

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 209**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

A. D. v. B. R. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Aguiar v. Between-the-Bridges, LLC (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
Anderson v. Semple (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	905
Anim v. DaCosta (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Austin v. Coin Depot Corp. . . . .	131
<i>Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board affirming decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner; whether board erred in determining that commissioner properly concluded that defendant had discharged its obligations under applicable statute (§ 31-307a (c)); whether board correctly concluded that commissioner properly declined to apply negotiable instruments provisions of Uniform Commercial Code.</i>	
Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Gallant . . . . .	185
<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court properly denied motion to dismiss foreclosure action; whether substitute plaintiff's assignor had standing to bring foreclosure action at time it was commenced; whether substitute plaintiff's failure to produce original note in court was fatal to its foreclosure of mortgage; whether loss of note while in note assignor's possession affected substitute plaintiff's ability to foreclose mortgage.</i>	
Borg v. Cloutier (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	905
Briarwood of Silvermine, LLC v. Yew Street Partners, LLC . . . . .	271
<i>Adverse possession; quiet title; trespass; motion to dismiss; whether trial court erred in dismissing plaintiffs' adverse possession claim pursuant to rule of practice (§ 15-8) because, when determining whether plaintiffs had established prima facie case, court incorrectly applied law of adverse possession; claim that trial court erred by rendering judgment for defendants on counterclaims seeking to quiet title and for trespass.</i>	
Brown v. New Milford Crossings, LLC (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	903
Cammarato v. Sacred Heart University, Inc. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
Corbo v. Savluk . . . . .	351
<i>Negligence; hearsay; whether trial court erred in allowing defendant's attorney to question plaintiff about timing of her first consultation with counsel after motor vehicle accident; whether trial court erred in admitting letter that indicated that plaintiff had retained counsel to represent her in connection with motor vehicle accident under residual exception to hearsay rule.</i>	
Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	903
Global Staffing Services, LLC v. Murray (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	904
Grzeszczyk v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission . . . . .	313
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly determined that record was sufficient to support declaratory ruling of defendant Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission denying plaintiff's application for request of refund of certain retirement contributions; whether trial court properly determined that ruling of commission was consistent with applicable statutes (§§ 7-439g (a) and 7-440 (h)) and forms filed; claim that member of municipal employees retirement fund properly changed designation of his beneficiary.</i>	
Hospital Media Network, LLC v. Henderson . . . . .	395
<i>Breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court exceeded scope of this court's remand order when it awarded damages to plaintiff; claim that trial court awarded damages that were predicated on factual findings that were not supported by record and were inequitable.</i>	
Housing Authority v. Stevens . . . . .	569
<i>Summary process; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over summary process action; whether defendant's conduct constituted serious nuisance pursuant to applicable statute (§ 47a-15 (C)); whether trial court made clearly erroneous factual findings that were result of implicit bias.</i>	

