

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 215**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

<p>Adams v. Aircraft Spruce &amp; Specialty Co. . . . .</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Negligent entrustment; summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant father's motion for summary judgment as to claim that he negligently entrusted to daughter airplane she piloted that crashed, killing daughter and plaintiffs' decedent; claim that it was necessary to resolve dispute over whether father arranged and paid for daughter's rental and use of airplane before trial court could determine whether father controlled airplane or daughter's use of it for purposes of liability under negligent entrustment cause of action; claim that defendant company that leased airplane and defendant owner of company could be considered, under applicable provision (§ 390) of Restatement (Second) of Torts, third parties through whom father supplied daughter with airplane and that father could be deemed supplier of airplane under § 390 for purposes of liability under doctrine of negligent entrustment.</i></p>	<p>428</p>
<p>Ayuso v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner was deprived of right to due process when prosecutor failed to correct testimony concerning bulletproof vest worn by police officer during shooting incident with petitioner; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's decision not to challenge state's evidence that bullet caused officer's injury; claim that reasonable probability existed that outcome of criminal trial would have been different if petitioner's trial counsel had investigated and presented certain evidence in support of self-defense claim; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claim under State v. Morales (232 Conn. 707) regarding state's failure to preserve certain evidence at trial; claim that petitioner's appellate counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to challenge certain of trial court's actions concerning witness who purportedly threatened petitioner on day of shooting incident; claim that appellate counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to raise Morales claim concerning state's failure to preserve vehicle police officers occupied during shooting incident; claim that appellate counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to raise unpreserved claim that prosecutor improperly vouched for credibility of police officer during closing argument to jury; claim that habeas court improperly precluded petitioner's habeas counsel from questioning trial prosecutor about whether prosecutor should have known at time of trial that certain of police officer's testimony was false.</i></p>	<p>322</p>
<p>Berka v. Middletown (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .</p>	<p>902</p>
<p>Bialik v. Bialik . . . . .</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify alimony; whether trial court improperly concluded that defendant established change in circumstances for purposes of modifying alimony obligation; whether trial court incorrectly calculated defendant's adjusted gross earnings by failing to include funds defendant received from federal government to offset COVID-19 business losses; whether trial court's acceptance of calculation by defendant's expert witness of defendant's tax deduction for disability insurance payments was clearly erroneous.</i></p>	<p>559</p>
<p>Bruno v. Whipple . . . . .</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Contracts; attorney's fees; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant company's motion for attorney's fees; whether defendant company was prevailing party in action; claim that trial court erred in failing to award plaintiff attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) in exact amount of attorney's fees incurred by defendant company; claim that trial court erred in failing to award plaintiff attorney's fees and costs pursuant to provision in parties' contract.</i></p>	<p>478</p>
<p>Capital for Change, Inc. v. Board of Assessment Appeals . . . . .</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Real estate tax appeal; whether plaintiff's real property was used exclusively for charitable purposes as required to qualify for tax exemption pursuant to statute</i></p>	<p>681</p>

