

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 206**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Allen v. Shoppes at Buckland Hills, LLC . . . . .	284
<i>Negligence; doctrine of superseding cause; claim that trial court improperly instructed jury on superseding cause; claim that trial court improperly instructed jury on statutory (§ 54-1f) duties of off duty police officers; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury on duties owed by possessor of land to invitees.</i>	
Bellerive v. Grotto, Inc. . . . .	702
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly reversed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner determining that certain insurance coverage was in effect on date of plaintiff's injury; claim that defendant insurer's notice of cancellation of policy pursuant to statute (§ 31-348) was ineffective because it was not made in accordance with requirements of statute (§ 31-321); whether certain common-law theories supported finding that insurance coverage was in place on date of loss under facts of case.</i>	
Boyajian v. Planning & Zoning Commission . . . . .	118
<i>Zoning; whether plaintiffs' failure to appeal from decision of zoning board of appeals that granted application for variance rendered their opposition to planning and zoning commission's decision to grant special permit to same individual based on variance impermissible collateral attack on validity of variance.</i>	
Bray v. Bray . . . . .	46
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that meaning of term "net," as used in parties' separation agreement, was clear and unambiguous; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that separation agreement did not contemplate consideration of defendant's net income to calculate amount of his bonus and stock income that was subject to distribution to plaintiff.</i>	
Buehler v. Newtown . . . . .	472
<i>Negligence; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether plaintiff was identifiable victim who fell within identifiable person-imminent harm exception to governmental immunity doctrine; whether plaintiff's presence on premises was voluntary.</i>	
Capone v. Nizzardo . . . . .	645
<i>Partition of real property; claim that trial court committed plain error when it determined highest and best use of property without reviewing applicable zoning regulations; whether plaintiff met either prong of plain error doctrine; claim that trial court's determination of highest and best use of property was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Carrasquillo v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	195
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner was not denied right to effective assistance of counsel; whether trial counsel adequately advised petitioner regarding plea offer.</i>	
Charles v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	341
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to demonstrate that it was objectively unreasonable for trial counsel to pursue defense of third-party culpability instead of self-defense; whether habeas court's factual findings were clearly erroneous; whether habeas court's factual findings amounted to harmless error.</i>	
Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Elder . . . . .	515
<i>Attorney presentment; appeal from judgment of trial court reprimanding defendant attorney; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to dismiss presentment complaint because reviewing committee took more than ninety days to render its final written decision in contravention of statute (§ 51-90g (c)) and applicable rule of practice (§ 2-35 (i)); claim that trial court erred in denying motion to dismiss presentment complaint because reviewing committee considered allegations outside scope of probable cause determination.</i>	

