

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 210

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Avon v. Freedom of Information Commission.	225
<i>Administrative appeal; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court abused its discretion by holding that agency may require requestors to sign acknowledgment form as condition precedent to inspection of original public records; whether defendant was aggrieved by trial court's judgment when only public records sought were copies.</i>	
Baltas v. Commissioner of Correction	167
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner's rights to autonomy and effective assistance of counsel were violated when his trial counsel conceded his guilt during closing arguments.</i>	
Bank of New York Mellon v. Horsey (Memorandum Decision)	904
Berka v. Waterbury (Memorandum Decision)	901
Canner v. Governor's Ridge Assn., Inc.	632
<i>Negligence; fraud; nuisance; fraudulent misrepresentation; breach of contract; violations of Common Interest Ownership Act (CIOA) (§ 47-200 et seq.); statute of limitations; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to CIOA were barred by three year tort statute of limitations codified in statute (§ 52-577); claim that trial court incorrectly determined that plaintiff's claims did not sound in contract and that § 52-577 was appropriate statute of limitations; whether trial court's factual findings and legal conclusions were sufficiently supported by record and were not clearly erroneous; whether closing date on which plaintiff came into possession of condominium unit with allegedly defective foundation was date on which statute of repose period began to run; whether trial court properly concluded that doctrine of equitable estoppel did not preclude defendant from asserting its statute of limitations defense; whether plaintiff's claim that trial court improperly concluded that nuisance claims were barred by statute of limitations was adequately briefed and properly preserved for appellate review.</i>	
Carlson v. Carlson	501
<i>Dissolution of partnership; partition of assets; claim that trial court erred when it denied defendant's motions to inspect and copy corporate and partnership tax returns; claim that trial court erred when it failed to address dispute in presettlement notice between parties before settlement was reached; claim that trial court erred when it ordered plaintiffs to release all claims during 2015 settlement negotiations; claim that trial court erred when it authorized application for subdivision of property owned by partnership; claim that trial court erred when it appointed certain individual as receiver.</i>	
Chase v. Commissioner of Correction	492
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court incorrectly concluded that petitioner failed to prove that his trial counsel's performance was deficient; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner did not overcome presumption that trial counsel had familiarized himself with topics germane to child sexual assault cases; whether petitioner failed to overcome presumption that trial counsel's examination and cross-examination of experts represented sound trial strategy.</i>	
Cockayne v. Bristol Hospital, Inc.	450
<i>Medical malpractice; motion for judgment notwithstanding verdict; motion to set aside verdict; applicable standard of review; whether use of differential diagnosis was proper and sufficient to establish theory of causation; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motions for judgment notwithstanding verdict and to set aside verdict; whether plaintiffs presented sufficient evidence in support of their claim of medical malpractice by employees of defendant.</i>	
Davis v. Davis (Memorandum Decision)	902

Dion v. Enfield (Memorandum Decision)	906
DiTullio v. LM General Ins. Co.	347
<i>Arbitration; underinsured motorist coverage; claim that trial court lacked statutory and common-law authority to modify arbitration award; whether trial court properly made deduction when confirming arbitration award; whether trial court properly calculated amount of judgment.</i>	
Freidburg v. Kurtz	420
<i>Landlord-tenant; breach of lease agreement; negligence; claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment against defendants for damages to leased property without determining age and condition of property at commencement of tenancy and relative wear and tear of property at termination of tenancy; claim that trial court erred in failing to render judgment for defendants on their counterclaim relating to security deposit paid to plaintiff; whether trial court's finding regarding amount of security deposit paid pursuant to lease was clearly erroneous; whether trial court's determination that plaintiff properly provided defendants with written accounting of deductions made from security deposit, as required by applicable statute (§ 47a-21 (d) (2)), was clearly erroneous; whether plaintiff's alleged failure to retain security deposit in separate escrow account violated § 47a-21 (h) or Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.).</i>	
Glanz v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles	515
<i>Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); whether presumption in § 14-227b (g) that results of blood alcohol tests commenced within two hours of operation were sufficient to indicate plaintiff's blood alcohol content at time of operation violated plaintiff's right to due process under United States constitution; whether trial court erred in concluding that exception for rising blood alcohol content contained in criminal statute (§ 14-227a (b)) did not apply to administrative license suspension hearing.</i>	
Icelo-Hernandez v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	905
Ill v. Manzo-III	364
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment proceedings; motion for contempt; claim that trial court improperly limited plaintiff's defense at contempt hearing in deprivation of his due process rights; whether court's scheduling order reflected abuse of its discretion; whether plaintiff was afforded fair opportunity to present evidence at contempt hearing on contested issues.</i>	
In re Emily S.	581
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly found that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent father with minor child; whether trial court properly found that father was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification efforts; adoption of trial court's well reasoned decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Karanda v. Bradford.	703
<i>Negligence; motion to open judgment of nonsuit; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that it lacked statutory (§ 52-212) authority to consider plaintiff's motion to open because plaintiff filed motion without affidavit required by § 52-212 (c); whether affidavit filed more than four months following judgment of nonsuit satisfied substantive requirements of § 52-212; claim that trial court erred in applying standard in § 52-212 for opening judgments upon default or nonsuit, as opposed to statutory (§ 52-212a) standard for opening civil judgments.</i>	
Karen v. Loftus.	289
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; whether trial court applied correct legal standard with respect to plaintiff's motion to open.</i>	
Kiyak v. Dept. of Agriculture.	311
<i>Appeal of animal control officer's disposal orders pursuant to statute (§ 22-358); claim that § 22-358 (c) was unconstitutionally vague as applied because it permitted animal control officers to issue disposal orders as they deem necessary, thereby authorizing arbitrary enforcement; whether plaintiff's right to procedural due process was violated because hearing officer used inadequate procedures in upholding animal control officer's issuance of disposal orders; whether hearing officer abused his discretion by admitting and considering animal control officer's expert testimony.</i>	

