

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 211

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

<p><i>Bova v. Commissioner of Correction</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that habeas court erred in failing to find existence of agreement or understanding between state and coconspirator with respect to coconspirator's testimony at petitioner's criminal trial; whether state failed to disclose exculpatory evidence or to correct false and misleading testimony at petitioner's criminal trial, in violation of petitioner's due process rights.</i></p> <p><i>Carter v. Bowler</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Due process; absolute immunity; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly granted defendant statewide bar counsel's motion to dismiss on ground of absolute immunity; whether statewide bar counsel's actions in reviewing complaints of attorney misconduct were taken in quasi-judicial capacity and pursuant to statutory (§ 51-90c) authority.</i></p> <p><i>Cummings Enterprise, Inc. v. Moutinho</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss; motion for leave to correct plaintiff's brief, denied.</i></p> <p><i>Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Administrative appeal; whistleblower retaliation action; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities lacked subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate complaint; claim that complainant did not make protected whistleblower disclosure pursuant to applicable statute (§ 4-61dd); whether educational qualifications for directors of health required by statute ((Rev. to 2015) § 19a-200) also applied to acting directors of health.</i></p> <p><i>Epright v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Underinsured motorist coverage; whether trial court's order granting motion to disqualify expert witness was appealable final judgment under State v. Curcio (191 Conn. 27).</i></p> <p><i>Fenstermaker v. Fenstermaker (Memorandum Decision)</i></p> <p><i>Fulcher v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)</i></p> <p><i>Gottesman v. Kratter</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Legal malpractice; breach of contract; transferee liability; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment as to plaintiff's legal malpractice claims following plaintiff's failure to disclose expert witness; whether trial court properly granted defendant attorney's motion to strike portion of plaintiff's complaint alleging breach of contract; whether trial court properly granted defendant law firms' motion for summary judgment as to plaintiff's transferee liability claim.</i></p> <p><i>Green v. Paz</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Legal malpractice; ripeness; subject matter jurisdiction; exoneration rule; whether claim that defendants provided deficient representation with respect to plaintiff's prior habeas corpus action was ripe for adjudication when plaintiff remained validly incarcerated and his conviction had never been invalidated.</i></p> <p><i>Griffin Hospital v. ISOThrive, LLC</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Breach of contract; whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff was not obligated, under terms of agreement, to perform analysis to determine whether certain medications had potential to interact with ingredients of supplement under study; whether trial court properly concluded that language of revised protocol was clear and unambiguous with respect to selection of study participants; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff performed study in compliance with agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion by awarding plaintiff prejudgment interest pursuant to applicable statute (§ 37-3a).</i></p> <p><i>Hartford v. Hartford Police Union</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Arbitration; motion to vacate arbitration award; claim that trial court erred in concluding that arbitration panel did not exceed its authority in violation of applicable statute (§ 52-418 (a) (4)) in finding that plaintiff city violated its</i></p>	<p>248</p> <p>119</p> <p>130</p> <p>223</p> <p>26</p> <p>901</p> <p>901</p> <p>206</p> <p>152</p> <p>254</p> <p>155</p>
---	---

	<i>collective bargaining agreement with defendant union and in ordering retroactive pay to be made to certain of city's employees as remedy, while allowing such employees to retain overtime pay already received.</i>	
Heywood v. Commissioner of Correction		102
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner was not prejudiced by trial counsel's deficient performance.</i>	
In re Aligha R.-S.		39
	<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in finding that Department of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunite respondent mother with her children; claim that trial court erred in finding that mother failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); claim that trial court erred in finding that termination of mother's parental rights was in best interests of children; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance.</i>	
KDM Services, LLC v. DRVN Enterprises, Inc.		135
	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court abused its discretion in allowing plaintiff to amend its complaint following trial to conform to evidence at trial.</i>	
Lewis v. Commissioner of Correction.		77
	<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of appellate counsel; procedural default; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court erred in denying petitioner's motion to sequester subpoenaed witness, in striking his motion to reconstruct and correct record, and in denying his request to issue subpoena; claim that habeas court erred in dismissing claims of petition alleging violation of constitutional rights to fair trial, to present defense, to self-representation and to counsel and violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) on ground of procedural default; claim that habeas court improperly denied claim that appellate counsel provided ineffective assistance.</i>	
Massey Bros. Excavating, LLC v. Pacileo's Apizza, LLC (Memorandum Decision)		901
Newtown v. Gaydosh		186
	<i>Zoning; motion for contempt; whether trial court's finding that defendants had violated stipulated judgment by engaging in commercial mining and construction related operations on property was clearly erroneous; whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing certain sanctions as result of its finding of contempt.</i>	
Quint v. Commissioner of Correction.		27
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to meaningfully explain state's plea offer; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to ensure that petitioner received presentence jail credit for time he had served between his sentencings in two separate cases.</i>	
Scient Federal Credit Union v. Rabon		264
	<i>Breach of credit card agreement; motion for summary judgment; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly concluded that there was no genuine issue of material fact with respect to defendant's liability and amount of damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether defendant waived claim of insufficiency of process by failing to file motion to dismiss within thirty days of filing appearance as required by applicable rule of practice (§ 10-30).</i>	
Seder v. Errato.		167
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in failing to enforce parties' alleged prenuptial agreement; whether defendant failed to prove contents of prenuptial agreement; claim that trial court improperly ordered defendant to pay attorney's fees to plaintiff.</i>	
Stanley v. Woodard		127
	<i>Probate appeal; motion to open and vacate judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion to open and vacate judgment of dismissal.</i>	
State v. Schlosser		143
	<i>Violation of probation; unreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's due process rights by failing to advise him of his right to maintain denial of his violation of probation; whether defendant's admissions to violation of probation were made knowingly and voluntarily.</i>	

Tatum v. Commissioner of Correction 42
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; res judicata; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed counts of habeas petition alleging ineffective assistance of trial counsel, appellate counsel, and first habeas counsel on basis of res judicata; claim that habeas court improperly determined that State v. Guilbert (306 Conn. 218) and State v. Dickson (322 Conn. 410) did not apply retroactively on collateral review to identification claims raised in habeas petition; claim that habeas court improperly denied count of habeas petition that alleged ineffective assistance against third habeas counsel.

Tolland Meetinghouse Commons, LLC v. CXF Tolland, LLC. 1
Breach of contract; breach of guaranty agreement; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.