Clark v. Waterford . . . . .	223
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner that plaintiff employee's claim for benefits under Heart and Hypertension Act (§ 7-433c) was compensable; whether plaintiff was member of paid municipal fire department eligible for benefits pursuant to § 7-433c while he was employed as part-time firefighter; claim that term "member" in § 7-433c is defined by statute (§ 7-425 (5)).</i>	
Cocchia v. Testa . . . . .	634
<i>Breach of contract; personal jurisdiction; motion to dismiss; motion to substitute; claim that trial court improperly denied postjudgment motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction because defendant was not properly cited in as defendant.</i>	
Cooke v. Williams . . . . .	151
<i>Legal malpractice; fraud; ripeness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether claim that defendants provided deficient representation with respect to plaintiff's prior habeas corpus action was ripe for adjudication when plaintiff remained validly incarcerated and his conviction has never been invalidated; whether claim alleging fraudulent and improper fee practices by defendants was ripe for adjudication.</i>	
Cruz v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	17
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in concluding that counsel's allegedly deficient representation during plea negotiations was not prejudicial; whether habeas court erred in determining that petitioner failed to prove his claim of ineffective assistance with respect to his counsel's representation during his sentencing proceedings; claim that petitioner was entitled to presumption of prejudice pursuant to United States v. Cronin (466 U.S. 648) and Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (319 Conn. 548) with respect to his ineffective assistance of counsel claim.</i>	
Dunn v. Northeast Helicopters Flight Services, L.L.C. . . . .	412
<i>Wrongful termination of employment; whether trial court properly granted defendant employer's motion for summary judgment and denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether undisputed facts raised genuine issue of material fact that defendant violated statute (§ 31-73 (b)) and its underlying public policy that prohibits employers from demanding money from employees as condition of continued employment; whether employer's onetime proposal for potential fee sharing relationship in connection with business that its employee sought to establish separate from his employment with defendant fell within type of coercive behavior § 31-73 forbids.</i>	
Fenner v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	488
<i>Habeas corpus; dismissal of habeas petition as untimely pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-470 (c) and (e)); claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner satisfied his burden of demonstrating good cause for delay in filing habeas petition.</i>	
Frantzen v. Davenport Electric . . . . .	359
<i>Workers' compensation; attorney's fees; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly vacated ruling of Workers' Compensation Commissioner and remanded case for new evidentiary hearing on ground that there was insufficient evidence in record to support commissioner's distribution of attorney's fees; whether board correctly applied appropriate legal standard to its review of commissioner's decision.</i>	
Gibson v. Jefferson Woods Community, Inc. . . . .	303
<i>Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff lacked standing to seek foreclosure of mortgage and to pursue claim of unjust enrichment; claim that mortgage that plaintiff sought to foreclose had not been extinguished in prior foreclosure action because trial court in that action lacked jurisdiction.</i>	
Graham v. Commissioner of Transportation . . . . .	497
<i>State defective highway statute (§ 13a-144); motion to set aside verdict; claim that trial court abused its discretion by refusing to accept jury's initial verdict for plaintiff and by returning jury to continue its deliberations to rectify inconsistency in its verdict; reviewability of claim that interrogatory submitted to jury was confusing and suffered from inartful wording; reviewability of claim that trial court erred with respect to supplemental instruction that it gave to jury before returning jury to continue its deliberations.</i>	

Guiliano v. Jefferson Radiology, P.C. . . . .	603
<i>Medical malpractice; whether trial court abused its discretion by sustaining objections by defendant's counsel to certain questions posed to plaintiff's expert witness on direct examination; whether trial court abused its discretion by imposing time limitation on presentation of witness' testimony; whether time limitation imposed on witness' testimony constituted violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights.</i>	
Gutierrez v. Mosor. . . . .	818
<i>Negligence; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiff's motion for default as sanction for defendant's single discovery violation for failure to attend scheduled deposition; whether trial court abused its discretion by failing to grant defendant's motion to set aside default; whether trial court's sanction of default was proportional to defendant's violation of discovery order.</i>	
Hasan v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	695
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed petitioner's third petition for writ of habeas corpus as untimely pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-470 (d) and (e)); whether petitioner failed to overcome rebuttable presumption that he lacked good cause for filing petition beyond statutory deadline; whether petitioner's assertion of claim of actual innocence and reference to new evidence for first time at show cause hearing were sufficient to overcome presumption that delay was without good cause.</i>	
Holloway v. Carvalho . . . . .	371
<i>Probate appeal; appeal to trial court from decree of Probate Court admitting decedent's will; claim that trial court improperly concluded that decedent had testamentary capacity to execute will; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant had not exercised undue influence over decedent in securing execution of decedent's will because it failed to assign burden of disproving claim of undue influence to defendant.</i>	
In re Annessa J. . . . .	572
<i>Termination of parental rights; motion for posttermination visitation; reviewability of respondent mother's unpreserved claims that trial court proceedings to terminate her parental rights held over remote platform violated her state and federal constitutional rights; reviewability of mother's claim that trial court violated her right to due process of law in denying her motion for permission to allow her expert witness to review certain information; reviewability of respondent father's claim that trial court erred in concluding that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify him with minor child; whether sufficient evidence in record supported trial court's conclusion that father failed to achieve personal degree of rehabilitation within a reasonable period of time pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); whether trial court erred in determining that termination of father's parental rights was in best interest of minor child pursuant to statutory (§ 17a-112 (k)) factors; whether trial court applied correct legal standard in denying respondent parents' motions for posttermination visitation with minor child, pursuant to statute (§ 46b-121 (b) (1)) and our Supreme Court's decision in In re Ava W. (336 Conn. 545).</i>	
In re Naomi W. . . . .	138
<i>Child neglect; whether respondent mother's constitutional right to direct health care decisions and religious upbringing of minor child was violated by order of trial court that permitted child to undergo nonemergency surgical procedure despite mother's objection on religious grounds; whether appeal was rendered moot when, during pendency of appeal, child underwent surgical procedure; whether mother's moot claim qualified for review under capable of repetition yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.</i>	
Kenmore Road Assn., Inc. v. Bloomfield . . . . .	877
<i>Declaratory judgment; action seeking judgment declaring that defendant town had accepted certain private road as public road; whether trial court's findings that plaintiff had not impliedly dedicated road to public use nor had defendant or public impliedly accepted road for such use were supported by record and, therefore, were not clearly erroneous.</i>	
KeyBank, N.A. v. Yazar . . . . .	625
<i>Foreclosure; summary judgment; Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program statutory (§ 8-265e (a)) notice; whether plaintiff's failure to comply with notice requirement of § 8-265e (a) deprived trial court of subject matter jurisdiction; whether plaintiff may rely on notice that had been sent by original lender in prior foreclo-</i>	

