

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 203

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Anderson v. Bloomfield.	182
<i>Contracts; third-party beneficiary; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff lacked standing because she was not third-party beneficiary of contract.</i>	
Bank of New York Mellon v. Madison	8
<i>Foreclosure; motion for judgment; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's oral motion for judgment on its reformation of mortgage claim; whether trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability on its foreclosure claim; claim that plaintiff failed to establish that default notice that it had mailed to defendants complied with notice requirements of mortgage.</i>	
Bouffard v. Lewis	116
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment modification of alimony and child support; motion for contempt; whether automatic stay pursuant to rule of practice (§ 61-11 (c)) was applicable; whether trial court's imposition of automatic stay on orders to make payments of alimony and child support in connection with judgment finding party in contempt was improper.</i>	
Derblom v. Archdiocese of Hartford	197
<i>Motion to dismiss; standing; constructive trust; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of standing; whether trial court erred in construing bequest as outright gift rather than charitable trust; whether trial court erred in concluding that special interest exception to rule that attorney general has exclusive authority to bring action to enforce charitable gifts is limited to actions involving charitable trusts.</i>	
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction	58
<i>Habeas corpus; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to question witnesses about petitioner's attendance at event where some of his alleged criminal conduct occurred; whether petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's reference to complainant as victim or by trial counsel's failure to object or to request curative instruction when state made same reference; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to investigate claim of uncharged misconduct.</i>	
Pascola-Milton v. Millard	172
<i>Negligence; underinsured motorist benefits; arbitration; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in denying plaintiff's demand for trial de novo following voluntary arbitration; whether plaintiff's claims were barred by two year statute of limitations (§ 52-584).</i>	
Ricketts v. Ricketts	1
<i>Dissolution of marriage; jurisdiction; whether appeal from postdissolution orders of trial court denying plaintiff's motion to transfer matter to Regional Family Trial Docket and appointing guardian ad litem for parties' minor children was from final judgment.</i>	
Sieranski v. TJC Esq, A Professional Services Corp.	75
<i>Wrongful termination of employment; motion to strike; whether plaintiff sufficiently pleaded facts that, if proven, would fall under public policy exception to at-will employment doctrine; whether statutes (§§ 3-94h and 53a-157b) relied on by plaintiff outline public policy against knowingly assisting affiant in submitting false statements to court; whether notary's act of notarizing affidavit that she believed to be false would violate § 3-94h, which prohibits notaries from performing any action with intent to deceive or defraud.</i>	
South Windsor v. Lanata	89
<i>Zoning; claim that cease and desist order premised on alleged zoning violation was unconstitutionally vague; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering fines during period in which defendant was under orders not to disturb property;</i>	

	<i>claim that trial court improperly assessed fines for wilful violation of zoning regulations pursuant to statute (§ 8-12).</i>	
State v. Hall-George		219
	<i>Robbery in second degree; whether evidence was sufficient to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant threatened use of what he represented by his words or conduct to be deadly weapon or dangerous instrument pursuant to statute (§ 53a-135 (a) (1) (B)).</i>	
State v. Russaw		123
	<i>Manslaughter in second degree; evading responsibility; motion to suppress; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress statements defendant made to police during custodial interrogation after defendant was not readvised of his Miranda rights before starting new line of questioning; whether interrogation of defendant on multiple subject matters comprised one continuous interview; whether Miranda rights are offense specific; whether waiver of Miranda rights was voluntary; whether admission of statements into evidence, if assumed to be improper, would have resulted in harmless error.</i>	
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Doe		218
	<i>Summary process; whether appeal was moot following defendants' dispossession of property.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho		28
	<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court erred in determining that defendants were not entitled to implied admissions on special defenses; claim that plaintiff was not proper owner of debt and therefore lacked standing; whether trial court erred in rejecting statute of limitations special defense for lack of ripeness; whether noncompliance with securitization requirements implicated plaintiff's standing; whether defendants received proper notice of default and acceleration prior to foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in rejecting defendants' special defense of unclean hands; whether trial court erred in admitting payment history on note into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule.</i>	
Velez v. Commissioner of Correction		141
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in dismissing, pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (e)), successive petition for writ of habeas corpus for failure to show good cause for delay in filing petition beyond deadline for successive petitions set forth in § 52-470 (d) (2); claim that habeas court improperly determined that petitioner failed to prove that his mental deficiencies, as described in 2005 neuropsychological report, contributed to his delay in filing second habeas petition and, thus, failed to rebut presumption of unreasonable delay set forth in § 52-470 (d).</i>	
Village Mortgage Co. v. Veneziano		154
	<i>Declaratory judgment; mootness; motion to dismiss; jurisdiction; claim that trial court erred in its interpretation of parties' stipulation; whether defendant's appellate claims were moot; whether defendant could be afforded practical relief on appeal; whether outcome of appeal had collateral estoppel and res judicata effects as to when plaintiff acquired defendant's stock; whether defendant's ability to bring action for vexatious litigation or fraud in future against plaintiff was dependent on appeal being heard on its merits.</i>	