

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 221

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

AAA Advantage Carting & Demolition Service, LLC v. Capone	256
<i>Conversion; statutory theft; claim that savings statutes (§§ 52-591 and 52-592) did not apply to save action from being time barred pursuant to statute of limitations (§ 52-577) when sole member of plaintiff limited liability company previously filed action in his individual capacity and did not assert derivative claim on plaintiff's behalf; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff's claims of conversion and statutory theft were not barred pursuant to doctrine of res judicata; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment for plaintiff on merits of its statutory theft claim; whether trial court erred in its award of damages to plaintiff, including prejudgment interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3a).</i>	
A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. v. Better Business Bureau of Connecticut	1
<i>Defamation; summary judgment; claim that trial court failed to consider each allegedly defamatory statement in plaintiff's fourteen count complaint in determining whether summary judgment for defendants on two defamation counts was proper; whether trial court properly concluded that letter grade issued to plaintiff by defendant rating entity constituted nonactionable expression of opinion rather than statement of fact.</i>	
Anderson-Harris v. Harris	222
<i>Dissolution of marriage; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court violated plaintiff's right to due process pursuant to applicable statute (§ 46b-7) and rule of practice (§ 25-60) when it rendered judgment before court-ordered evaluations of parties and their minor children were completed; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying plaintiff's motions for continuance; whether trial court abused its discretion in issuing financial orders pertaining to alimony, child support and distribution of parties' property; claim that new trial should be ordered because trial judge's retirement left plaintiff unable to obtain articulation of court's decision and to provide adequate record for appellate review.</i>	
Arpin v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	904
Barbara v. Colonial Surety Co.	337
<i>Contractual indemnification; breach of contract; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; res judicata; collateral estoppel; claim that trial court improperly concluded that bond principals failed to raise genuine issue of material fact with respect to allegations that surety company acted in bad faith in settling bond claim for purposes of contractual indemnification; claim that trial court improperly concluded that bond principals' bad faith claims brought in separate action were not precluded by doctrines of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel.</i>	
Baun v. Grandison Management, Inc. (Memorandum Decision).	903
Buchenholz v. Buchenholz	132
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion by purportedly amending plaintiff's complaint to allege intolerable cruelty as ground for dissolution of marriage; claim that defendant did not receive adequate notice that plaintiff would introduce testimony at trial in support of purported claim of intolerable cruelty in violation of his due process rights; claim that trial court abused its discretion in its award of alimony to plaintiff.</i>	
CCI Computerworks, LLC v. Evernet Consulting, LLC	491
<i>Breach of contract; unjust enrichment; indemnification; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for plaintiff on defendant's counterclaim; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that asset purchase agreement executed by parties attributed certain unemployment tax liabilities to defendant, rather than to plaintiff; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that plaintiff was not unjustly enriched by defendant's payment of unemployment taxes; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion in limine that sought to prohibit evidence of settlement offer made by defendant to plaintiff during</i>	

