

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 197

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

American Tax Funding, LLC v. Gore	234
<i>Foreclosure of municipal tax liens; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open.</i>	
Anthis v. Windom	427
<i>Negligence; recklessness; motion in limine; motion for remittitur; motion to open; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion in limine seeking to preclude evidence of repair costs; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for remittitur; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open; claim that trial court's denial of motions for remittitur and to open resulted in double recovery by plaintiff and double payment by defendant with respect to property damage expenses plaintiff had incurred; whether trial court properly declined to consider defendant's double payment and equitable subrogation claims in deciding defendant's motion for remittitur and motion to open.</i>	
Benitez v. Commissioner of Correction.	344
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court improperly denied habeas petition; whether petitioner was prejudiced by criminal trial counsel's failure to hire or to consult with defense expert in arson investigation prior to trial.</i>	
Berger v. Deutermann.	421
<i>Breach of contract; claim that trial court's findings throughout trial were based on fraudulent misrepresentations presented as factual trial exhibits and further supported by false testimony; whether plaintiff provided adequate record that would enable this court to review claims on appeal; whether, in absence of trial transcripts, this court could evaluate plaintiff's arguments in support of appellate claims without resorting to speculation.</i>	
Dept. of Social Services v. Freeman	281
<i>Conversion; child support lien; expert witness disclosure; claim that trial court ignored requirements for expert witness disclosure set forth in applicable rule of practice (§ 13-4) by allowing plaintiff's expert to testify despite late disclosure; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in allowing plaintiff's counsel to question defendant, in presence of jury, as to prior withdrawn conversion action; whether trial court abused its discretion in allowing biological mothers of two minor children of defendant's client to testify as to client's child support arrearages.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Pollard (Memorandum Decision)	901
Factor King, LLC v. Housing Authority	459
<i>Summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment and denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly held that plaintiff was not entitled to direct payment of account receivable due to nonparty entity from account in which plaintiff had security interest; whether factoring agreement constituted outright sale of nonparty entity's account.</i>	
Gawlik v. Semple	83
<i>Religious discrimination; claim that defendants, current and former employees of Department of Correction, withheld religious literature and cards from plaintiff in violation of state and federal constitutional and statutory rights governing religious freedom; claim that applicable department administrative directives were not promulgated in accordance with Uniform Administrative Procedure Act (§ 4-166 et seq.); adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Greene v. Keating	447
<i>Vexatious litigation pursuant to statute (§ 52-568); claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to present evidence that would allow it reasonably</i>	

