

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 208

<p>JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Malick</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment of strict foreclosure; whether trial court erred as matter of law when it accepted affidavit of debt and relied on it to establish amount of defendant's indebtedness even though defendant had articulated specific objections to amount of mortgage debt; whether trial court properly applied rule of practice (§ 23-18 (a)) in permitting plaintiff to prove amount of debt by submission of affidavit; whether defendant's articulated objections concerning amount of mortgage debt were sufficient to render application of § 23-18 improper.</i></p>	38
<p>State v. Luna</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Misconduct with motor vehicle; assault in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction; claim that evidence was insufficient for jury to determine that defendant acted with criminal negligence; claim that trial court abused its discretion and violated defendant's constitutional right to present defense when it precluded her from introducing toxicology report into evidence; claim that admission into evidence of death certificate violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation because death certificate contained testimonial hearsay; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to conflict free representation when trial court failed to inquire, sua sponte, into conflict of interest defense counsel created.</i></p>	45
<p>Tannenbaum v. Tannenbaum</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court improperly modified parties' custody agreement regarding air travel relating to minor child.</i></p>	16
<p>Ulanoff v. Becker Salon, LLC</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Negligence; personal injury; claim that trial court erred by precluding plaintiff from introducing into evidence photograph of entryway to defendants' business, where her accident occurred, which she had obtained from defendant's website; claim that trial court erred in prohibiting plaintiff from questioning witness about appearance of entryway on date prior to incident; claim that cumulative effect of trial court's allegedly erroneous rulings was harmful.</i></p>	1