	<i>pendency of action; whether trial court failed to adjudicate plaintiff's claim that defendant breached parties' agreement by crediting certain costs against payments that defendant owed under agreement.</i>	
Chip Fund 7, LLC v. Fraser Lane Associates, LLC (See Fraser Lane Associates, LLC v. Chip Fund 7, LLC)		451
Christian v. Iyer		869
	<i>Vexatious litigation; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendants had established defense of good faith reliance on advice of counsel; claim that trial court failed to apply correct legal standard to, and make requisite findings regarding, defendants' advice of counsel defense; claim that trial court erred when it failed to apply doctrine of collateral estoppel to defendants' advice of counsel defense.</i>	
Colandrea v. State Dental Commission		597
	<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff dentist's appeal from final decision of defendant State Dental Commission, which found him guilty of incompetence or negligence toward patients in violation of statute (§ 20-114 (a) (2)) and revoked his license to practice dentistry; whether trial court properly determined that doctrine of res judicata did not bar disciplinary action by defendant Department of Public Health; claim that department sought to relitigate issue of sanctions for same conduct that was at issue in prior subpoena enforcement proceeding against plaintiff; whether congratulatory phone call by panel member to department's attorney was prohibited communication under governing statute (§ 4-181 (a)) that gave rise to rebuttable presumption of prejudice; claim that commission abused its discretion in revoking plaintiff's dentistry license as disciplinary sanction; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiff's motion to allow proof outside of record concerning alleged irregularities in commission's procedures; claim that commission and its original panel violated plaintiff's right to due process by failing to act as impartial arbiters.</i>	
Colonial Surety Co. v. Phoenix Contracting Group (See Barbara v. Colonial Surety Co.) .		337
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea		631
	<i>Petition to enforce subpoena duces tecum seeking production of patient records from defendant dentist; whether subpoena enforcement action was barred by doctrine of res judicata due to conclusion of administrative disciplinary proceeding before State Dental Commission; claim that contempt proceeding was criminal in nature, such that defendant was entitled to certain rights afforded by federal constitution and Office of Attorney General had no statutory authority to continue to seek to enforce the subpoena on behalf of plaintiff; claim that investigation with respect to which plaintiff issued subpoena had concluded, thereby depriving plaintiff of statutory authority to continue prosecuting subpoena enforcement action.</i>	
Commissioner of Transportation v. ACP, LLC		708
	<i>Condemnation; application for reassessment of damages from notice of compensation and assessment of damages filed in connection with plaintiff's partial taking by eminent domain of defendant's real property; credibility of expert witnesses; whether trial court's award of temporary severance damages was clearly erroneous because court relied on opinion of defendant's expert, which plaintiff claimed was arbitrary and speculative.</i>	
Cooling v. Torrington		567
	<i>Employment discrimination; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant; whether trial court erred in determining that plaintiff failed to raise genuine issue of material fact that defendant had not engaged in good faith interactive process to discover reasonable accommodation for plaintiff's disability; whether trial court erred in determining that plaintiff failed to raise genuine issue of material fact that defendant had subjected plaintiff to hostile work environment on basis of his disability.</i>	
Dahle v. Stop & Shop Companies, Inc. (Memorandum Decision)		901
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Amelio		441
	<i>Foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for order to allow inspection of original promissory note.</i>	
Dicker v. Dicker (Memorandum Decision)		902
Ebron v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)		904
Fraser Lane Associates, LLC v. Chip Fund 7, LLC		451
	<i>Arbitration; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's application to confirm arbitration award and denied defendant's application to vacate arbitration</i>	

award; claim that award violated public policy because it was made pursuant to unenforceable liquidated damages provision and plaintiff suffered no actual damages as result of defendant's breach; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's request for evidentiary hearing to introduce new evidence; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant opportunity to submit complete arbitral record into evidence; claim that arbitrator exceeded his authority under arbitration provisions of parties' agreement; claim that arbitrator exceeded scope of his powers by selecting proposal that was outside of scope of submission; claim that arbitrator manifestly disregarded law in selecting proposal that was not supported by any legal or factual basis.

Gervais v. JACC Healthcare Center of Danielson, LLC 148
Medical malpractice; motion to dismiss; request to amend complaint; remand from Supreme Court for reconsideration of this court's decision upholding trial court's denial of plaintiffs' request to amend in light of its decision in Carpenter v. Daar (346 Conn. 680); claim that trial court improperly concluded that opinion letter attached to complaint pursuant to statute (§ 52-190a) was deficient because it did not sufficiently set forth qualifications of author and, therefore, court could not determine whether opinion letter was authored by "similar health care provider" to defendant registered nurse under applicable statute (§ 52-184c); whether trial court improperly concluded that it lacked authority to permit plaintiffs to amend opinion letter in response to defendants' motion to dismiss because request to amend was filed after expiration of time allowed under accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592); claim that this court should uphold trial court's decision on ground that its denial of plaintiffs' request to amend did not constitute abuse of its discretion.

GMAT Legal Title Trust 2014-1, U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Catale 90
Foreclosure; motion to strike special defenses; ripeness; claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment of strict foreclosure because it incorrectly admitted into evidence loan history records under business records exception to hearsay rule; whether defendants alleged sufficient facts to establish special defenses of bad faith settlement practices and unclean hands; claim that trial court erroneously granted motion to strike special defense of inapplicability of accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592); whether special defense of inapplicability of § 52-592 was ripe for review.

Godfrey-Hill v. Commissioner of Correction. 526
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to meet his burden of proving that his trial counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to investigate and to call to testify witness to shooting that resulted in petitioner's conviction of murder and other crimes; whether petitioner failed to present evidence sufficient to overcome presumption that counsel's decision not to call witness was based on objectively reasonable strategic considerations.

Hughes v. Board of Education. 325
Negligence; motion to strike; claim that trial court erred in granting defendants' motion to strike entirety of plaintiffs' complaint; whether defendants were entitled to governmental immunity pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-557n); whether facts alleged in complaint sufficiently implicated identifiable victim subject to imminent harm exception to discretionary governmental immunity.