Kolich v. Tudorof (Memorandum Decision)	905
Lucky 13 Industries, LLC v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles.	558
<i>Administrative appeal; motor vehicles; claim that federal law preempted any state regulation that purported to prohibit fee that plaintiff charged for release of motor vehicle subject to nonconsensual tow; claim that trial court improperly concluded that tow at issue was nonconsensual and that fee charged for release of vehicle was illegal; whether contract for expedited service in releasing vehicle, executed by plaintiff and tow driver who retrieved vehicle, transformed nonconsensual tow into consensual tow; claim that trial court improperly concluded that contract for expedited release of vehicle was void as against public policy.</i>	
Margarum v. Donut Delight, Inc.	576
<i>Negligence; premises liability; business invitee; whether record was adequate pursuant to rules of practice (§§ 61-10 (a) and 63-8 (a)) to review plaintiff's claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion to set aside verdict; reviewability of inadequately briefed claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion to submit supplemental or amended jury interrogatories.</i>	
Marshall v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles	109
<i>Administrative appeal, suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); claim that trial court improperly concluded that Department of Motor Vehicles hearing officer did not abuse her discretion by admitting certain exhibit into evidence; whether arresting officer's failure to mail report of incident to department within three business days of plaintiff's arrest as required by § 14-227b (c) rendered it unreliable.</i>	
MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Lakner (Memorandum Decision)	901
Nutmeg State Crematorium, LLC v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection	384
<i>Administrative appeal, claim that trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal from decision of Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection denying plaintiffs' application for two new source air permits; whether regulation (§ 22a-174-29 (b) (2)) required calculation of maximum allowable stack concentration for emissions of mercury at discharge point or property line; whether trial court properly interpreted and applied § 22a-174-29 (b) (2) to facts of present case; whether trial court improperly adjudicated issues not raised in administrative appeal; whether trial court erred by violating binding legal precedent and applicable statute (§ 4-183 (j)).</i>	
O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
O'Donnell v. AXA Equitable Life Ins. Co.	662
<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly concluded that amended complaint was not materially different from original complaint and, therefore, that plaintiff failed to file new pleading pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 10-44); whether plaintiff waived his right to appeal trial court's ruling striking amended complaint; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion for entry of judgment or, alternatively, to strike sole count of amended complaint.</i>	
Ostapowicz v. Wisniewski	401
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over parties' premarital agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion in assigning defendant's separate property interests pursuant to parties' premarital agreement; claim that trial court erred in not placing total value on defendant's business interests pursuant to statute (§ 46b-81 (c)); whether trial court abused its discretion in assigning outstanding debt on parties' line of credit to plaintiff.</i>	
Poce v. O & G Industries, Inc.	82
<i>Negligence; negligent infliction of emotional distress; premises liability; recklessness; whether trial court erred in granting in part defendant's motion to strike; whether trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; adoption of trial court's memoranda of decision as proper statements of relevant facts and analyses of applicable law on issues.</i>	
Puteri v. Governor's Ridge Assn., Inc. (See Canner v. Governor's Ridge Assn., Inc.)	632
Reyes v. State	714
<i>Petition for new trial; arson in second degree; conspiracy to commit criminal mischief in first degree; conspiracy to commit burglary in first degree; claim that trial court improperly denied petition for new trial; whether appeal should have been dismissed due to petitioner's failure to comply with statutory certification requirement (§ 54-95 (a)) prior to commencing appeal.</i>	

