

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 198

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

<p>Ahrens v. Hartford Florists' Supply, Inc.</p> <p><i>Product liability; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; motion to implead; claim that trial court erred in granting motions to dismiss third-party complaint; claim that trial court applied incorrect standard when it found that strict compliance with statutes (§§ 52-102a and 52-577a (b)) was required when impleading third party into product liability case; whether trial court erred in concluding that third-party plaintiff must strictly comply with §§ 52-102a and 52-577a (b); claim that trial court erred in concluding that one year time limitation in § 52-577a implicated jurisdiction of court.</i></p>	<p>24</p>
<p>Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction</p> <p><i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that conditions of petitioner's confinement were illegal because he was receiving constitutionally inadequate mental health treatment; whether habeas court violated petitioner's right to procedural due process under fourteenth amendment to United States constitution on grounds that he had no notice that habeas petition would be decided in same proceeding as emergency motion on mental health treatment and that he was denied meaningful opportunity to be heard.</i></p>	<p>320</p>
<p>Audibert v. Halle.</p> <p><i>Negligence; motion to set aside verdict and for new trial; reviewability of claim, raised for first time on appeal, that trial court improperly admitted evidence of subsequent motor vehicle accident plaintiff was involved in because evidence was not relevant; claim that trial court improperly failed to provide curative instruction to jury; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to set aside verdict and for new trial; whether certain remarks by defendant's counsel during closing argument were improper and deprived plaintiff of fair trial.</i></p>	<p>472</p>
<p>Davis v. Commissioner of Correction.</p> <p><i>Habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge statute (§ 53a-149) criminalizing bribery of witness as facially overbroad under novel constitutional argument that § 53a-149 could encompass legal activity such as settlement negotiations; whether counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to request at trial and to argue on direct appeal that trial court should have given jury instruction on true threats with respect to charge of breach of peace in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-181 (a) (3)); whether claim that trial court's failure to give jury instruction on true threats as to charge under § 53a-181 (a) (3) was inextricably linked to claim in habeas petition that appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge § 53a-181 (a) as facially overbroad and unconstitutionally vague as applied; whether trial counsel and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge admission into evidence of petitioner's blood test results.</i></p>	<p>345</p>
<p>In re Corey C.</p> <p><i>Termination of parental rights; whether Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent father with minor child; claim that department failed to offer father or child's mother any feedback with respect to their progress in therapeutic family time program; claim that department failed to offer parents adequate smoking cessation services; claim that trial court improperly found that father was unable or unwilling to benefit from department's reasonable efforts to reunify him with child; whether evidence was insufficient to support trial court's conclusion that father failed to achieve sufficient rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); whether trial court, in adjudicatory findings, improperly compared father's suitability as parent, and that of mother, to that of foster parent.</i></p>	<p>41</p>

Moyher v. Moyher	334
<i>Marital dissolution; claim that trial court improperly found that certain real property located in New Hampshire was marital asset; claim that trial court improperly awarded plaintiff 40 percent of value of property; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in not allowing defendant to present evidence regarding prenuptial agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to pay plaintiff her share of New Hampshire property within five months of dissolution judgment.</i>	
Pack 2000, Inc. v. Cushman	428
<i>Leases; options to purchase real property; specific performance; whether trial court erred in ordering specific performance remedy that was contrary to terms of purchase options; claim that trial court erred in its determination of purchase prices based on present day appraisal values of properties; whether trial court erred in ordering plaintiff to make certain rent and use and occupancy payments and by refusing to credit any such payments against purchase prices; whether defendant was entitled to interest on purchase price of properties; whether trial court erred in failing to set purchase price for one property based on appraised value submitted by defendant.</i>	
Peck v. Statewide Grievance Committee	233
<i>Attorney discipline; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss appeal, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, that challenged defendant's imposition of discipline against plaintiff attorney; whether defendant was deprived of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Disciplinary Counsel v. Elder (325 Conn. 378) to adjudicate grievance against plaintiff that was filed beyond six year limitation period in applicable rule of practice (§ 2-32 (a) (2) (E)).</i>	
Prime Bank v. Vitano, Inc.	136
<i>Statute of limitations; guarantee of promissory note; whether trial court properly found that plaintiff's cause of action to recover from defendant guarantor on borrower's note accrued when borrower defaulted on note payments and was barred by applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-576); claim that trial court erred in failing to conclude that there was acknowledgment of debt by defendant, thereby tolling statute of limitations.</i>	
Pursuit Partners, LLC v. Reed Smith, LLP	1
<i>Breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant law firm was bound by confidentiality provision of settlement agreement only to extent of its client; claim that language of settlement agreement, coupled with defendant's signature on agreement, was ambiguous and created genuine issue of material fact regarding capacity in which defendant signed agreement; whether trial court properly concluded that finding in related action had collateral estoppel effect.</i>	
Rosario v. Rosario	83
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; motion for continuance; claim that because trial court denied motions for contempt, there were no pending motions before court on which it could find plaintiff in contempt; claim that plaintiff did not receive motion for contempt by service of process.</i>	
S. A. v. D. G.	170
<i>Application for civil protection order pursuant to statute (§ 46b-16a); claim that trial court abused its discretion by excluding evidence of certain audio and videotape recordings at hearing on application for protection order; claim that trial court improperly issued protection order despite fact that defendant was not arrested for violating any of statutory provisions set forth in statute (§ 54-1k) governing criminal protective orders; claim that trial court improperly issued protection order partly on basis of defendant having videotaped plaintiff performing her duties as public employee; reviewability of inadequately briefed constitutional claim; whether record was adequate for review of unpreserved claim.</i>	
Sackman v. Quinlan	614
<i>Conversion; unjust enrichment; tortious interference with contract; whether trial court abused its discretion when it granted motion for permission to file motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court failed to analyze incompetency of counsel pursuant to statute (§ 45a-650); whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendants; whether genuine issues of material fact existed as to claims of conversion, unjust enrichment and intentional interference with contractual relations; claim that trial court improperly determined that agreement between plaintiffs' deceased father and deceased father's second wife</i>	