	<i>sure action that was later dismissed to satisfy its own notice requirements in separate foreclosure action.</i>	
Lift-Up, Inc. v. Colony Ins. Co. . . . .		855
	<i>Declaratory judgment; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in holding that exclusion provisions under insurance policy pertaining to assault or battery applied to plaintiffs' claims and that there was no coverage under policy; whether certain conduct constituted assault or battery or both; whether certain injuries arose out of assault or battery or both; claim that trial court improperly confined its analysis to operative complaint and refused to consider certain pieces of extrinsic evidence; whether certain documents were sufficient to support plaintiffs' claims that defendant insurance company had duty to defend.</i>	
Marshall v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		461
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that imposition of term of incarceration and period of special parole constituted two distinct sentences for same offense, violating petitioner's federal and state constitutional rights to be free from double jeopardy.</i>	
Mecartney v. Mecartney . . . . .		243
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; whether trial court erred in its interpretation of its previous order; whether trial court exceeded its equitable authority in imposing certain conditions in subsequent order to protect integrity of its earlier judgment.</i>	
Monts v. Board of Education . . . . .		106
	<i>Disability discrimination; claim that trial court erred by failing to charge jury on plaintiff's claim of interference with Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.); claim that trial court erred by admitting letter prepared by plaintiff's coworker into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule; claim that trial court erred by refusing to admit into evidence certain medical records of plaintiff.</i>	
Nikola v. 2938 Fairfield, LLC . . . . .		178
	<i>Foreclosure; motion for deficiency judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that it was not barred by doctrine of res judicata from determining amount of deficiency judgment; claim that certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency barred further litigation; whether trial court properly included in deficiency judgment certain tax liens paid by plaintiff.</i>	
Nussbaum v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection . . . . .		734
	<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed appeal from decision of Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection affirming decision of Department of Energy and Environmental Protection denying application for permit to maintain fences on certain real property adjacent to Long Island Sound and ordering removal of fences; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Onthank v. Onthank . . . . .		54
	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff substantially complied with notice of default provision of promissory note; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages awarded to plaintiff.</i>	
Regional School District 8 v. M & S Paving & Sealing, Inc. . . . .		523
	<i>Breach of contract; damages; whether trial court erred in concluding that defendant's unworkmanlike performance proximately caused plaintiff's damages; claim that expert testimony was required to prove defendant's defective work caused damages; whether trial court improperly calculated damages.</i>	
Rockstone Capital, LLC v. Caldwell . . . . .		801
	<i>Foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly determined that settlement agreement secured by defendants' real property was procedurally and substantively unconscionable with respect to one defendant; whether defendant received consideration for her agreement to mortgage her interest in her jointly owned residence to secure debt of another defendant.</i>	
Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC . . . . .		92
	<i>Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff's mortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed.</i>	
State v. Collins . . . . .		438
	<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion for mistrial; motion to suppress; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for mistrial</i>	

