

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 214

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Davis v. Property Owners Assn. of Moodus Lake Shores, Inc.	165
<i>Declaratory judgment; motion for summary judgment; res judicata; restrictive covenants; deed rights; whether trial court erred in granting motion for summary judgment when it determined that plaintiffs' claims were barred by doctrine of res judicata; claim that trial court improperly determined that present claims could have been raised in first action; whether plaintiffs' claims in present action were same as those in first action.</i>	
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction	199
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal as to claim that petitioner's right to due process was violated when trial court denied his request for specific unanimity instruction; whether habeas court abused its discretion by denying certification to appeal as to petitioner's claims that trial counsel and first habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance; whether habeas court erred in dismissing claim that petitioner's counsel in his second habeas action rendered ineffective assistance; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying motion to open judgment.</i>	
Francis v. Briatico	244
<i>Alleged violation of plaintiff prisoner's constitutional rights under federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1983); motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant correctional institution employees; claim that trial court erred by applying heightened standard pursuant to Whitley v. Albers (475 U.S. 312) to determine violation of eighth amendment rather than deliberate indifference standard pursuant to Estelle v. Gamble (429 U.S. 97).</i>	
In re Omar I.	1
<i>Termination of parental rights; motion to open and set aside adoptions; whether respondent father lacked standing to challenge adoptions of his minor children.</i>	
Kazemi v. Allen	86
<i>Vexatious litigation; adverse possession; trespass; whether defendants properly appealed from final judgment; claim that trial court erred when it determined that defendants lacked probable cause to bring adverse possession and trespass counts of counterclaim; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendants did not establish their advice of counsel defense; claim that it was improper for trial court to infer that defendants acted with malice.</i>	
Levco Tech, Inc. v. Kelly	257
<i>Declaratory judgment; action seeking determination of number of shares of plaintiff corporation owned by certain shareholder; whether trial court improperly determined that any trust created by plaintiff's shareholder was revocable; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff's sale of stock to certain shareholder was invalid due to inadequate notice of board meeting at which sale was approved.</i>	
Miller v. Doe	35
<i>Alleged violation of plaintiff prisoner's constitutional rights under federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1983); claim that defendant correctional officer acted with deliberate indifference in violation of eighth amendment when defendant transported plaintiff in vehicle that he drove erratically and unsafely while plaintiff was not secured by seat belt; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for summary judgment on basis of qualified immunity; whether defendant's conduct while transporting plaintiff violated clearly established constitutional right; whether defendant's conduct was severe enough to constitute obvious constitutional violation.</i>	
Olson v. Olson	4
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to dismiss; whether trial court improperly dismissed motion for modification of alimony for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.</i>	
Reiner v. Reiner	63
<i>Declaratory judgment; contract interpretation; claim that term "interest," as used in buyout provision of settlement agreement for certain parcels of real estate,</i>	

	<i>meant “equitable interest”; claim that trial court erred in concluding that amount required to buy out defendant’s interests in certain real property pursuant to parties’ settlement agreement was to be calculated by multiplying his percentage interest in each property by difference of its fair market value minus any outstanding mortgage debt.</i>	
Sargent, Sargent & Jacobs, LLC v. Thoele		179
	<i>Interpleader; action for interpleader brought by escrow agent to determine rights to funds deposited by purchaser to ensure performance of obligations under real estate purchase and sale agreement; cross claim by purchaser that seller breached purchase and sale agreement; whether parol evidence rule precluded consideration of letter of intent related to sewer easement on property signed two years prior to purchase and sale agreement at issue; whether purchaser had actual knowledge of potential sewer easement on property; whether potential sewer easement was encumbrance on property; claim that trial court erred in determining that seller’s failure to disclose potential sewer easement was material breach of purchase and sale agreement.</i>	
State v. Glass.		132
	<i>Burglary in first degree; robbery in first degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to establish that defendant was perpetrator of crimes; whether evidence that defendant was major contributor to mixture of touch DNA found on fragment of latex glove used during commission of crimes was sufficient to determine that he was most recent or sole wearer of glove.</i>	
State v. Guild.		121
	<i>Petition filed pursuant to statute (§ 17a-593) for order to extend commitment of acquittee to jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; whether trial court’s denial of acquittee’s motion to dismiss state’s petition to extend his commitment constituted appealable final judgment pursuant to State v. Curcio (191 Conn. 27).</i>	
State v. Waters.		294
	<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor in violation of statute (§ 14-227a (a) (1)); sufficiency of evidence; reviewability of unreserved claim that testimony by state’s expert witness about likely blood alcohol content of person who was slurring his speech violated § 14-227a (c) when defendant was charged under behavioral subdivision of § 14-227a (a); whether trial court committed plain error in permitting opinion testimony by state’s expert witness that was implicitly related to defendant’s blood alcohol content in behavioral case under § 14-227a (a) (1); whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant failed to establish proper foundation to cross-examine state’s expert witness about whether other substances could have affected rate at which individual can become visibly intoxicated from alcohol; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress statements defendant made at accident scene and at police station.</i>	
Wilmington Trust, National Assn. v. N’Guessan		229
	<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in granting plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment without considering applicability of doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel; claim that trial court abused its discretion by sustaining plaintiff’s objections to defendant’s interrogatories and requests for production.</i>	