In re Amani O. 59
Child neglect; emergency motion requesting that Department of Children and Families cease reunification efforts with respect to minor child and her parents; trial court order rejecting permanency plan of reunification of minor child with respondent father proposed by Commissioner of Children and Families; claim that trial court exceeded its authority when it ordered department to cease reunification efforts; claim that trial court abused its discretion by rejecting proposed permanency plan; whether trial court's rejection of proposed permanency plan was appealable final judgment.

In re Christina C. 185
Termination of parental rights; motion to transfer legal guardianship; claim that trial court abused its discretion because it applied incorrect legal standard when adjudicating motion to transfer guardianship; whether trial court incorrectly shifted burden of proof to petitioner Commissioner of Children and Families to demonstrate that granting motion to transfer guardianship would be detrimental to minor child; claim that trial court, having found that multiple grounds existed for terminating respondent father's parental rights, erred in denying petition to

	<i>terminate parental rights without considering whether that ruling was in child's best interests.</i>	
In re Gabriella M.		827
	<i>Termination of parental rights; motion for transfer of permanent legal guardianship; subject matter jurisdiction; whether respondent father was aggrieved by trial court's decision denying motion to transfer permanent legal guardianship to maternal grandmother when he did not challenge final judgments terminating his parental rights.</i>	
In re Gabriella M.		852
	<i>Termination of parental rights; reviewability of respondent mother's unpreserved claim that trial court violated her right to due process of law by terminating her parental rights without making finding that termination was least restrictive means by which petitioner Commissioner of Children and Families could achieve state's compelling interest in protecting safety of minor children.</i>	
In re Judah B.		387
	<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent mother with her minor children; whether trial court correctly concluded that mother failed to achieve such degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that, within reasonable time, considering ages and needs of her children, she could assume responsible position in their lives; claim that trial court improperly relied on outdated evidence in determining that mother failed to rehabilitate.</i>	
In re Phoenix M.		789
	<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court erred in denying respondent mother's request for new counsel made on first day of trial.</i>	
In re Probate Appeal of Concannon (Memorandum Decision)		902
In re Tarik C.		767
	<i>Termination of parental rights; invited error doctrine; motion for directed verdict; whether rule of practice (§ 16-37) permitting judicial authority to reserve decision on motion for directed verdict or rule of practice (§ 15-8) governing motion for judgment of dismissal was applicable to oral motion made by counsel for respondent mother at close of petitioner's case-in-chief; whether trial court erred when it failed to rule on oral motion for directed verdict; claim that trial court improperly treated motion as seeking directed verdict pursuant to Practice Book § 16-37, rather than motion for judgment of dismissal pursuant to Practice Book § 15-8; whether trial court improperly exercised its discretion to reserve judgment on motion; whether invited error doctrine was applicable to mother's challenge on appeal to trial court's decision to reserve judgment on motion for directed verdict; claim that waiver rule should not apply to bar appellate review of trial court's decision to reserve judgment on motion; claim that trial court improperly concluded that mother failed to achieve requisite degree of personal rehabilitation as required by applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B (i))).</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Essaghof.		475
	<i>Foreclosure; remand from Supreme Court for reconsideration of this court's decision upholding trial court's denial of defendants' motion to dismiss in light of Bank of New York Mellon v. Tope (345 Conn. 662); claim that trial court improperly denied defendants' motion to dismiss predicated on plaintiff's alleged noncompliance with statutory (§ 8-265ee) notice requirement of Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program; claim that plaintiff violated Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program notice requirement in § 8-265ee because notice was sent by predecessor mortgagee, and not plaintiff.</i>	
Madera v. Commissioner of Correction.		546
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to seek to preclude or object to certain evidence; whether habeas court erred in concluding that petitioner failed to sustain his burden of establishing that he was prejudiced by his counsel's alleged deficient performance pursuant to Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668).</i>	
Martinez v. Commissioner of Correction		852
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel performed deficiently by failing to advise him adequately regarding pretrial plea offer; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by his trial counsel's deficient performance.</i>	
Mata v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles		25
	<i>Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); claim that trial</i>	

court improperly concluded that there was substantial evidence to support hearing officer's finding that plaintiff was operator of motor vehicle pursuant to § 14-227b; claim that trial court improperly concluded that there was substantial evidence to support hearing officer's determination that plaintiff refused to submit to Breathalyzer test.

Miriam v. Summit Saugatuck, LLC 409
Land use; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment and denying plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in concluding that common plan of development did not exist for certain lots of real property located in neighborhood where plaintiffs resided; whether plaintiffs had right to enforce restriction on defendant's property limiting development to single-family houses.