In re Amanda L. . . . .	1
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court made factual findings required under statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) and (k)); claim that trial court improperly terminated respondent parents' parental rights; claim that termination of parental rights was unconstitutional, unlawful, and fraudulent.</i>	
Jones v. Law Offices of William S. Palmieri, LLC (Memorandum Decision). . . . .	901
Lasso v. Valley Tree & Landscaping. . . . .	584
<i>Negligence; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court's determination that provisions of contract between defendant construction manager and town did not give rise to duty owed by construction manager to defendant landscaping company hired by town and to its employees was legally and logically correct and supported by language of contract; whether plaintiffs, in opposition to motion for summary judgment, submitted any admissible evidence demonstrating that construction manager's responsibilities under contract extended to tree removal work; whether construction manager, through its actions, assumed voluntary duty of care to landscaping company's employee, giving rise to common-law duty to ensure safe workplace practices; whether plaintiffs presented any evidence of conduct on part of construction manager demonstrating that it was in charge of project to remove trees or in any way directed activities of employees of landscaping company; viability of loss of consortium claim that was derivative of negligence claim.</i>	
Lebanon Historical Society, Inc v. Attorney General . . . . .	337
<i>Quiet title; motion to dismiss; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff lacked standing to bring action because it claimed no title or interest in parcel to which it sought to quiet title and to impose conservation and preservation restrictions, as required by statute (§ 47-31 (a)).</i>	
Lippi v. United Services Automobile Assn. . . . .	524
<i>Breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiffs were entitled to coverage under homeowners insurance policy issued by defendant; claim that trial court erred in concluding that plaintiffs' property did not suffer collapse as defined in homeowners insurance policy; claim that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether damage to property resulting from cracking in basement walls constituted sudden caving in; whether trial court failed to apply correct standard in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court improperly shifted burden of proof to plaintiffs.</i>	
Lockhart v. NAI Elite, LLC . . . . .	308
<i>Unpaid wages; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding full amount of attorney's fees; claim that attorney's fees were excessive because plaintiff was only partially successful on his claims.</i>	
M. W. v. E. W. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	905
Norwich v. Norwich Properties Realty, LLC (Memorandum Decision). . . . .	902
Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC v. Mordecai . . . . .	483
<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendants' request to amend answer and special defenses; whether trial court improperly rendered summary judgment as to liability; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment of strict foreclosure.</i>	
O'Neill v. O'Neill. . . . .	165
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that periodic alimony and child support amounts exceeded defendant's net income; whether trial court's finding of defendant's net earning capacity was clearly erroneous; whether trial court improperly ordered that alimony would increase after plaintiff vacated marital residence; whether trial court had authority to award nonmodifiable alimony; claim that nonmodifiable alimony conflicted with cohabitation statute (§ 46b-86); whether trial court improperly awarded plaintiff marital residence without specifying that she would take property subject to all mortgages and liens of record; whether trial court improperly ordered that plaintiff could relocate with parties' minor children; whether trial court's order was ambiguous as to time period for periodic alimony.</i>	
Overley v. Overley. . . . .	504
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court failed to award separate property credit for defendant's contribution to purchase of marital home; whether trial court improperly contravened parties' prenuptial agreement governing tax treatment of alimony payments; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for continuance.</i>	

PHH Mortgage Corp. v. Kowalsky (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 903

R. A. v. R. A. . . . . 327

*Dissolution of marriage; whether defendant's claim that trial court improperly included her minor child from previous relationship in custody order without accounting for rights of biological father was moot; whether trial court abused its discretion in crafting its visitation order; whether trial court relied on inaccurate information in fashioning its child support orders.*

Reid v. Speer . . . . . 540

*Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board precluding defendant employer from contesting compensability of claim for work-related injuries; claim that defendant's filing of form contesting her liability as employer for plaintiff's injury would have constituted criminal conduct pursuant to statute (§ 31-290c); claim that Workers' Compensation Commissioner erred in certain factual findings.*

S. A. v. D. G. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 904

Silano v. Cooney (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 904

State v. Alvarez . . . . . 250

*Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; motion to allow introduction of uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that trial court improperly admitted uncharged misconduct evidence; whether trial court erred in failing to disclose certain confidential records relating to credibility of witness; whether trial court followed procedure required by State v. Esposito (192 Conn. 166) in disclosing confidential records to parties.*

State v. Bouvier . . . . . 9

*Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; reckless driving; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain statements made during police interrogation; claim that defendant had not been advised of his rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436) before making statements in custodial interview; claim that trial court improperly determined that defendant implicitly had waived his Miranda rights; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion in limine to preclude testimony of arresting officers; whether trial court erred in sustaining state's objection to defense counsel's questions to arresting officer regarding finding of no probable cause to arrest made by Department of Motor Vehicles hearing officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest could be introduced to impeach testimony of arresting officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest was relevant and admissible to prove that there was no probable cause to arrest defendant.*

State v. Butler . . . . . 63

*Risk of injury to child; breach of peace in second degree; statutory (§ 54-56l) supervised diversionary program for persons with psychiatric disabilities; subject matter jurisdiction; whether criminal court had power to open judgment of dismissal rendered by it after concluding that defendant had satisfactorily completed diversionary program; claim that statute (§ 52-212a) permitting opening of civil judgment on filing of motion to open within four months of date on which judgment was rendered also applied to criminal judgments.*

State v. Carrillo . . . . . 213

*Sexual assault in third degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; whether defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of unreserved claims of prosecutorial impropriety.*

