Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 202

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A & R Enterprises, LLC v. Sentinel Ins. Co., Ltd	224
Figueroa v. Commissioner of Correction	54
In re November H	106
determining that father failed to sufficiently rehabilitate. Indoor Billboard Northwest, Inc. v. M2 Systems Corp. Unjust enrichment; assignment of rights under promissory note; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment in favor of individual who was not plaintiff and had not assigned to plaintiff his interest in promissory note that was executed in his favor; unpreserved claim that trial court could not properly consider setoff issue without first permitting defendant to review plaintiffs' tax returns; whether trial court abused its discretion in rejecting special defense of unclean hands; whether trial court's factual finding that promissory note had been amended was clearly erroneous; whether evidence supported trial court's finding that plaintiffs were entitled to recover under theory of unjust enrichment; claim that plaintiffs failed to prove that defendant unjustly did not pay them for benefit defendant received; claim that plaintiffs did not prove that defendant's failure to pay them was to plaintiffs' detriment; whether trial court's finding that defendant's loan obligation was satisfied in part with use of plaintiffs' funds was clearly erroneous; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiffs satisfied defendant's debt despite plaintiffs' failure to produce evidence of written discharge of promissory note; whether trial court properly denied plaintiffs' postjudgment motion for attorney's fees and expenses.	139
Jan G. v. Semple	202

165 (a)) immunity; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to federal statute (42 U.S.C. § 1983) on basis of doctrine of qualified immunity; claim that trial court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims brought against defendants in their official capacities on basis of doctrine of sovereign immunity.	
Kelsey v . Commissioner of Correction	21
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in dismissing successive petition for writ of habeas corpus for failure to show good cause pursuant to statute (§ 52-470) for unreasonable delay in filing petition; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to sufficiently establish good cause for delay in filing successive petition; whether lack of personal knowledge of statutory deadline set forth in § 52-470 and lack of access to law library or	
legal resources sufficiently rebutted presumption of unreasonable delay; whether	
habeas court properly weighed relevant factors in dismissing successive petition.	
LaPierre v. Mandell & Blau, M.D.'s, P.C	44
Medical malpractice; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; claim that trial court erred in granting motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether trial court properly dismissed action for failing to comply with statute (§ 52-190a) that governs medical malpractice actions; whether allegations of complaint satisfied test set forth in Boone v. William W. Backus Hospital (272 Conn. 551) for determining whether claim sounds in medical malpractice.	
Newtown v. Ostrosky	13
Foreclosure; whether trial court properly denied motion to reargue and for reconsideration of judgment of foreclosure by sale; claim that foreclosure judgment should be opened and vacated; claim that default for failure to plead entered by court clerk was invalid and could not serve as basis for foreclosure judgment; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law.	10
State v. Ervin B	1
Threatening in second degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support finding	
that defendant made physical threat against his wife for purposes of conviction	
of threatening in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-62 (a) (1)).	
Wittman v. Intense Movers, Inc	87
Corporate dissolution; breach of fiduciary duty; notice to purchase shares of company pursuant to statute (§ 33-900 (b)); motion to enforce settlement agreement; whether defendants established that trial court improperly enforced settlement	
agreement.	