

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 204**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	712
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner was entitled to presentence confinement credit; whether petitioner stated claim on which relief could be granted; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner's claim presented issue of first impression in Connecticut appellate courts; whether, pursuant to statute (§ 18-98d), petitioner could earn jail time credit and presentence confinement credit simultaneously.</i>	
Asnat Realty, LLC v. United Illuminating Co. . . . .	313
<i>Fraudulent nondisclosure; unjust enrichment; motion to strike; claim that trial court erred in striking counts of complaint alleging fraud; whether trial court properly concluded complaint contained broad allegations that were insufficient to satisfy pleading requirements for fraud; whether complaint failed to allege, with requisite specificity, that defendants' alleged fraud was done to induce plaintiffs to act to their detriment; whether complaint failed to allege that defendants had duty of full and fair disclosure of known facts to plaintiffs as it pertained to property.</i>	
Atlantic St. Heritage Associates, LLC v. Bologna . . . . .	163
<i>Summary process; motion to open; motion to terminate appellate stay; motion for review; whether case was controlled by Young v. Young (249 Conn. 482); whether defendant timely filed appeal within five day statutory (§ 47a-35) appeal period; whether, pursuant to § 47a-35 (b), execution of judgment of possession was stayed; whether new five day appeal period arose when notice of trial court's decision denying motion to open issued.</i>	
Bank of New York Mellon v. Cronin (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
Connecticut Housing Finance Authority v. McCarthy. . . . .	330
<i>Foreclosure; motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure; petition for reinclusion in foreclosure mediation program; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to open and vacate judgment of strict foreclosure or extend law day; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's petition for reinclusion in foreclosure mediation program.</i>	
Conroy v. Idlibi . . . . .	265
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; fraud; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to open judgment.</i>	
Couloute v. Board of Education. . . . .	120
<i>Personal injury; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on ground that plaintiffs' action was barred by doctrine of res judicata; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts, issues and applicable law.</i>	
Cunningham v. Cunningham . . . . .	366
<i>Dissolution of marriage; domestic relations order; claim that trial court improperly modified property distribution set forth in dissolution judgment by requiring plaintiff to assign portion of joint survivor annuity to third party; claim that trial court's postjudgment order constituted impermissible modification of dissolution judgment because it required plaintiff to share in cost of joint survivor annuity election; claim that trial court improperly ordered that both parties would share equally in any future reductions in defendant's pension benefit; whether issue of future reductions in defendant's pension benefit was ripe for adjudication; whether trial court improperly modified dissolution judgment by adopting formula that could result in reduction of plaintiff's pension benefit.</i>	
DeGumbia v. Geico General Ins. Co. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
DeJesus v. R.P.M. Enterprises, Inc. . . . .	665
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Workers' Compensation Commission had jurisdiction over plaintiff's claim for workers' compensation benefits; whether plaintiff suffered compensable injury; whether plaintiff's claim for benefits was time barred pursuant to applicable statute (§ 31-294c); whether medical care exception to § 31-294c was satisfied; whether Compensation Review Board properly</i>	