	<i>(§ 12-81 (7)); five-pronged test that must be satisfied in order for property to qualify for tax exemption set forth in Isaiah 61:1, Inc. v. Bridgeport (270 Conn. 69), discussed; three factors of St. Joseph's Living Center, Inc. v. Windham (290 Conn. 695), utilized to determine entitlement to charitable organization property tax exemption, discussed.</i>	
Clerk of the Common Council v. Freedom of Information Commission . . . . .		404
	<i>Administrative appeals; alleged violations of Freedom of Information Act (§ 1-200 et seq.); claim that trial court erred in concluding that attorney billing records were personnel or similar files pursuant to applicable statute (§ 1-210 (b) (2)); claim that trial court erred in finding that disclosure of redacted information was prohibited; whether disclosure of redacted information would constitute invasion of personal privacy; claim that trial court erred in concluding that certain information in attorney billing records and email communications was exempt from disclosure as privileged attorney-client communications pursuant to § 1-210 (b) (10).</i>	
Cohen v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection . . . . .		767
	<i>Administrative appeals; whether Superior Court improperly dismissed administrative appeal by plaintiff, in which town and harbor management commission intervened, from final decision of deputy commissioner of defendant Department of Energy and Environmental Protection that granted defendant homeowners' application to construct residential dock on their property; whether Superior Court correctly concluded that applicable statute (§ 22a-113n) did not authorize commission to make recommendations that were binding on department concerning dock permit applications within commission's jurisdiction; whether intervenors had standing to raise claim as to whether § 22a-113n authorized commission to make recommendations that were binding on department concerning activities within intervenors' jurisdiction; reviewability of proper construction of § 22a-113n; claim that hearing officer incorrectly allocated burdens of proof between plaintiff and defendant homeowners; claim that Superior Court incorrectly concluded that substantial evidence in record supported hearing officer's determination that there were no feasible and prudent alternatives to proposed dock; reviewability of claim that Superior Court sub silentio overruled hearing officer's determination that plaintiff lacked standing under applicable regulation (§ 22a-3a-6 (k)) to challenge homeowners' application to construct dock; claim that hearing officer required plaintiff to prove there were feasible alternatives to proposed dock.</i>	
Coney v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		99
	<i>Habeas corpus; dismissal of habeas petition as untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (d) and (e)); claim that habeas court erred in determining that petitioner failed to demonstrate good cause to overcome rebuttable presumption of unreasonable delay set forth in § 52-470 (d); factors set forth in Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (343 Conn. 424) for determining whether petitioner satisfied burden of demonstrating good cause, discussed.</i>	
Crenshaw v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		207
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered constitutionally deficient performance by failing to focus defense on theory that victim's death was caused by punches to her face; claim that trial counsel failed to prepare sufficiently, learn relevant forensic science and adequately cross-examine witnesses.</i>	
DAB Three, LLC v. Fitzpatrick. . . . .		835
	<i>Fraudulent concealment of cause of action pursuant to statute (§ 52-295); motion for summary judgment; doctrine of res judicata; claim that trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff's claims were barred by doctrine of res judicata; claim that plaintiff did not have adequate opportunity to litigate which defendant was liable for procurement of inadequate insurance coverage because defendants allegedly concealed that fact in prior action; whether plaintiff's claims in present action were same as those in prior action.</i>	
Disciplinary Counsel v. Spadoni . . . . .		249
	<i>Attorney misconduct; application for reinstatement to bar; whether trial court improperly accepted recommendation of Standing Committee on Recommendations for Admission to Bar for New Haven County that defendant's application for reinstatement be denied; whether standing committee, in making its recommendation, abused its discretion or acted arbitrarily, unreasonably, or without</i>	

*fair investigation of facts; claim that standing committee exceeded scope of its investigative authority by inquiring as to defendant's presuspension misconduct; claim that standing committee improperly found that defendant failed to accept his obstruction of justice conviction with sincerity and honesty.*

Doe v. Bemer . . . . . 504  
*Assault and battery; reckless and wanton conduct; intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiffs' motion to restore case to docket; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiffs' amended motion to reargue/reconsider; whether trial court improperly failed to hold hearing to make findings as to enforceability of settlement agreements; whether trial court had authority to rule on plaintiffs' motion to enforce settlement agreements; reviewability of plaintiffs' claim that trial court improperly denied their motion to set aside appellate stay.*

Fiveash v. Connecticut Conference of Municipalities. . . . . 542  
*Employment discrimination; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there were no genuine issues of material fact regarding plaintiff's claims of gender discrimination and retaliation; whether defendants' legitimate, nondiscriminatory justification for plaintiff's discharge was pretext for unlawful discrimination; whether there was any evidence that plaintiff engaged in protected activity giving rise to claim of retaliation.*

Edwards v. Johnson (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 903  
 Fischer v. Lawyers Title Corp. (See DAB Three, LLC v. Fitzpatrick). . . . . 835  
 Fiveash v. DeLong (See Fiveash v. Connecticut Conference of Municipalities). . . . . 542  
 Giuliano v. Freedom of Information Commission (See Clerk of the Common Council v. Freedom of Information Commission) . . . . . 404  
 Hassett v. Secor's Auto Center, Inc.. . . . . 463  
*Revocation of acceptance; motion for additur; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion for additur; whether jury's award of damages fell within limits of fair and reasonable compensation; claim that statute (§ 42a-2-711 (1)) required defendant to return to plaintiff full purchase price of vehicle because jury found in plaintiff's favor as to claim of revocation of acceptance; whether issue of how much had been paid on vehicle was question for jury to decide.*

Hemaya v. New Haven Islamic Center (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 902  
 In re Faith D-A. . . . . 758  
*Termination of parental rights; unpreserved claim that respondent mother was denied due process of law and equal protection of law under fourteenth amendment to United States constitution during virtual trial on petition to terminate her parental rights with respect to her minor child; whether trial court was required to provide mother with electronic device during virtual trial that would allow her to appear before court in same manner as if she were on trial in courtroom; whether record was adequate to review mother's unpreserved claim.*

