

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 200

<p>Borg v. Cloutier</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Private nuisance; invasion of privacy; defamation; defamation per se; trespass; punitive damages; permanent injunction; motion for contempt; claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to set aside jury's verdict; whether trial court failed to inquire adequately into possible juror misconduct; claim that trial court improperly awarded punitive damages to defendant; whether trial court correctly determined that permanent injunction was warranted; claim that trial court improperly held named plaintiff in contempt for failing to comply with its permanent injunction order.</i></p>	82
<p>Davis v. Davis</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court provided defendant with adequate notice that it might dispose of plaintiff's motion for modification of alimony at certain hearing; claim that trial court improperly ordered reimbursement to plaintiff for expenses related to fixing septic system at marital residence; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to consider plaintiff's conduct relative to defendant's efforts to make repairs himself; unpreserved claim that trial court failed to apply unclean hands doctrine; whether trial court failed to apply statute (§ 46b-224) governing suspension of child support order in calculating child support arrearage; claim that trial court improperly refused to deduct from arrearage amount for period of time parties' minor child was in defendant's custody.</i></p>	180
<p>Ferri v. Powell-Ferri</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Vexatious litigation; trusts; cross complaint; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in determining that defendants had probable cause to bring cross complaint; whether trial court applied correct standard for determining whether defendants had probable cause to prosecute cross complaint; whether trial court properly determined that meritless action did not necessitate conclusion that it lacked probable cause or was frivolous; whether trial court correctly determined that lack of precedent in other jurisdictions did not render cross complaint as being without probable cause.</i></p>	63
<p>Giordano v. Giordano</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify alimony; motion for contempt; claim that trial court erred in interpreting separation agreement to include defendant's supplemental pension as basis for modification of alimony; claim that agreement unambiguously did not include supplemental pension as part of gross annual compensation; claim that trial court erred in failing to interpret separation agreement as distributing supplemental pension to defendant at time of dissolution; whether trial court abused its discretion in modifying alimony award; whether trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for contempt.</i></p>	130
<p>Hill v. OSJ of Bloomfield, LLC</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Negligence; premises liability; business invitee; whether trial court properly imposed liability on defendant under mode of operation rule enunciated in <i>Kelly v. Stop & Shop, Inc.</i> (281 Conn. 768), where boxes fell off shelf in defendant's store and struck plaintiff; whether evidence was sufficient to establish that affirmative act on part of defendant caused boxes to fall on plaintiff.</i></p>	149
<p>Maldonado v. Flannery</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Negligence; personal injury; damages; motion for additur; claim that trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiffs' joint motion for additur; failure of trial court to identify part of trial record that supported its conclusion that jury's failure to award noneconomic damages was unreasonable; whether jury reasonably could have determined that plaintiffs failed to prove any noneconomic damages for pain and suffering.</i></p>	1
<p>State v. Curet</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion to suppress; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress; whether trial court properly</i></p>	13

concluded that search was lawful under exigent circumstances exception to warrant requirement; whether trial court properly concluded that search was justified under emergency doctrine.

State v. Syms 55

Motion to correct illegal sentence; robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's rights to due process when it accepted his guilty pleas without advising him that sentence could run consecutively to unrelated sentence he was then serving; claim that combination of sentence of incarceration followed by special parole violated federal prohibition against double jeopardy.

Stilkey v. Zembko 165

Statutory theft; whether trial court abused its discretion in applying continuing course of conduct doctrine; whether trial court was within its discretion to determine that no party was prejudiced by lapse in pleading specific statute of limitations or continuing course of conduct doctrine; claim that trial court improperly concluded that continuing course of conduct doctrine tolled statute of limitations; claim that trial court's findings that plaintiff had no knowledge of defendant's actions and had not consented to or authorized them were clearly erroneous.