

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 209

A. D. v. B. R. (Memorandum Decision)	901
Aguiar v. Between-the-Bridges, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	902
Anim v. DaCosta (Memorandum Decision)	901
Austin v. Coin Depot Corp.	131
<i>Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board affirming decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner; whether board erred in determining that commissioner properly concluded that defendant had discharged its obligations under applicable statute (§ 31-307a (c)); whether board correctly concluded that commissioner properly declined to apply negotiable instruments provisions of Uniform Commercial Code.</i>	
Cammarato v. Sacred Heart University, Inc. (Memorandum Decision)	902
In re Amanda L.	1
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court made factual findings required under statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) and (k)); claim that trial court improperly terminated respondent parents' parental rights; claim that termination of parental rights was unconstitutional, unlawful, and fraudulent.</i>	
Jones v. Law Offices of William S. Palmieri, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	901
Norwich v. Norwich Properties Realty, LLC (Memorandum Decision)	902
O'Neill v. O'Neill	165
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that periodic alimony and child support amounts exceeded defendant's net income; whether trial court's finding of defendant's net earning capacity was clearly erroneous; whether trial court improperly ordered that alimony would increase after plaintiff vacated marital residence; whether trial court had authority to award nonmodifiable alimony; claim that nonmodifiable alimony conflicted with cohabitation statute (§ 46b-86); whether trial court improperly awarded plaintiff marital residence without specifying that she would take property subject to all mortgages and liens of record; whether trial court improperly ordered that plaintiff could relocate with parties' minor children; whether trial court's order was ambiguous as to time period for periodic alimony.</i>	
State v. Bouvier	9
<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; reckless driving; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain statements made during police interrogation; claim that defendant had not been advised of his rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436) before making statements in custodial interview; claim that trial court improperly determined that defendant implicitly had waived his Miranda rights; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion in limine to preclude testimony of arresting officers; whether trial court erred in sustaining state's objection to defense counsel's questions to arresting officer regarding finding of no probable cause to arrest made by Department of Motor Vehicles hearing officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest could be introduced to impeach testimony of arresting officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest was relevant and admissible to prove that there was no probable cause to arrest defendant.</i>	
State v. Butler	63
<i>Risk of injury to child; breach of peace in second degree; statutory (§ 54-56l) supervised diversionary program for persons with psychiatric disabilities; subject matter jurisdiction; whether criminal court had power to open judgment of dismissal rendered by it after concluding that defendant had satisfactorily completed diversionary program; claim that statute (§ 52-212a) permitting opening of civil judgment on filing of motion to open within four months of date on which judgment was rendered also applied to criminal judgments.</i>	
United Public Service Employees Union, Cops Local 062 v. Hamden	116
<i>Temporary injunction; whether trial court employed correct legal standard in granting plaintiff's application for temporary injunction.</i>	

White v. Commissioner of Correction 144
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness who allegedly had perjured her testimony against petitioner at his criminal trial; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness whose testimony allegedly supported petitioner's claim that his trial counsel was ineffective and who could have impeached testimony of eyewitnesses at petitioner's criminal trial.

Wright v. Commissioner of Correction 50
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present alibi defense.