	<i>affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's findings that plaintiff was employee of owner of corporate employer, that owner was alter ego of corporate employer, and that owner was jointly and severally liable with corporate employer for award of benefits to plaintiff.</i>	
Disturco v. Gates in New Canaan, LLC . . . . .	<i>Negligence; whether trial court erred by concluding that defendant failed to satisfy reasonable cause provision of statute (§ 52-212) in its motion to open judgment of default after failure to appear; whether trial court abused its discretion by reaffirming, without scheduling hearing, denial of defendant's motion to open after granting defendant's motion to reargue.</i>	526
Dobie v. New Haven . . . . .	<i>Negligence; defective highway statute (§ 13a-149); motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether court properly denied defendant's posttrial motion to dismiss, which was predicated on plaintiff's alleged failure to comply with notice requirements of § 13a-149.</i>	583
Eichler v. Healthy Mom, LLC . . . . .	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for defendant on its special defense of waiver in breach of contract action; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts, issues and applicable law.</i>	504
Elder v. Kauffman . . . . .	<i>Defamation; invasion of privacy; special motion to dismiss filed pursuant to statute (§ 52-196a); collateral estoppel; res judicata; claim that trial court erred in dismissing complaint on ground that it was barred by doctrine of res judicata; claim that doctrine of res judicata was not applicable to special motion to dismiss filed pursuant to § 52-196a; whether doctrine of collateral estoppel barred plaintiff's claims; whether doctrine of res judicata barred plaintiff's claims; claim that § 52-196a was unconstitutional as applied because its application infringed on plaintiff's state constitutional rights to redress and trial by jury.</i>	818
Elder v. 21st Century Media Newspaper, LLC . . . . .	<i>Defamation; motion for summary judgment; fair report privilege; claim that evidence supporting defendants' motions for summary judgment was improperly authenticated and, therefore, insufficient; claim that fair report privilege was inapplicable because defendants failed to demonstrate actual reliance on government document; claim that newspaper articles were not accurate and complete or fair abridgments of government document or proceeding; claim that defendants failed to submit evidence to rebut claims of malice, entitling plaintiff to trial on merits; claim that fair report privilege was inconsistent with rights under Connecticut constitution.</i>	414
First Niagara Bank, N.A. v. Pouncey . . . . .	<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion to open; motion to reargue and reconsider; whether trial court erred in denying defendants' motion to reargue and reconsider trial court's denial of their motion to open summary judgment.</i>	433
Garcia v. Cohen . . . . .	<i>Negligence; premises liability; whether trial court erred by failing to instruct jury on nondelegable duty doctrine; whether trial court's instructions to jury and failure to give requested jury charge constituted harmful error.</i>	25
Hlinka v. Michaels . . . . .	<i>Summary process; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over action; whether record reflected that joint owners of premises were unanimous in desire that defendant be evicted from premises; whether trial court improperly struck, sua sponte, defendant's special defense of laches.</i>	537
In re Angela V. . . . .	<i>Child neglect; mootness; claim that respondent mother's due process rights were violated by trial court's denial of motion to call minor children as witnesses; whether appeal was rendered moot when respondent mother failed to challenge all bases for trial court's decision; request that this court employ equitable remedy of vacatur to clarify correct legal standard to apply to motion for permission to call minor children as witnesses in neglect proceeding.</i>	746
In re Jacob M. . . . .	<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court, relying on executive order issued by governor in response to COVID-19 pandemic that suspended 120 day time limitation required by statute (§ 51-183b) for rendering judgments in civil actions, improperly denied joint motion for mistrial based on court's failure to</i>	763

*render judgments within 120 days of completion of trial; claim that time limitation set forth in § 51-183b was jurisdictional; claim that trial court improperly concluded that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent parents with minor children; claim that trial court's determination that termination of respondent father's parental rights was in best interest of minor child was clearly erroneous; reviewability of respondent mother's claim that trial court improperly denied motion to intervene, filed after court rendered judgments terminating her parental rights, in which she sought posttermination visitation with minor children.*

In re Natasha T. (See In re Jacob M.) . . . . . 763

In re Skylar B. . . . . 729

*Termination of parental rights; reviewability of unpreserved constitutional claim that trial court violated respondent father's right to substantive due process because transfer of guardianship to minor child's relative foster parents would have been less restrictive means than termination of father's parental rights to achieve permanency.*

Jimenez v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 901

Kobza v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 547

*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner certification to appeal from dismissal of habeas petition; whether habeas court erred as matter of law when it dismissed habeas petition for lack of jurisdiction without prior notice to petitioner and opportunity to be heard; whether habeas court improperly concluded that it lacked jurisdiction because no cognizable liberty interest existed in prison employment or job credits that have not been applied to petitioner's sentence; claim by respondent Commissioner of Correction that dismissal of habeas petition was proper because certain timesheet constituted undisputed evidence that petitioner's job credits were never earned.*

Lance W. v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 346

*Murder; arson in first degree; tampering with physical evidence; risk of injury to child; whether habeas court erred in concluding that decision by petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel not to pursue actual innocence claim did not constitute ineffective assistance; claim that petitioner is actually innocent of crimes of which he was convicted due to unreliability of scientific evidence at his criminal trial; claim that petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance in having failed to challenge first habeas court's rejection of petitioner's assertion that his right to due process was violated because his conviction was based on false and invalid scientific evidence; claim that petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance in deciding not to pursue claim that trial counsel was ineffective in challenging testimony of state's expert witnesses that pertained to cause of fire and victim's death.*

Lebron v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 44

*Habeas corpus; claim of ineffective assistance of habeas counsel; whether habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's ineffective assistance of habeas counsel claim because petitioner failed to establish that he would not have pleaded guilty but for trial counsel's alleged deficient performance.*

