

**Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 202**

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

<p>Figueroa v. Commissioner of Correction.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in failing to request alibi instruction; claim that appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance in failing to raise claim that petitioner’s sixth amendment right to trial by jury was violated; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner’s claim that his constitutional right to trial by jury was violated because it was procedurally defaulted.</i></p>	<p>54</p>
<p>Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in dismissing successive petition for writ of habeas corpus for failure to show good cause pursuant to statute (§ 52-470) for unreasonable delay in filing petition; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to sufficiently establish good cause for delay in filing successive petition; whether lack of personal knowledge of statutory deadline set forth in § 52-470 and lack of access to law library or legal resources sufficiently rebutted presumption of unreasonable delay; whether habeas court properly weighed relevant factors in dismissing successive petition.</i></p>	<p>21</p>
<p>LaPierre v. Mandell & Blau, M.D.’s, P.C.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Medical malpractice; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; claim that trial court erred in granting motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether trial court properly dismissed action for failing to comply with statute (§ 52-190a) that governs medical malpractice actions; whether allegations of complaint satisfied test set forth in <i>Boone v. William W. Backus Hospital</i> (272 Conn. 551) for determining whether claim sounds in medical malpractice.</i></p>	<p>44</p>
<p>Newtown v. Ostrosky</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; whether trial court properly denied motion to reargue and for reconsideration of judgment of foreclosure by sale; claim that foreclosure judgment should be opened and vacated; claim that default for failure to plead entered by court clerk was invalid and could not serve as basis for foreclosure judgment; adoption of trial court’s memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law.</i></p>	<p>13</p>
<p>State v. Ervin B.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Threatening in second degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support finding that defendant made physical threat against his wife for purposes of conviction of threatening in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-62 (a) (1)).</i></p>	<p>1</p>
<p>Wittman v. Intense Movers, Inc.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Corporate dissolution; breach of fiduciary duty; notice to purchase shares of company pursuant to statute (§ 33-900 (b)); motion to enforce settlement agreement; whether defendants established that trial court improperly enforced settlement agreement.</i></p>	<p>87</p>