In re Lillyanne D. . . . . 61  
*Termination of parental rights; whether trial court committed harmful error when it admitted into evidence under residual exception to hearsay rule certain summary reports by Department of Children and Families' service provider; claim that trial court made erroneous evidentiary findings in terminating respondent father's parental rights; whether evidence was sufficient to support trial court's determination that, pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)), father had failed to achieve such degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that, within reasonable time, he could assume responsible position in child's life; whether trial court properly determined that it was in child's best interest to terminate father's parental rights.*

In re Probate Appeal of Tunick . . . . . 551  
*Probate appeal; attorney's fees; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff was not aggrieved by probate decree approving payment of certain attorney's fees; claim that trial court failed to consider certain trust documents in rendering its judgment; whether plaintiff's appeal was rendered moot in light of subsequent probate decree allowing distribution of trust funds as payment for attorney's fees.*

In re Takie O. . . . . 580  
*Termination of parental rights; unpreserved claim that trial court denied respondent father right to physically confront witnesses against him at virtual trial on petition to terminate his parental rights with respect to his minor child in*

	<i>violation of due process clause of fourteenth amendment to United States constitution; whether record was adequate to review father's unpreserved claim.</i>	
J. Y. v. M. R. . . . .		648
	<i>Application for custody of minor child; postjudgment motions for modification of custody and visitation; claim that trial court improperly issued interim orders relating to custody of parties' minor child pending court's issuance of final orders; claim that, even if claim regarding interim orders was moot following issuance of final orders, it was subject to appellate review under capable of repetition, yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine; claim that trial court improperly issued final orders disposing of two motions for modification; claim that trial court abused its discretion in issuing order pursuant to rule of practice (§ 25-26 (g)) requiring parties to seek leave of court before filing motions for modification of final orders for five years; claim that trial court improperly denied motion for modification filed by defendant mother during period between court's issuance of its interim orders and its final orders; claim that trial court improperly denied motions for modification filed by mother following court's issuance of final orders because trial court applied wrong legal standard and incorrectly determined that there had not been material change in circumstances since issuance of final orders.</i>	
Kabel v. Rosen . . . . .		528
	<i>Will construction; equitable remedy; claim that trial court erred in failing to consider plaintiff's request for equitable remedy in form of reformation of decedent's unambiguous will; whether trial court, under law of case doctrine, erred in failing to adhere to its prior denial of motion to strike plaintiff's complaint; whether trial court should have considered intrinsic or extrinsic evidence pointing to decedent's intent with respect to will; whether equitable reformation of will was unavailable remedy as matter of law.</i>	
Karagozian v. MyEyeDr. Optometry of Connecticut, LLC (Memorandum Decision) . . . .		901
Lehane v. Murray . . . . .		305
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify custody of minor child; whether trial court improperly delegated judicial authority to nonjudicial party when it permitted defendant to alter, change or modify plaintiff's visitation schedule with child; claim that trial court abused its discretion in ordering plaintiff to undergo psychological evaluation in violation of statute (§ 46b-6); whether trial court erred in modifying dissolution judgment to permit defendant to claim minor child as dependent for income tax purposes when parties' separation agreement, which was incorporated into dissolution judgment, gave plaintiff nonmodifiable right to claim child as dependent.</i>	
Marcial S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		901
Myers v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		592
	<i>Habeas corpus; petition for new trial; murder; assault first degree; whether habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus alleging counts of ineffective assistance of counsel and actual innocence; whether trial counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to undertake additional efforts to secure at petitioner's criminal trial presence of witness who could not be located and served with capias warrant; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's requests for capias warrant and continuance in order to secure attendance of missing witness at habeas trial; whether trial court properly dismissed petition for new trial as time barred pursuant to applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-582); whether exception in § 52-582 allowing for petitions based on "other newly discovered evidence" to be filed outside limitation period included both forensic evidence and all other types of evidence or, instead, only evidence that was forensic in nature.</i>	
Myers v. State (See Myers v. Commissioner of Correction) . . . . .		592
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		902
Ocasio v. Verdura Construction, LLC . . . . .		139
	<i>Negligence; motion to set aside verdict; claim that trial court erred when it instructed jury and provided it with interrogatories to answer regarding ongoing storm doctrine; whether ongoing storm doctrine was relevant to plaintiff's claim that his injury was caused by defective railing; claim that trial court's alleged errors regarding jury instructions and interrogatories were harmful; claim that plaintiff failed to prove two essential elements of negligence claim; claim that plaintiff failed to submit necessary expert evidence in support of negligence claim.</i>	