Roach v. Transwaste, Inc.	686
<i>Wrongful termination of employment; motion for attorney's fees; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply lodestar method in calculating amount of attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred in awarding any attorney's fees to plaintiff; claim that plaintiff failed to satisfy legal standard for granting attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred by failing to set aside jury's award of damages because it was not supported by sufficient evidence; claim that trial court erred by rendering judgment for plaintiff because there was no evidence to support jury's conclusion that plaintiff's employment had been terminated for filing safety complaints; whether trial court properly instructed jury concerning applicable standard of proof.</i>	
Rogalis, LLC v. Vazquez.	548
<i>Summary process; claim that trial court erred by holding that plaintiff did not acquire from its predecessor in title, pursuant to quitclaim deed, right to evict defendant; claim that trial court erred by dismissing summary process action on ground that plaintiff's sole member did not have bona fide intention to use dwelling as principal residence; claim that trial court erred by dismissing summary process action on basis of court's posttrial consideration of extra-record evidence, namely, prior summary process action brought by plaintiff's predecessor in title against defendant.</i>	
R. S. v. E. S.	327
<i>Dissolution of marriage; mootness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court erred when it entered pendente lite order related to travel restrictions; whether trial court erred when it entered certain orders.</i>	
Rider v. Rider	278
<i>Probate appeal; whether Superior Court correctly determined that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal from Probate Court decree approving final account on basis that appeal was untimely.</i>	
Sakon v. Sakonchick (Memorandum Decision)	903
Salamone v. Wesleyan University	435
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendant; whether trial court correctly determined that plaintiffs failed to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of material fact as to whether alleged sexual assaults were reasonably foreseeable.</i>	
Salce v. Cardello.	66
<i>Probate appeal; trusts; claim that defendant violated in terrorem clauses contained in will and trust agreement; whether defendant filed creditor's claim against estate in violation of in terrorem clauses contained in will and trust agreement; whether in terrorem clauses prohibiting beneficiaries of will and trust from challenging any action taken by fiduciary were unenforceable as matter of public policy.</i>	
Shelton v. State Board of Labor Relations	529
<i>Labor law; administrative appeal; whether trial court properly concluded that decision of defendant State Board of Labor Relations was erroneous as matter of law and predicated on factual findings that were not supported by record; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Speer v. SLS Heating, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	904
Stanley v. Barone	239
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff inmate's federal constitutional rights; motion to dismiss; whether defendants were entitled to statutory (§ 4-165 (a)) immunity; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to federal statute (42 U.S.C. § 1983) on basis of doctrine of qualified immunity.</i>	
State v. Cusson	130
<i>Cruelty to persons; disorderly conduct; competency; whether trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to present defense by failing to take adequate procedural measures before ruling that victim was incompetent to testify at defendant's trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it declined to contemporaneously observe victim before ruling on his competency to testify at trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to have victim examined by independent expert witness before ruling on victim's competency to testify; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to sanction prosecution for intimidating potential defense witnesses from testifying at trial; whether defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of prosecutorial impropriety.</i>	

State v. Jones	249
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; criminal possession of pistol; carrying pistol without permit; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal possession of pistol and carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court committed plain error with respect to its jury instructions concerning criminal possession of pistol by omitting from its charge that state was required to prove that defendant intended to exercise control over handgun; claim that trial court erred by allowing impermissible opinion testimony regarding defendant's intent to sell narcotics.</i>	
State v. LaMotte	44
<i>Robbery in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion by not affording defendant evidentiary hearing on motion to withdraw guilty pleas; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to pursue alibi defense; claim that defendant was under duress during plea proceeding because state's inspector had coerced and given false information about defendant to witness who was to testify at defendant's trial.</i>	
State v. McCarthy	1
<i>Kidnapping in second degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in second degree; larceny in second degree; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial because trial court improperly failed to provide jury with incidental restraint instruction in accordance with State v. Salamon (287 Conn. 509); claim that there was insufficient evidence to support conviction of kidnapping in second degree; claim that state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant intended to prevent liberation of victims beyond that which was incidental to and necessary to commit larceny and that he used or threatened to use physical force or intimidation to restrain his victims; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to due process and abused its discretion by denying his requests to remove his leg shackles at trial.</i>	
State v. Prudhomme	176
<i>Assault in first degree; cruelty to persons; tampering with physical evidence; whether reasonable possibility existed that trial court's instruction on adequacy of police investigation misled jury by failing to inform jury of defendant's right to have it consider inadequacy of police investigation in evaluating whether state proved his guilt beyond reasonable doubt; whether instructional error prejudiced defendant and was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; whether trial court violated defendant's rights to confront witnesses against him when it admitted into evidence police disciplinary report; whether police disciplinary report was admissible under business records exception (§ 52-180) to rule against hearsay.</i>	
Suliman v. Horowitz (Memorandum Decision)	903
Taber v. Taber	331
<i>Dissolution of marriage; child custody and visitation; subject matter jurisdiction; whether appeal from order modifying custody was moot; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering the defendant to pay arrearage of guardian ad litem fees.</i>	
Taylor v. Pollner	340
<i>Adverse possession; quiet title; motion for order; attorney's fees; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding monetary sanctions to compensate defendant for attorney's fees; whether award of attorney's fees were excessive, unreasonable, and clearly erroneous.</i>	
Trahan v. Cochran (Memorandum Decision)	904
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Memorandum Decision)	903
Village Mortgage Co. v. Garbus (Memorandum Decision)	902
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Uznanska (Memorandum Decision)	902
Wooden v. Perez	303
<i>Adverse possession; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; motion to dismiss; whether administrator of decedent's estate had standing to pursue adverse possession claim with respect to certain real property owned by decedent at time of his death; whether trial court correctly determined that administrator of estate lacked standing because decedent's will devised property to trust.</i>	
Young v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	905