

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 212

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Arrico v. Board of Education	1
<i>Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board, which reversed in part Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to approve form 36 filed by defendants seeking to discontinue or to reduce plaintiff's workers' compensation benefits; claim that board misconstrued commissioner's decision as including finding that plaintiff was totally disabled as result of preexisting, noncompensable injuries; claim that board misconstrued commissioner's conclusion that further medical care of plaintiff's compensable injuries was palliative; claim that board, in denying plaintiff's motion for articulation or reconsideration, violated statute (§ 51-183c) by denying his request for order that issues on remand be tried de novo before different commissioner.</i>	
Byrne v. Avery Center for Obstetrics & Gynecology, P.C.	339
<i>Negligent infliction of emotional distress; breach of contract; breach of duty of patient confidentiality; claim that trial court improperly limited testimony of defendant's expert witness; claim that trial court improperly admitted medical report into evidence; claim that trial court improperly charged jury concerning future non-economic damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury interrogatory that differentiated between past and future damages; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for offer of judgment interest pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-192a).</i>	
Campbell v. Porter	377
<i>False arrest in violation of federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1983); civil conspiracy in violation of federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1985 (3)); intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether evidence was sufficient to support jury's verdict for defendant city and city police officer on false arrest claim; whether trial court improperly granted motion to strike civil conspiracy claim; claim that jury erred in failing to award punitive damages for intentional infliction of emotional distress despite returning verdict for plaintiff on that claim.</i>	
Cavanagh v. Richichi	402
<i>Partition of real property; whether trial court abused its discretion in its calculation of its award of just compensation to plaintiff; claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to award plaintiff compensation for defendants' use and occupancy of property.</i>	
Chapnick v. DiLauro	263
<i>Nuisance; special motions to dismiss under anti-SLAPP statute (§ 52-196a); whether trial court erred in granting defendants' special motions to dismiss as to claims of nuisance.</i>	
Desmond v. Yale-New Haven Hospital, Inc.	274
<i>Workers' compensation; motion to strike; whether trial court improperly struck complaints in three actions plaintiff employee brought against defendant employer as barred by exclusivity provision (§ 31-284 (a)) of Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.), where plaintiff had claimed defendant's conduct constituted employment discrimination pursuant to statute (§ 31-290a).</i>	
Fiorillo v. Hartford	291
<i>Breach of contract; motion for contempt; claim that defendant diminished health insurance benefits to which plaintiffs were entitled in violation of settlement agreement; whether defendant violated settlement agreement by changing third-party administrator of plaintiffs' health-care benefits without plaintiffs' written consent; whether settlement agreement was clear and unambiguous.</i>	
Gilman v. Shames	147
<i>Wrongful death; medical malpractice; bystander emotional distress; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly denied defendants' motion to dismiss; whether Claims Commissioner waived sovereign immunity with respect to plaintiff's claims; claim that accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592) exempted plaintiff from two year statute of limitations for wrongful death action.</i>	