Lemma v. York & Chapel, Corp. . . . . 471

*Arbitration; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction because summons and complaint were not served and returned to court as required by statute (§ 52-278j); whether trial court erred in confirming arbitration award; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as correct statement of facts and applicable law on issues.*

McCrea v. Cumberland Farms, Inc. . . . . 796

*Negligence; contributory negligence; whether trial court abused its discretion when it allowed defendants' counsel to question plaintiffs about their selection of medical providers and about lawsuit that pertained to prior motor vehicle accident; whether trial court improperly precluded plaintiffs from presenting evidence that they sought treatment from medical providers referred to them by their attorneys due to their lack of adequate medical insurance; harmfulness of trial court's ruling; claim that collateral source rule (§ 52-225a) operated to preclude evidence of absence of insurance; claim that general verdict rule precluded review of plaintiffs' claims.*

MDM Golf of Gillette Ridge, LLC v. Parrett, Porto, Parese & Colwell, P.C. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 902

Mitchell v. Mitchell (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	903
Nandabalan v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles . . . . .	457
<i>Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); claim that trial court incorrectly determined that there was substantial evidence in administrative record to support hearing officer's finding that plaintiff refused to take breath test.</i>	
Nash v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	902
O & G Industries, Inc. v. American Home Assurance Co. . . . .	614
<i>Payment bond; mechanic's lien; surety; special defenses; whether trial court erred in finding that defendant failed to sustain its burden of proof as to its special defenses asserting that plaintiff's conduct was reckless, in bad faith, or with dishonest purpose; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiff satisfied express condition precedent to valid claim as delineated in payment bond; claim that trial court erred by allowing plaintiff to recover damages in excess of penal sum of substitute bond; whether trial court abused its discretion by allowing plaintiff to offer rebuttal evidence after defendant rested without introducing any evidence in its case-in-chief.</i>	
Pollard v. Bridgeport . . . . .	187
<i>Negligence; public nuisance; summary judgment; whether trial court erred in determining that there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiff set forth valid claims of negligence or nuisance of abutting landowner; whether trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; claim that abutting landowner had duty to maintain and repair sidewalk; claim that growth of tree on abutting landowner's property constituted affirmative act of landowner in creating nuisance.</i>	
Property Tax Management, LLC v. Worldwide Properties, LLC . . . . .	520
<i>Breach of contract; claim that trial court erred in not finding that plaintiff had engaged in, or otherwise induced, unauthorized practice of law by hiring attorney to pursue tax appeals and in maintaining exclusive control over tax litigation; whether contract between parties was consistent with public policy considerations; whether contract authorized illegal or unauthorized practice of law.</i>	
Rice v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	513
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court erred in rejecting claim that petitioner's ignorance of time constraints in applicable statute (§ 52-470 (d)) constituted good cause for delay in filing habeas petition; whether petitioner's testimony that he was unaware of statutory deadlines overcomes rebuttable presumption of unreasonable delay; credibility determinations.</i>	
Robb v. Connecticut Board of Veterinary Medicine. . . . .	595
<i>Negligence; appeal from finding by defendant state board of veterinary medicine that plaintiff was negligent pursuant to statute (§ 20-202 (2)); whether plain reading of statute (§ 22-359b) and regulation (§ 22-359-1) yielded absurd or unworkable result; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in concluding that substantial evidence supported board's finding that plaintiff had failed to receive informed consent from his client; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in concluding that board did not exceed its authority or abuse its discretion in imposing disciplinary order on plaintiff; failure to brief claim adequately.</i>	
Robinson v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	560
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that state violated petitioner's right to due process pursuant to Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) when it failed to disclose to him material information concerning alleged bank fraud scheme that could have led to discovery of further evidence; claim that habeas court improperly declined to consider effect of proffered evidence in conjunction with adverse inference from witness' invocation of privilege against self-incrimination; claim that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to advance Brady claim in prior habeas proceeding.</i>	
Rousseau v. Weinstein . . . . .	833
<i>Vexatious litigation; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly held that marital dissolution action was not considered prior pending action in civil action that alleged similar claims between former spouses and other parties; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendants had probable cause to continue civil action after receiving unfavorable decision in dissolution action.</i>	

*Smernoff v. Star Tire & Wheel* . . . . . 577  
*Breach of contract; damages; whether trial court erred in awarding certain direct and consequential damages to plaintiffs; whether plaintiffs presented sufficient evidence for trial court to fairly and reasonably estimate their expenses.*

