attorney's fees and back pay was outside scope of arbitration award; whether issues of attorney's fees and back pay were properly before trial court on motion to enforce judgment confirming arbitration award.	178
Is Ra El Bey v. U.S. Bank, N.A. (Memorandum Decision)		904
J. T. v. F. T. (Memorandum Decision)		902
Kennynick, LLC v. Standard Petroleum Co.	Breach of contract; misrepresentation; in dispute between plaintiff gasoline dealer and defendant wholesale gasoline distributor, whether trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff had failed to establish that defendant violated either Connecticut Petroleum Franchise Act (§ 42-133j et seq.) or Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether trial court improperly calculated applicable time period for which it awarded prejudgment interest; whether defendant failed to properly apply federal excise tax credit; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts, issues and applicable law.	234
Kerlin v. Planning & Zoning Commission	Zoning; application for subdivision of property; applications for coastal area management site plans; whether trial court properly interpreted and applied building zone regulations of Greenwich Municipal Code in dismissing appeals from decisions of defendant planning and zoning commission; whether trial court erred in dismissing appeals from defendant planning and zoning board of appeals and commission in absence of evidence that board and commission considered impacts of proposed right-of-way on coastal resources in granting applications for subdividing and coastal area management site plans.	141
Lafferty v. Jones	Motion for contempt; claim that trial court abused its discretion in holding defendant in contempt of court for failing to appear at deposition after his counsel provided trial court with affidavit and two letters from defendant's physicians attesting that defendant was too ill to attend deposition; claim that trial court improperly substituted its judgment regarding defendant's health for that of his physicians; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant's violation of trial court's orders was wilful; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's due process rights by not requesting additional information from his physicians regarding his medical condition prior to holding him in contempt of court.	855
Liberty Ins. Corp. v. Johnson	Insurance; declaratory judgment action; motion for summary judgment; whether plaintiff insurance companies had duty to defend and indemnify defendant policyholders in underlying tort action related to motor vehicle accident.	656
Marino v. Jones (Memorandum Decision)		901
Marino v. Marino (Memorandum Decision)		902
Mercer v. Commissioner of Correction	Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly rendered judgment for respondent Commissioner of Correction on count of petitioner's habeas petition alleging that his trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in connection with plea bargaining; claim that habeas court improperly rendered judgment for respondent on count of petitioner's habeas petition alleging that his trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance during criminal proceedings; claim that habeas court's rejection of application of cumulative error rule to petitioner's ineffective assistance of counsel claims was improper.	713
OneWest Bank, FSB v. Pellechia (Memorandum Decision)		905
Ostapowicz v. Wisniewski	Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court's judgment on remand that resolved purported inconsistency between two provisions in its memorandum of decision exceeded scope of this court's remand order issued following plaintiff's appeal from dissolution judgment.	847

Raynor v. Commissioner of Correction.	584
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to object to relevancy of uncharged misconduct evidence; whether trial counsel's decisions to forgo objecting to uncharged misconduct evidence on relevancy grounds in lieu of prejudice grounds were matter of sound trial strategy; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to request hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn. 57) to preclude or limit admission of cell site location information (CSLI) evidence; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present expert witness to challenge CSLI testimony.</i>	
Rek v. Pettit	132
<i>Motion to modify visitation agreement; mootness; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to issue orders modifying visitation agreement; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiffs' motion to open and vacate judgment; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiffs' motion for mistrial; whether plaintiffs' appeal was moot when trial court granted motion to terminate visitation between defendants and minor child during pendency of appeal; whether collateral consequences exception to mootness doctrine was applicable.</i>	
Reyes v. State	510
<i>Petition for new trial; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from denial of petition for new trial; whether trial court improperly determined that newly discovered third-party culpability evidence would probably not produce different result in new trial; claim that trial court applied incorrect legal test to resolve petitioner's claim of newly discovered impeachment evidence; whether trial court improperly determined that state did not suppress newly discovered impeachment evidence in violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 73).</i>	
Reyes v. State	538
<i>Petition for new trial; motion to dismiss; statute of limitations; claim that trial court improperly granted motion of respondent state of Connecticut to dismiss petition for new trial; claim that trial court improperly concluded that petition for new trial was time barred by applicable statute (§ 52-582); claim that trial court improperly concluded, as matter of law, that three year limitation period of § 52-582 could not be tolled by application of fraudulent concealment statute (§ 52-595); claim that trial court improperly determined that exception to three year limitation period for newly discovered forensic scientific evidence pursuant to § 52-582 was inapplicable.</i>	
River Front Development, LLC v. New Haven Police Dept.	504
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; governmental immunity pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 52-557n); claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on ground that they were entitled to qualified immunity; analysis set forth in Adesokan v. Bloomfield (347 Conn. 416), dispositive of appeal.</i>	
Schofield v. Rafley, Inc..	448
<i>Employment discrimination; breach of contract; motion to dismiss; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff's employment discrimination claim as untimely under applicable statute (§ 46a-101 (e)); claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's breach of contract claim.</i>	
Sherlach v. Jones (See Lafferty v. Jones)	855
Simpson v. Simpson.	466
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; motion for modification of child support and alimony; motion for order regarding college education costs; motion for attorney's fees; whether trial court's articulation improperly changed calculation of defendant's additional child support and alimony obligations as set forth in court's original decision; whether trial court erred in determining that language of parties' separation agreement was unclear and ambiguous; whether trial court erred in awarding attorney's fees to plaintiff; whether trial court's postjudgment educational support order pursuant to statute (§ 46b-56c) was clearly erroneous; whether trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion for modification of alimony and child support.</i>	
Smulley v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection (Memorandum Decision)	903

