

**Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 203**

<p>Bank of New York Mellon v. Madison</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; motion for judgment; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's oral motion for judgment on its reformation of mortgage claim; whether trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability on its foreclosure claim; claim that plaintiff failed to establish that default notice that it had mailed to defendants complied with notice requirements of mortgage.</i></p>	8
<p>Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Habeas corpus; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to question witnesses about petitioner's attendance at event where some of his alleged criminal conduct occurred; whether petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's reference to complainant as victim or by trial counsel's failure to object or to request curative instruction when state made same reference; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to investigate claim of uncharged misconduct.</i></p>	58
<p>Ricketts v. Ricketts</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; jurisdiction; whether appeal from postdissolution orders of trial court denying plaintiff's motion to transfer matter to Regional Family Trial Docket and appointing guardian ad litem for parties' minor children was from final judgment.</i></p>	1
<p>Sieranski v. TJC Esq, A Professional Services Corp.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Wrongful termination of employment; motion to strike; whether plaintiff sufficiently pleaded facts that, if proven, would fall under public policy exception to at-will employment doctrine; whether statutes (§§ 3-94h and 53a-157b) relied on by plaintiff outline public policy against knowingly assisting affiant in submitting false statements to court; whether notary's act of notarizing affidavit that she believed to be false would violate § 3-94h, which prohibits notaries from performing any action with intent to deceive or defraud.</i></p>	75
<p>U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; whether trial court erred in determining that defendants were not entitled to implied admissions on special defenses; claim that plaintiff was not proper owner of debt and therefore lacked standing; whether trial court erred in rejecting statute of limitations special defense for lack of ripeness; whether noncompliance with securitization requirements implicated plaintiff's standing; whether defendants received proper notice of default and acceleration prior to foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in rejecting defendants' special defense of unclean hands; whether trial court erred in admitting payment history on note into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule.</i></p>	28