*based on claim that police officer gave testimony on ultimate issue of intent; whether, pursuant to State v. Nash (278 Conn. 620), police officer's expert opinion regarding hypothetical suspect's intent to sell drugs based on amount of drugs in suspect's possession constituted testimony on ultimate issue of defendant's intent; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for mistrial based on claim that police officer gave testimony that contained reference to defendant's prior misconduct; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence; claim that police affidavit in support of application for search warrant did not establish probable cause.*

State v. Felimon C. . . . .	727
<i>Sexual assault in second degree; risk of injury to child; motion to correct illegal sentence; mootness; claim that sentencing court lacked authority pursuant to statute (§ 53a-30) to impose condition of probation prohibiting defendant from contesting adoption of child conceived as result of sexual assault; whether appeal was rendered moot by termination of defendant's parental rights and adoption of child.</i>	
State v. Gamble . . . . .	837
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; manslaughter in first degree with firearm; whether trial court improperly dismissed motion to correct for lack of jurisdiction on ground that motion attacked conviction, and not sentence; claim that defendant's sentence was illegally enhanced on basis of fact not found by jury.</i>	
State v. Gordon . . . . .	70
<i>Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay; whether defendant was harmed by admission of witness' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court needed to reach merits of defendant's prosecutorial impropriety claim.</i>	
State v. Green . . . . .	253
<i>Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court's dismissal of defendant's postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea; whether defendant's appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant's guilty plea as authorized late appeal of his judgment of conviction.</i>	
State v. Lane . . . . .	1
<i>Assault in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should revisit precedent set by State v. Milner (325 Conn. 1) and require recusal of judicial authority when there is appearance of partiality, in absence of actual partiality; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting photographs of victim's injuries into evidence; claim that photographs of victim's injuries were irrelevant and unduly prejudicial.</i>	
State v. Marshall. . . . .	209
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant was properly sentenced as persistent serious felony offender pursuant to (Rev. to 2007 § 53a-40 (j)); claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant waived right to jury trial on public interest determination and that he was not required to admit that extended incarceration would best serve public interest; whether trial court properly rejected claims regarding defendant's right to probable cause hearing and revocation of parole because they did not attack defendant's sentence.</i>	
State v. Morlo M. . . . .	660
<i>Assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; unlawful restraint in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant caused victim serious physical injury, and, thus, that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of assault in first degree; whether evidence was insufficient to support conviction of risk of injury to child, where defendant was charged under portion of risk of injury statute (§ 53-21 (a) (1)) that required that he have general intent to perform act that created situation that put children's health and morals at risk of impairment; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in</i>	

*first degree; claim that defendant's intent to unlawfully restrain victim was not independent from defendant's intent to assault victim; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of two incidents of prior misconduct in which defendant was alleged to have assaulted victim; claim that probative value of prior misconduct evidence was outweighed by prejudicial effect; claim that prior misconduct evidence was likely to arouse emotions of jurors and sympathy toward victim.*