*Solon v. Slater* . . . . . 647  
*Tortious interference with contractual relations; tortious interference with right of inheritance; motion for summary judgment; collateral estoppel; whether plaintiff's claims were barred by doctrine of collateral estoppel by virtue of prior decree of Probate Court.*

*State v. Boyd* . . . . . 446  
*Assault in first degree; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly denied portion of motion alleging that sentencing court had imposed sentence in illegal manner by relying on inaccurate information; whether motion stated colorable claim that sentence was imposed in illegal manner that invoked jurisdiction of court.*

*State v. Chester J.* . . . . . 137  
*Sexual assault in second degree; sexual assault in third degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's challenge to venire panel; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to venire panel that reflected fair cross section of potential jurors from community; claim that defendant's right to equal protection was violated by jury selection procedure that was susceptible to abuse or was not racially neutral; whether this court should exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to require state to collect demographic data in accordance with statute (§ 51-232 (c)) prohibiting discrimination in jury selection; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in prohibiting defendant from inquiring about certain Probate Court matters.*

*State v. Coleman* . . . . . 860  
*Risk of injury to child; promoting minor in obscene performance; sexual assault in second degree; possession of child pornography; cruelty to persons; motion to correct illegal sentence; whether defendant's overall sentence had expired where portion of sentence remaining was illegal term of special parole; claim that trial court violated prohibition on double jeopardy in resentencing defendant after defendant had completed lawful portion of sentence; whether trial court had jurisdiction to resentence defendant; whether trial court's resentencing of defendant constituted more severe penalty in violation of defendant's constitutional rights to due process; whether trial court's imposition of eleven year period of special parole exceeded ten year special parole statutory (§ 54-125e (c)) limitation, entitling defendant to resentencing.*

*State v. King* . . . . . 1  
*Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs in violation of applicable statute (§ 14-227a); whether enhanced penalty for operation while under influence pursuant to § 14-227a (g) applicable; claim that conviction under Florida statute for operating motor vehicle while under influence did not qualify as prior conviction for same offense under § 14-227a (a); claim that application of current revision of § 14-227a violated ex post facto clause; claim that elements of Florida statute and § 14-227a (a) were not substantially similar; claim that *State v. Burns* (236 Conn. 18) and *State v. Mattioli* (210 Conn. 573) should be overruled on the basis that they contravene plain language of § 14-227a (g).*

*State v. Luciano* . . . . . 388  
*Assault in second degree; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of assault in second degree.*

*State v. Marsala* . . . . . 571  
*Violation of conditional discharge; whether appeal from judgment revoking conditional discharge was rendered moot when defendant failed to challenge all bases for trial court's decision.*

*State v. Oscar H.* . . . . . 207  
*Murder; attempt to commit murder; assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; whether trial court properly determined that witness was unavailable to testify at trial pursuant to former testimony exception to rule against hearsay in applicable provision (§ 8-6 (1)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; whether state made sufficient efforts to establish witness' unavailability to testify at trial; claim that*

	<i>admission into evidence of transcript of unavailable witness' deposition violated defendant's rights to confrontation and due process; unpreserved claim that conviction of attempt to commit murder and assault in first degree violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy.</i>	
State v. Siler . . . . .		171
	<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; criminal possession of firearm; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress certain evidence; assertion that this court should overrule Supreme Court's decision in State v. Barton (219 Conn. 529) that adopted totality of circumstances test for determining probable cause under article first, § 7, of Connecticut constitution; claim that police affidavit in support of application for search warrant did not establish probable cause because it lacked necessary nexus between defendant's residence and criminal activity alleged in warrant application.</i>	
State v. Thorne . . . . .		249
	<i>Wilful failure to pay sales tax; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of wilful failure to pay sales tax; unpreserved claim that trial court's jury instruction substantially misled jury, diluted state's burden of proof and weakened defendant's presumption of innocence; waiver of claim; whether reversal of conviction was warranted pursuant to plain error doctrine.</i>	
Stone Key Group, LLC v. Taradash . . . . .		55
	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for plaintiff employer on its claim for breach of contract; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees; adoption of trial court's memoranda of decision as proper statements of facts and applicable law.</i>	
Vossbrinck v. Cheverko (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .		901
Zheng v. Xia . . . . .		302
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion to modify child support; claim that trial court improperly ordered plaintiff to pay defendant certain percentage of his annual bonus income as supplemental child support; whether trial court abused its discretion in deviating from child support guidelines on basis of significant disparity between parties' incomes.</i>	