	<i>was invalid; claim that trial court failed to view evidence in light most favorable to plaintiffs.</i>	
Scholz v. Epstein		197
	<i>Motion to dismiss; statutory theft; subject matter jurisdiction; absolute immunity; litigation privilege; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss and determined that defendant attorney was protected by absolute immunity from plaintiff's action for theft pursuant to statute (§ 52-564); claim that trial court improperly determined that defendant was absolutely immune from liability for statutory theft where some of defendant's alleged criminal conduct was perpetrated outside scope of judicial proceedings.</i>	
Sclafani Properties, LLC v. Sport-N-Life Distributing, LLC		292
	<i>Breach of lease; attorney trial referee; attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred when it failed to include in its judgment for plaintiff amount for unpaid real estate taxes because it improperly accepted attorney trial referee's finding that plaintiff provided no evidence of such unpaid taxes; claim that trial court abused its discretion in its award of attorney's fees.</i>	
State v. Auburn W.		558
	<i>Harassment in second degree; stalking in second degree; whether trial court improperly determined that defendant forfeited right to self-representation on basis of lack of competence; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that defendant had mental illness or mental incapacity.</i>	
State v. Brown		630
	<i>Assault in first degree; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to disprove defendant's theory of self-defense; credibility of witnesses.</i>	
State v. Dyous		253
	<i>Petition to extend psychiatric commitment of acquittee to jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; claim that trial court's findings that, at time of state's petition, acquittee was mentally ill and dangerous to himself or others were clearly erroneous; claim that trial court improperly granted state's petition to extend acquittee's commitment.</i>	
State v. Harris		530
	<i>Murder; robbery in first degree; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court improperly admitted uncharged misconduct evidence; unpreserved claims on appeal; plain error doctrine; whether alleged prosecutorial impropriety deprived defendant of his due process right to fair trial; claim that defendant was deprived of his right to due process because materially favorable evidence was withheld.</i>	
State v. Jackson		489
	<i>Violation of probation; claim that evidence was insufficient to support finding that defendant violated his probation; claim that there was insufficient evidence to prove defendant had constructive possession of narcotics that formed basis for his arrest; claim that two instances in which defendant failed to report to Office of Adult Probation were de minimis and insufficient to support finding of violation of probation; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in admitting hearsay testimony during probation revocation hearing; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting hearsay statements; whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing sentence of six years of incarceration.</i>	
State v. Leniart.		591
	<i>Murder; capital felony; whether trial court's improper exclusion of videotape evidence violated defendant's constitutional rights to confrontation and to present defense.</i>	
State v. Magaraci		305
	<i>Assault in first degree; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to disprove defendant's theory of self-defense; credibility of witnesses; whether jury reasonably could have concluded that defendant was initial aggressor and that he had ability to safely retreat; whether defendant waived claim that trial court improperly charged jury on self-defense.</i>	
State v. Marrero		90
	<i>Home invasion; burglary in first degree; assault in second degree; whether defendant was denied due process right to fair trial as result of prosecutorial improprieties; claim that prosecutor used excessive leading questions during direct examination of victim; reviewability of claim that prosecutor improperly refreshed witness' recollection by showing witness document that was different from document he purported to show witness; claim that prosecutor improperly commented during closing argument about victim's inconsistent statements as to cause of her injuries; whether trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence recordings of defendant's phone calls to incarcerated girlfriend; claim that trial</i>	

	<i>court improperly prevented defendant from exploring state's ability to authenticate his voice on recordings; claim that trial court abused its discretion by instructing jury on consciousness of guilt.</i>	
State Marshal Assn. of Connecticut, Inc. v. Johnson		392
	<i>Declaratory action; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff lacked associational standing; whether plaintiff established that its members were classically or statutorily aggrieved by challenged conduct; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion for reargument and reconsideration.</i>	
State v. Robert H.		276
	<i>Risk of injury to child; corpus delicti or corroboration rule; claim that evidence was insufficient to support guilty verdict on second charge of risk of injury because common-law corpus delicti rule prevented defendant from being convicted solely on basis of his uncorroborated confession that more than one such incident occurred in absence of independent proof regarding second incident.</i>	
Stubbs v. ICare Management, LLC		511
	<i>Employment discrimination; claim that trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendants; whether trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to establish prima facie case of discrimination as there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether termination of plaintiff's employment was pretextual and as to whether, at time plaintiff's employment was terminated, plaintiff was qualified to perform essential functions of job, following reasonable accommodation of leave of absence; whether trial court improperly rendered summary judgment on plaintiff's reasonable accommodation claims as there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiff could perform essential functions of job with accommodation of leave of absence to have and recover from surgery.</i>	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Melahn.		151
	<i>Foreclosure; whether appeal from trial court's striking of special defenses was taken from final judgment; whether trial court relied on making, validity and enforcement test as expounded in U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Blowers (332 Conn. 656); whether claim that plaintiff failed to send defendant timely notice of entry of judgment of foreclosure sufficiently related to enforcement of note or mortgage.</i>	