Idlibi v. State Dental Commission.	501
<i>Administrative appeal; appeal from decision of defendant State Dental Commission, which found that plaintiff failed to meet applicable standard of care; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear plaintiff's administrative appeal for lack of service pursuant to statute (§ 4-183); whether trial court properly determined that commission properly relied on own expertise in assessing evidence; whether trial court erred in concluding that commission properly permitted expert testimony from dentist who was not board certified and similar health care provider as defined pursuant to statute (§ 52-184c); claim that trial court improperly dismissed challenges to commission's findings that plaintiff failed to obtain informed consent for placing more than one steel crown on minor patient's teeth; claim that commission acted in excess of its statutory (§ 20-114 (a) (2)) authority by ordering disciplinary sanctions as remedy for alleged violation of standard of care; claim that commission improperly found that plaintiff failed to adequately chart caries and decalcifications; claim that there were unresolved inconsistencies in commission's decision; unpreserved claim that trial court's decision to dismiss appeal violated right to fundamental fairness.</i>	
In re Teagan K.-O.	161
<i>Termination of parental rights; reviewability of claim that trial court lacked authority to terminate respondent mother's parental rights pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112) because minor child was not in custody of petitioner Commissioner of Children and Families; whether respondent mother's claim that dismissal of neglect petition vitiated statutory predicate for order of temporary custody constituted impermissible collateral attack on order of temporary custody; claim that trial court lacked jurisdiction to adjudicate petition for termination of parental rights because order of temporary custody was not final custody determination for purposes of establishing jurisdiction under Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (§ 46b-115 et seq.) and because there was no mechanism by which order of temporary custody could become final custody determination.</i>	
Jones v. Commissioner of Correction.	117
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court deprived petitioner of his constitutional and statutory rights by failing to admit into evidence or to consider transcripts of petitioner's underlying criminal trial; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner's trial counsel did not provide ineffective assistance; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that there was no violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) at petitioner's underlying criminal trial.</i>	
Middlebury v. Fraternal Order of Police, Middlebury Lodge No. 34	455
<i>Labor law; administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's administrative appeal from decision of defendant State Board of Labor Relations finding that plaintiff violated Municipal Employees Relations Act (§ 7-467 et seq.); claim that board improperly determined that it had jurisdiction over defendant union's prohibited practice complaint; claim that decision of board violated plaintiff's rights under Home Rule Act (§ 7-188); claim that board should have applied contract coverage standard as adopted by National Labor Relations Board in MV Transportation, Inc. (368 N.L.R.B. No. 66).</i>	
Nardozzi v. Perez	546
<i>Fraudulent misrepresentation; computer crime; absolute immunity; litigation privilege; whether trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to dismiss on basis of absolute immunity.</i>	
New Milford v. Standard Demolition Services, Inc.	30
<i>Breach of contract; claim that trial court misapplied state and federal environmental regulations; claim that trial court erred in failing to find that defendant's obligations under parties' contract were impossible to perform; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff lawfully had terminated contract; claim that evidence of certain change orders executed by plaintiff in connection with subsequent contract with another contractor, pursuant to which plaintiff agreed to modify terms of contract, constituted admissions that plaintiff's contract with defendant was defective and could not be performed by defendant as written; claim that trial court erred in making its award of damages to plaintiff.</i>	
Robbins Eye Center, P.C. v. Commerce Park Associates, LLC	487
<i>Negligence; order to compel; claim that trial court erred in granting plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to deliver to plaintiff escrowed funds and certain other payments to satisfy judgment award granted in plaintiff's favor; claim that trial</i>	

court improperly concluded that lease provision limiting remedies of tenant did not apply to plaintiff or to plaintiff's negligence claim against defendant.

Sease v. Commissioner of Correction. 99
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether it was premature to decide whether judgment of habeas court should be reversed on merits; whether habeas court erred in determining that no prejudice to petitioner had been established under Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668); whether there was reasonable probability that petitioner's sentence would have been less severe in light of mitigating evidence that was presented at habeas trial and not presented at sentencing; remand to habeas court for making of underlying factual findings from record and for determination, based on those findings, of whether petitioner has shown that counsel's representation at sentencing constituted constitutionally deficient performance.

State v. Avoletta 309
Declaratory judgment; sovereign immunity; claim that trial court improperly concluded that certain special legislation (Special Acts 2017, No. 17-4) authorizing defendants' claim to proceed before Claims Commissioner constituted unconstitutional public emolument, in violation of article first, § 1, of Connecticut constitution; claim that General Assembly did not automatically waive state's sovereign immunity as to defendants' claim by remanding their claim to Claims Commissioner; claim that trial court erred in determining defendants' counterclaim was barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity.

State v. Gray 193
Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's pretrial motion to dismiss charges against him or, in alternative, to suppress any evidence relating to currency seized during his arrest; whether police department's failure to preserve potentially exculpatory evidence violated defendant's right to due process under factors set forth in State v. Asherman (193 Conn. 695); whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's postverdict motions for new trial or, in alternative, mistrial, based on state's alleged violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83); claim that trial court abused its discretion by permitting state to present enlarged lab photograph of narcotics and related witness testimony on rebuttal.

State v. Kyle A. 239
Burglary in first degree; criminal mischief in first degree; threatening in second degree; criminal violation of protective order; tampering with witness; attempt to commit criminal violation of protective order; claim that state presented insufficient evidence that defendant committed burglary in first degree; claim that state's theory of case, that defendant entered or remained unlawfully in victim's home because victim expressly forbid him from entering home, was not legally viable; claim that evidence was insufficient to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant was armed with dangerous instrument; claim that trial court's instruction concerning charge of burglary in first degree constituted plain error.

VanDeusen v. Commissioner of Correction 427
Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by neglecting to request jury instruction regarding elements of sentence enhancement statute (§ 53-202k) and statutory (§ 53a-3 (19)) definition of firearm, or by failing to object to instruction trial court gave; unpreserved claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request that jury be instructed as to definition of firearm in § 53a-3 (19) because sentence enhancement under § 53-202k would not have applied if weapon used was assault weapon.

W. K. v. M. S. 532
Application for civil protection order; whether trial court erred when it, sua sponte, took judicial notice of contents of summary process complaint filed against defendant without giving him notice and opportunity to be heard; claim that trial court erred by finding defendant less credible because he did not appear at hearing; whether trial court's errors harmed defendant.