Ochoa v. Behling 45
Application for custody of minor child; reviewability of plaintiff father's claim that trial court erred in adopting prior court order regarding allocation of federal income tax dependency exemption for child because allocating federal tax liability is within exclusive province of United States Congress.

Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Wynne 52
Attorney misconduct; presentment; application to become supervising attorney; mootness; claim that trial court improperly approved applications to become defendant's supervising attorney in light of proposal to supervise defendant remotely; claim that trial court improperly held that, pursuant to rule of practice (§ 2-47B), court may expressly permit, by written order, deactivated attorney who is employed by supervising attorney in role of paralegal or legal assistant to communicate with clients and third parties regarding matters that are subject of representation by supervising attorney or his or her firm, provided that communication does not amount to engaging in practice of law; whether, even if plaintiff's appeal was moot due to termination of supervising attorney relationships during pendency of appeal, plaintiff's claims were subject to appellate review under capable of repetition, yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.

Palumbo v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) 903

Payne v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) 901

Pencheva-Hasse v. Hasse 113
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court erred in calculating presumptive child support amount by improperly calculating parties' incomes; whether trial court erred in its deviation from child support guidelines; whether trial court improperly made finding as to defendant's earning capacity; claim that trial court's custody orders were not in best interests of child; whether trial court abused its discretion in its orders regarding property distribution.

Pierce v. Commissioner of Correction 80
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed first count of third amended habeas petition, sua sponte, pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 23-29), without providing petitioner with prior notice and opportunity to be heard; applicability of Supreme Court's decisions in Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (345 Conn. 1) and Boria v. Commissioner of Correction (345 Conn. 39), to merits of appeal.

Silva v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) 901

SSR Properties, LLC v. Connors Development, LLC 902

State v. Heriberto B. (Memorandum Decision) 903

State v. James S. 797
Risk of injury to child; sufficiency of evidence; whether evidence was sufficient for jury to find that defendant touched victim's intimate parts in sexual and indecent manner that was likely to impair her health or morals; claim that defendant had due process right to pretrial taint hearing to evaluate whether victim's statements and testimony were reliable or whether they were coerced and product of suggestive questioning; whether trial court's failure to hold pretrial taint hearing sua sponte violated defendant's due process rights; whether this court should use its supervisory authority to require pretrial taint hearings to assess reliability of complainants in child sexual abuse cases.

State v. Mieles 164
Risk of injury to child; motion for standing criminal protective order pursuant to statute (§ 53a-40e); whether postjudgment imposition of standing criminal protective order should be construed as modification of judgment of conviction; claim that there was no change in circumstances that would justify opening

judgment of conviction and imposing standing criminal protective order; whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing standing criminal protective order pursuant to § 53a-40e (a); whether trial court satisfied standard set forth in § 53a-40e (a) when it imposed standing criminal protective order; claim that trial court's authority to issue standing criminal protective order had temporal element; whether trial court had jurisdiction to impose standing criminal protective order without violating due process or protection against double jeopardy.

State v. Russo 729

Sexual assault second degree; conditional plea of nolo contendere; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to dismiss claiming that facts set forth in arrest warrant affidavit were insufficient to support finding of probable cause that defendant committed crime of sexual assault second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-71 (a) (8)) because he was not school employee as defined by statute (§ 53a-65 (13)); claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss claiming that § 53a-71 (a) (8) is unconstitutionally overbroad.

State v. Wade 690

Violation of probation; reviewability of defendant's claim that trial court violated his due process right to confrontation when it failed to apply balancing test set forth in State v. Crespo (190 Conn. App. 639) to evidence related to identification of defendant by witness who was unavailable to testify after invoking fifth amendment privilege against self-incrimination; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting certain hearsay evidence in probation revocation hearing.

Williams v. Commissioner of Correction 294

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner certification to appeal from judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner had not been deprived of his right to due process in violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) when state failed to disclose to defense at petitioner's criminal trial sworn statement victim made to police in which victim did not disclose incident that led to petitioner's conviction; whether habeas court properly determined that undisclosed statement was not material to petitioner's defense under Brady.

Williams v. Green Power Ventures, LLC 657

Injunction; action seeking declaratory judgment defining permissible uses of plaintiffs' easement; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs' easement was limited to foot passage only; whether language of deed was clear and unambiguous; claim that trial court erred in finding that fence and gate erected by defendants across right-of-way did not constitute unreasonable interference with plaintiffs' use of easement.