State v. Holmes . . . . . 197

*Manlaughter in first degree with firearm; felony murder; motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction defendant's claim that charging document listed multiple homicide offenses in violation of constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether defendant's claim attacked proceedings leading up to conviction instead of sentence or sentencing proceeding; whether trial court properly denied defendant's claim that his sentence for felony murder conviction was illegal; whether felony murder and manslaughter in first degree were separate crimes; whether sentencing court's decision to vacate less serious felony of manslaughter was proper.*

State v. James K. . . . . 441

*Risk of injury to child; whether trial court abused its discretion when it prohibited defense counsel from asking prospective jurors during voir dire to express opinions with respect to parents who kiss their children on lips; claim that trial court*

*abused its discretion by admitting into evidence videotaped forensic interview of victim; whether trial court violated defendant's rights to due process, fair trial and to be convicted by means of unanimous verdict when it declined to use language in his written request for instructions to urge deadlocked jury to reach verdict; request that this court exercise supervisory authority over administration of justice to require trial courts to instruct deadlocked juries that they need not reach verdict and that jurors have right to disagree with respect to proper verdict.*

State v. McKinney . . . . . 363

*Assault of elderly person in second degree; violation of probation; reviewability of claim that prior trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court's decision not to consider second motion to correct illegal sentence negated withdrawal of appeal from denial of first motion to correct illegal sentence, thereby allowing defendant to renew challenge to denial of first motion to correct illegal sentence; reviewability of assertion that trial court erred in excluding testimony as to whether sock with rock in it was weapon; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that testimony about incident six years earlier in which police officer allegedly hit defendant with baton was relevant; unpreserved claim that trial court improperly struck certain of defense counsel's statements during closing argument to jury and thereby violated defendant's sixth amendment right to effective assistance of counsel.*

State v. Omar . . . . . 283

*Possession of narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent; sale of narcotics by person who is not drug-dependent; conspiracy to sell narcotics by person who is not drug-dependent; sale of controlled substance within 1500 feet of school; possession of controlled substance within 1500 feet of school; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that certain amendments to statutes (§§ 53a-28 (b) and 54-125e (b)) embodied in public act (P.A. 18-63) did not apply retroactively to render defendant's sentence imposing special parole void.*

State v. Rosario . . . . . 550

*Larceny in second degree; motion for extension of time to begin making restitution payments; claim that trial court committed plain error when it required defendant, as special condition of probation, to pay restitution; claim that trial court did not consider factors enumerated in applicable statute (§ 53a-28 (c)) before requiring defendant to pay restitution as special condition of probation; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's motion for extension of time within which to begin making restitution payments; claim that trial court violated defendant's due process right to fair trial when it questioned him and two of state's witnesses.*

State v. Smith . . . . . 296

*Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that public act (P.A. 18-63) was clarifying legislation; claim that amendments of certain statutes (§§ 53a-28 (b) and 54-125e (b)) embodied in P.A. 18-63 should have been applied retroactively to render defendant's sentence imposing period of special parole void.*

United Public Service Employees Union, Cops Local 062 v. Hamden . . . . . 116

*Temporary injunction; whether trial court employed correct legal standard in granting plaintiff's application for temporary injunction.*

Walzer v. Walzer . . . . . 604

*Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting motion for contempt; whether trial court properly found that defendant's violation of court order was wilful; claim that trial court lacked jurisdiction to enter order regarding sale of certain real property on basis that it constituted improper modification of final property division; claim that trial court abused its discretion in issuing orders relating to sale of certain real property; claim that trial court's order setting terms of sale of certain real property violated defendant's right to due process.*

White v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 144

*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness who allegedly had perjured her testimony against petitioner at his criminal trial; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness whose testimony allegedly supported petitioner's claim*

*that his trial counsel was ineffective and who could have impeached testimony of eyewitnesses at petitioner's criminal trial.*

Wright v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 50

*Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present alibi defense.*

Zakko v. Kasir . . . . . 619

*Dissolution of marriage; attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees; whether it was reasonable for trial court to conclude that plaintiff lacked ample liquid funds to pay for her attorney's fees; claim that trial court relied on clearly erroneous factual finding in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees.*