- State v. Quintiliano . . . . . 712  
*Criminal mischief in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal mischief in first degree; easement law, discussed; claim that trial court failed to recognize right of dominant estate holder of right-of-way easement, appurtenant to his land, to remove obstructions placed in right-of-way that materially interfered with his reasonable enjoyment thereof; claim that trial court erred by not finding it credible that attorney would advise client to remove obstructions in right-of-way.*
- State v. Stephanie U. . . . . 754  
*Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs; operating motor vehicle while operator's license was suspended; attempt to commit risk of injury to child; whether prosecutor violated defendant's state constitutional rights to confront witnesses against her and to testify on her own behalf by improperly attacking her credibility during cross-examination and in closing rebuttal argument by suggesting that she had tailored her testimony to conform to evidence she overheard during trial; whether prosecutor denied defendant due process of law under either federal or state constitution when she asked, during cross-examination, whether defendant had interest in outcome of trial and when she told jury during rebuttal argument that it could consider defendant's vested interest in outcome of trial; whether prosecutorial impropriety deprived defendant of fair trial when prosecutor argued that defendant had tailored her testimony and that she had motive to lie; claim that this court should have ordered new trial after employing its supervisory authority to prohibit questions and arguments that amount to generic tailoring or imply that jury could discredit defendant's testimony because she had interest in outcome of her trial; claim that defendant's conviction of attempt to commit risk of injury to child should be vacated because it was not cognizable crime.*
- Stevenson v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 275  
*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to rule of practice (§ 23-29 (2)); whether habeas court failed to follow procedure outlined in *Gilchrist v. Commissioner of Correction* (334 Conn. 548); whether it was appropriate for this court to remand case to habeas court with direction to decline to issue writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas petition was amenable to declination under relevant rule of practice (§ 23-24 (a)); claim that petitioner's state constitutional claim was procedurally defaulted because habeas petition was not proper procedural mechanism to pursue that claim.*
- State v. Santiago . . . . . 390  
*Attempt to commit assault in first degree; attempt to commit assault of peace officer; engaging officer in pursuit; whether evidence was sufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempt to commit assault in first degree; whether trial court erred in accepting jury's verdict of guilty of attempt to commit assault of peace officer; claim that this court should overrule its holding in *State v. Jones* (96 Conn. App. 634) and find that crime of attempt to commit assault of peace officer was not legally cognizable; claim that unpreserved claim was reviewable under principles set forth in *State v. Golding* (213 Conn. 233); claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempt to commit assault of peace officer.*
- State v. Williams . . . . . 539  
*Sexual assault in second degree; whether trial court deprived defendant of constitutional right to assistance of counsel by allowing him to represent himself; claim that trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant was competent to represent himself and had made knowing, voluntary and intelligent waiver of right to counsel; claim that trial court was required to advise defendant that he would need to register as sex offender if he were convicted and to ask him or his counsel if he had any mental health issues; unpreserved claim that, because defendant's postconviction conduct constituted substantial evidence of mental impairment, trial court abused its discretion by failing to order competency*

*hearing or to appoint counsel for defendant after it granted his request to represent himself.*

Swanson v. Perez-Swanson. . . . . 266  
*Dissolution of marriage; motion to dismiss postjudgment motion for modification of custody; whether trial court erred in determining that it lacked jurisdiction to enter additional orders regarding child custody and visitation pursuant to applicable statute (§ 46b-115).*

U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Fitzpatrick . . . . . 509  
*Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in granting motion to approve sale without newspaper advertisements; motion to terminate stay; mootness; right of redemption.*

Villanueva v. Villanueva. . . . . 36  
*Breach of contract; implied in fact contract; damages; statute of limitations; whether trial court erred in finding implied partnership agreement between parties; whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff provided credible evidence of his damages; whether trial court improperly rejected defendant's special defense that plaintiff's action was barred by three year statute of limitations (§ 52-577).*

Warzecha v. USAA Casualty Ins. Co. . . . . 188  
*Breach of insurance contract; declaratory judgment; whether defendant had duty to defend and to indemnify plaintiff pursuant to homeowners insurance policy in action alleging negligent infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant.*

Your Mansion Real Estate, LLC v. RCN Capital Funding, LLC . . . . . 316  
*Mortgage release statute (§ 49-8); claim that trial court erred in not dismissing complaint on ground that plaintiff was not aggrieved pursuant to § 49-8 because it did not suffer any damages and, therefore, did not have standing; whether trial court erred in sustaining plaintiff's objection to certain questions asked of defendant's corporate witness concerning whether there existed common practice whereby borrowers recontact defendant if they have not timely received requested mortgage release; claim that trial court improperly rejected special defense that plaintiff had duty to mitigate, but failed to mitigate its statutory damages; claim that § 49-8 (c) was unconstitutional as applied to case in violation of eighth and fourteenth amendments to federal constitution.*