Smulley v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Illinois (Memorandum Decision)	903
Speer v. Brown Jacobson P.C.	638
<i>Writ of quo warranto; res judicata; collateral estoppel; whether trial court improperly determined that plaintiff's action was barred by doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel on basis of prior litigation involving issue of propriety of defendant city's legal representation by defendant attorneys.</i>	
Speer v. Danjon Capital, Inc.	624
<i>Quiet title; motion for order requesting that all facts in requests for admissions be deemed admitted because defendant failed to answer or object to such requests; motion for reassignment; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiff failed to serve defendant with requests for admissions; whether trial court abused its discretion by dismissing plaintiff's action as sanction for her alleged abuse of discovery; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiff's timely motion for reassignment; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.</i>	
Soyini v. Commissioner of Correction	428
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner's right to effective assistance of counsel was not violated on basis of trial counsel's failure to move to suppress certain evidence that police extracted from petitioner's cell phone prior to obtaining valid search warrant.</i>	
Stanley v. Quiros.	390
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff inmate's federal constitutional rights; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion to dismiss; failure to brief claims adequately.</i>	
Stanley v. Scott	301
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal constitutional rights; summary judgment; claim that defendants illegally obtained plaintiff's cell phone records and used them against him while prosecuting plaintiff for various criminal charges; whether defendants violated plaintiff's rights under fourth and fourteenth amendments to United States constitution; whether plaintiff adequately briefed his claims on appeal.</i>	
State v. Bernardo (Memorandum Decision)	904
State v. Christopher R.	761
<i>Sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; attempt to commit sexual assault first degree; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional rights by finding that his waiver of his right to testify was voluntary; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's request to open evidence to allow him to testify.</i>	
State v. Jeffrey G.	411
<i>Petition for postconviction DNA testing; sexual assault first degree; claim that trial court erred in concluding that petitioner failed to establish, pursuant to applicable statute (§ 54-102kk), that reasonable probability existed that he would not have been prosecuted or convicted if purportedly exculpatory results obtained through DNA testing had been available at his criminal trial.</i>	
State v. Martin G.	395
<i>Motion for sentence modification; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for sentence modification; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider disparity between length of sentences defendant rejected during plea bargaining and length of sentence he received after trial in reviewing motion for sentence modification.</i>	
State v. Thomas S.	201
<i>Criminal violation of protective order; sufficiency of evidence; whether unpreserved issue of failure to provide specific unanimity instruction to jury was reviewable; claim that evidence was insufficient to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant had requisite intent to violate protective order.</i>	
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction	331
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel performed deficiently because counsel failed to properly advise petitioner about mandatory deportation consequences of his guilty pleas to two crimes of moral turpitude; claim that habeas court failed to hold petitioner to his burden to rebut presumption that counsel's advice fell within wide range of reasonable professional assistance; whether habeas court applied higher standard than what law required when it based its finding of deficient performance on counsel's failure to advise petitioner that his pleas would automatically subject him to mandatory deportation.</i>	

TLO Acquisitions, LLC-Series 2 v. Genevieve, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	901
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Rose.	464
<i>Foreclosure; motion to strike appearance; motion to intervene; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion to strike appearance filed by nonlawyer individual on behalf of estate of named defendant on ground that estate may not be represented by nonlawyer individual in self-represented capacity; claim that trial court improperly denied motions to open judgment and to intervene filed by son of named defendant.</i>	
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Memorandum Decision).	902
Walker v. Walker	192
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court improperly failed to consider all criteria set forth in statute (§ 46b-81 (c)) governing division of marital property; claim that trial court applied unreasonable amount of weight to defendant's fault in breakdown of marriage in fashioning orders distributing marital property and awarding alimony.</i>	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Melahn.	828
<i>Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court improperly declined to hold evidentiary hearing before denying defendant's motion to dismiss; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss; whether trial court improperly rendered summary judgment as to liability only; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over action because plaintiff did not comply with notice requirement pursuant to Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program (§ 8-265ee).</i>	
Zachary F. v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	904
Zarella v. Copeland (Memorandum Decision)	903