

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 210

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Avon v. Freedom of Information Commission.	225
<i>Administrative appeal; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court abused its discretion by holding that agency may require requestors to sign acknowledgment form as condition precedent to inspection of original public records; whether defendant was aggrieved by trial court's judgment when only public records sought were copies.</i>	
Baltas v. Commissioner of Correction	167
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner's rights to autonomy and effective assistance of counsel were violated when his trial counsel conceded his guilt during closing arguments.</i>	
Berka v. Waterbury (Memorandum Decision)	901
Karen v. Loftus.	289
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; whether trial court applied correct legal standard with respect to plaintiff's motion to open.</i>	
Kiyak v. Dept. of Agriculture.	311
<i>Appeal of animal control officer's disposal orders pursuant to statute (§ 22-358); claim that § 22-358 (c) was unconstitutionally vague as applied because it permitted animal control officers to issue disposal orders as they deem necessary, thereby authorizing arbitrary enforcement; whether plaintiff's right to procedural due process was violated because hearing officer used inadequate procedures in upholding animal control officer's issuance of disposal orders; whether hearing officer abused his discretion by admitting and considering animal control officer's expert testimony.</i>	
Marshall v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles	109
<i>Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); claim that trial court improperly concluded that Department of Motor Vehicles hearing officer did not abuse her discretion by admitting certain exhibit into evidence; whether arresting officer's failure to mail report of incident to department within three business days of plaintiff's arrest as required by § 14-227b (c) rendered it unreliable.</i>	
MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Lakner (Memorandum Decision)	901
O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Poce v. O & G Industries, Inc.	82
<i>Negligence; negligent infliction of emotional distress; premises liability; recklessness; whether trial court erred in granting in part defendant's motion to strike; whether trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; adoption of trial court's memoranda of decision as proper statements of relevant facts and analyses of applicable law on issues.</i>	
R. S. v. E. S.	327
<i>Dissolution of marriage; mootness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court erred when it entered pendente lite order related to travel restrictions; whether trial court erred when it entered certain orders.</i>	
Rider v. Rider	278
<i>Probate appeal; whether Superior Court correctly determined that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal from Probate Court decree approving final account on basis that appeal was untimely.</i>	
Stanley v. Barone	239
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff inmate's federal constitutional rights; motion to dismiss; whether defendants were entitled to statutory (§ 4-165 (a)) immunity; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to federal statute (42 U.S.C. § 1983) on basis of doctrine of qualified immunity.</i>	

Salce v. Cardello	66
<i>Probate appeal; trusts; claim that defendant violated in terrorem clauses contained in will and trust agreement; whether defendant filed creditor's claim against estate in violation of in terrorem clauses contained in will and trust agreement; whether in terrorem clauses prohibiting beneficiaries of will and trust from challenging any action taken by fiduciary were unenforceable as matter of public policy.</i>	
State v. Cusson	130
<i>Cruelty to persons; disorderly conduct; competency; whether trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to present defense by failing to take adequate procedural measures before ruling that victim was incompetent to testify at defendant's trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it declined to contemporaneously observe victim before ruling on his competency to testify at trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to have victim examined by independent expert witness before ruling on victim's competency to testify; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to sanction prosecution for intimidating potential defense witnesses from testifying at trial; whether defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of prosecutorial impropriety.</i>	
State v. Jones	249
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; criminal possession of pistol; carrying pistol without permit; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal possession of pistol and carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court committed plain error with respect to its jury instructions concerning criminal possession of pistol by omitting from its charge that state was required to prove that defendant intended to exercise control over handgun; claim that trial court erred by allowing impermissible opinion testimony regarding defendant's intent to sell narcotics.</i>	
State v. LaMotte	44
<i>Robbery in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion by not affording defendant evidentiary hearing on motion to withdraw guilty pleas; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to pursue alibi defense; claim that defendant was under duress during plea proceeding because state's inspector had coerced and given false information about defendant to witness who was to testify at defendant's trial.</i>	
State v. McCarthy	1
<i>Kidnapping in second degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in second degree; larceny in second degree; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial because trial court improperly failed to provide jury with incidental restraint instruction in accordance with State v. Salamon (287 Conn. 509); claim that there was insufficient evidence to support conviction of kidnapping in second degree; claim that state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant intended to prevent liberation of victims beyond that which was incidental to and necessary to commit larceny and that he used or threatened to use physical force or intimidation to restrain his victims; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to due process and abused its discretion by denying his requests to remove his leg shackles at trial.</i>	
State v. Prudhomme.	176
<i>Assault in first degree; cruelty to persons; tampering with physical evidence; whether reasonable possibility existed that trial court's instruction on adequacy of police investigation misled jury by failing to inform jury of defendant's right to have it consider inadequacy of police investigation in evaluating whether state proved his guilt beyond reasonable doubt; whether instructional error prejudiced defendant and was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; whether trial court violated defendant's rights to confront witnesses against him when it admitted into evidence police disciplinary report; whether police disciplinary report was admissible under business records exception (§ 52-180) to rule against hearsay.</i>	
Taber v. Taber	331
<i>Dissolution of marriage; child custody and visitation; subject matter jurisdiction; whether appeal from order modifying custody was moot; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering the defendant to pay arrearage of guardian ad litem fees.</i>	
Taylor v. Pollner	340
<i>Adverse possession; quiet title; motion for order; attorney's fees; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding monetary sanctions to compensate defendant</i>	

for attorney's fees; whether award of attorney's fees were excessive, unreasonable, and clearly erroneous.

Village Mortgage Co. v. Garbus (Memorandum Decision) 902

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Uznanska (Memorandum Decision) 902

Wooden v. Perez. 303

Adverse possession; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; motion to dismiss; whether administrator of decedent's estate had standing to pursue adverse possession claim with respect to certain real property owned by decedent at time of his death; whether trial court correctly determined that administrator of estate lacked standing because decedent's will devised property to trust.