Paniccia v. Success Village Apartments, Inc. . . . . 705  
*Breach of contract; motion to open and vacate judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion to open and vacate judgment; whether trial court's finding that plaintiff did not waive right to object to untimely decision of trial court was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court violated parol evidence rule by relying on testimony of witnesses rather than written contract in finding that contract was valid and enforceable; whether date on which contract was executed was term of contract subject to parol evidence rule; claim that trial court improperly awarded prejudgment interest on plaintiff's award for back pay.*

Pennymac Corp. v. Tarzia . . . . . 190  
*Foreclosure; whether trial court correctly concluded that substitute plaintiff satisfied its burden of proof pursuant to statute (§ 8-265ee (a)) that original plaintiff sent proper notice of Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program to defendant; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over foreclosure action; whether trial court improperly weighed defendant's evidence in support of motion to open.*

Pollard v. Geico General Ins. Co. . . . . 11  
*Underinsured motorist benefits; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; whether plaintiff's written notice to defendant insurer of automobile accident satisfied tolling provision of underinsured motorist insurance policy.*

Retained Realty, Inc. v. LeComte . . . . . 741  
*Foreclosure; application for execution of ejectment; motion to reargue; mootness; whether this court could afford any practical relief to plaintiff; whether plaintiff's case fell within capable of repetition, yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.*

Santiago v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 903

Schaghticoke Tribal Nation v. State. . . . . 384  
*Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal and state constitutional rights; taking without just compensation; breach of fiduciary duty; sovereign immunity; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's takings claims with respect to sale of certain land; claim that plaintiff's right of occupancy with respect to certain land constituted ownership interest; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty claims.*

Scott v. Scott. . . . . 24  
*Dissolution of marriage; postdissolution motion for contempt; award of attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 46b-87); whether trial court erred in denying motion for contempt on ground that date in parties' separation agreement for commencement of financial obligations was ambiguous; claim that trial court modified separation agreement's child support order such that plaintiff was not required to pay for certain of children's expenses; claim that trial court abused its discretion by not requiring plaintiff to reimburse defendant for certain expenses defendant unilaterally incurred on behalf of parties' minor children; whether trial court erred in determining that defendant was not entitled to full reimbursement from plaintiff for cost of children's dental procedures; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees under § 46b-87, which permits award of fees to prevailing party in contempt proceeding.*

Smith v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 167  
*Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to request jury instruction as to operability of firearm used during commission of robbery offense pursuant to sentence enhancement statute (§ 53-202k); claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to inform petitioner of elements of charge of being persistent serious felony offender; claim that petitioner's plea of nolo contendere to charge of being persistent serious felony offender was not knowing, intelligent and voluntary.*

Soto v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 113  
*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance during pretrial proceedings or by failing to investigate and present testimony of confidential informant at criminal trial; whether trial counsel's alleged errors prejudiced petitioner.*

State v. Ardizzone . . . . . 854  
*Application for discharge from jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; claim that there was no evidence in record to support trial court's finding that acquittee suffered from qualifying mental illness that caused him to be danger*

	<i>to himself or others; whether trial court properly denied acquittee's application for discharge from jurisdiction of board.</i>	
State v. Gamer . . . . .		234
	<i>Violation of probation; claim that evidence was insufficient to establish that defendant wilfully failed to pay restitution that was special condition of his probation; claim that trial court abused its discretion in revoking defendant's probation and sentencing him to term of incarceration.</i>	
State v. White . . . . .		273
	<i>Assault in first degree with firearm as accessory; jury instructions; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction where defendant's actions did not show he intended to physically harm victim or intended that his accomplice would use firearm in commission of offense; whether trial court properly declined to instruct jury that elements of accessorial liability pursuant to statute (§ 53a-8) required that defendant had to intend or to know that principal would discharge firearm during commission of assault in first degree; claim that this court should overrule precedent holding that accomplice need not have knowledge of or intent regarding aggravating factor that requires that principal have only general intent.</i>	
Williams v. Mansfield . . . . .		1
	<i>Petition to reopen parking violation assessment; subject matter jurisdiction; mootness; whether trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff's appeal of assessment issued by defendant town's hearing officer as moot; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order of mandamus to compel taxation of costs on ground that plaintiff was not prevailing party.</i>	
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Trevino (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		901
V. V. v. V. V. . . . . .		737
	<i>Order of protection; postjudgment orders of trial court; whether defendant's personal and legal interests were specially and injuriously affected by orders; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal.</i>	
Wilczek v. Progressive Direct Ins. Co. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		903