

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 207**

---

<i>Belevich v. Renaissance I, LLC</i> <i>Negligence; premises liability; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on basis of ongoing storm doctrine; whether defendants met initial burden to demonstrate no genuine issue of material fact that there was ongoing storm at time of plaintiff's fall; whether plaintiffs met burden to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of fact as to whether fall was caused by slippery condition that existed prior to ongoing storm and whether defendants had actual or constructive notice of allegedly preexisting condition; adoption of state of New York burden-shifting approach under ongoing storm doctrine.</i>	119
<i>Coleman v. Bembridge</i> <i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly modified physical custody of parties' minor child prospectively; claim that trial court acted beyond its statutory authority and violated plaintiff's due process rights to extent that it awarded parties joint physical custody of child; claim that trial court abused its discretion by entering physical custody orders that were predicated on inconsistent factual findings, were incompatible with trial court's legal custody orders, and were not in child's best interest.</i>	28
<i>Finney v. Commissioner of Correction</i> <i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to rule of practice (§ 23-29 (2)); whether habeas petition stated claim on which habeas relief could be granted; whether habeas court improperly determined that habeas petition was timely filed within limitation period set forth in applicable statute (§ 52-470 (c)).</i>	133
<i>In re Karter F.</i> <i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly found that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent father with minor child; whether trial court properly found that father was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification efforts; claim that trial court improperly found that father failed to rehabilitate pursuant to applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); whether trial court failed to consider impact of COVID-19 pandemic and cessation of services while father was incarcerated in determining that father failed to rehabilitate; claim that trial court improperly found that termination of father's parental rights was in minor child's best interests.</i>	1
<i>Stafford v. Commissioner of Correction</i> <i>Habeas corpus; subject matter jurisdiction; whether petitioner's appeal was rendered moot following concession by respondent Commissioner of Correction that petitioner is eligible for parole; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition on basis of testimony that Board of Pardons and Paroles found petitioner to be eligible for parole; claim that the habeas court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over claims in petition for writ of habeas corpus that retroactive application of parole eligibility statute (§ 54-125a (b) (1)) to petitioner violated ex post facto clause of federal constitution.</i>	85
<i>State v. Dionne</i> <i>Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; plain error doctrine; claim that trial court erred in allowing constancy of accusation testimony by victim's mother; claim that trial court erred by admitting videotape of forensic interview of victim under constancy of accusation doctrine or pursuant to medical diagnosis or treatment exception to rule against hearsay evidence.</i>	106
<i>State v. Glen S.</i> <i>Violation of probation; whether trial court's canvass of defendant regarding waiver of his right to be represented by counsel was constitutionally inadequate under <i>Faretta v. California</i> (422 U.S. 806); whether defendant's alleged noticeable impairment during his violation of probation evidentiary hearing entitled him to new trial under <i>State v. Connor</i> (292 Conn. 483); whether this court should have</i>	56

*exercised its supervisory authority to require trial courts to canvass criminal defendants about waiver of their constitutional rights to testify; whether defendant was deprived of his constitutional right to conflict free representation.*

State v. Heriberto B. . . . . 192

*Risk of injury to child; whether trial court properly dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction first motion to correct illegal sentence defendant filed as self-represented party; reviewability of unpreserved constitutional claim of violation of right to jury trial.*

State v. Stephenson . . . . . 154

*Burglary in third degree; attempt to commit tampering with physical evidence; attempt to commit arson in second degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of charged offenses; whether trial court's improper exclusion of evidence of defendant's mental state was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; claim that trial court's improper evidentiary ruling substantially affected jury's verdict and deprived defendant of constitutional rights to present defense.*