	<i>to calculate damages; whether trial court erred in failing to apply common nucleus test for apportionment to plaintiff's claim for attorney's fees.</i>	
Harris v. Neale		147
	<i>Negligence; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open; whether minor plaintiff satisfied burden of demonstrating that he was prevented by reasonable cause from prosecuting action.</i>	
Igersheim v. Bezruczyk		412
	<i>Petition for visitation; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider petition; whether petition lacked specific allegations necessary to meet jurisdictional thresholds of visitation statute (§ 46b-59 (b)); whether defendant adequately briefed claims.</i>	
In re Probate Appeal of Buckingham		373
	<i>Probate appeal; subject matter jurisdiction; fraud; whether trial court properly dismissed probate appeal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court had jurisdiction to set aside probate decree pursuant to statute (§ 45a-24); whether § 45a-24 permits only collateral attacks on probate decrees and, therefore, did not provide trial court with jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claims of fraud.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Syed		129
	<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly granted summary judgment as to liability; claim that there were genuine issues of material fact concerning whether plaintiff bank was holder of note at time it commenced action due to invalid endorsement of note; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's first and third special defenses as to damages when granting summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly struck defendant's count of amended counterclaim seeking attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) when granting summary judgment as to liability.</i>	
Lamberton v. Lamberton		240
	<i>Probate appeal; whether term executor in expense reimbursement statute (§ 45a-294) included nominated executor prior to appointment by Probate Court; whether trial court had notice of challenge to amount of fees awarded by Probate Court.</i>	
Longbottom v. Longbottom		64
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify educational support; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court failed to determine whether plaintiff had established probable cause of fraud by nondisclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motions to open and to modify; claim that trial court failed to properly understand defendant's financial information.</i>	
Manson v. Conklin		51
	<i>Negligence; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of findings and conclusions in police department's internal affairs reports that defendant police officer had engaged in misconduct and was dishonest; whether findings and conclusions in reports constituted extrinsic evidence and, therefore, were inadmissible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.</i>	
Merritt Medical Center Owners Corp. v. Gianetti		226
	<i>Foreclosure of statutory (§ 47-258 (m)) liens against medical office units for unpaid common charges; whether vote by plaintiff's executive board to send matters to collection complied with § 47-258 (m), requiring board to vote to commence foreclosure action.</i>	
Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc. v. R.W. Commerford & Sons, Inc.		353
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in dismissing second petition as successive; whether habeas corpus jurisprudence contained indication that habeas corpus relief was intended to apply to nonhuman animal; whether animals were permitted to bring action to vindicate animal's own purported rights under common law.</i>	
Osborn v. Waterbury		476
	<i>Negligence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that minor plaintiff's injuries were caused by inadequate number of adults to supervise up to 400 students; whether trial court's conclusion was unsupported by evidence; whether trial court's conclusion constituted harmful error.</i>	
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)		901
Petrucelli v. Meriden		1
	<i>Zoning; municipal blight citation; anti-blight ordinance; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding testimony of witnesses; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent city did not violate petitioner's due process</i>	

rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that the anti-blight ordinance was not unconstitutionally vague; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was sufficient evidence demonstrating noncompliance with anti-blight ordinance.

Pfister v. Madison Beach Hotel, LLC 326

Real property; permanent injunction; whether trial court erred in concluding that zoning restrictions applicable to hotel, which would prohibit it from hosting concerts on its own property, also applied to hotel's ability to host concert series on town park property; whether hotel's permitted use of town park granted hotel possessory interest in park; whether hotel's use of its resources to support and sponsor free concert series, despite commercial nature of such use, transformed park into part of hotel's property, or expanded hotel's use of town park impermissibly; claim that only permissible uses of town park are those which can be shown to have historically occurred prior to adoption of zoning regulations and, therefore, because there was no evidence of concerts having occurred at park at issue, their occurrence improperly expanded nonconforming use status applicable to park.

Powers v. Hiranandani 384

Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over real property; claim that trial court issued orders with respect to real property that were based on mistake and impossible to execute; claim that trial court abused its discretion by dividing real property between parties without determining its value; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to divide parties' personal property; claim that trial court improperly ordered defendant to pay percentage of cost of extracurricular activities of parties' child; claim that trial court abused its discretion by issuing financial orders in excess of defendant's ability to pay.

Purtill v. Cook 22

Summary process; motion to open judgment of default; stay of execution; automatic stay; mootness; standing; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claim of exemption from eviction.

State v. Fredrik H. 213

Unlawful restraint in first degree; interfering with emergency call; criminal mischief in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to substantially interfere with victim's liberty; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct.

State v. Hernandez 257

Assault in first degree; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to be present at all critical stages of prosecution when it sentenced him in absentia; whether defendant waived his constitutional right to be present at sentencing by deliberately absenting himself from sentencing proceedings; whether trial court improperly failed to make express finding that defendant waived his right to be present at sentencing; claim that trial court was constitutionally required to advise defendant, prior to sentencing, that sentencing would proceed in his absence if he did not appear.

State v. Holley 161

Motion to correct illegal sentence; criminal possession of firearm; statutory interpretation; rule of lenity; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that defendant's consecutive sentences did not violate constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether trial court properly construed relevant statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53a-217 (a) (1)) as criminalizing possession of single firearm; whether statute was ambiguous; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply rule of lenity.

State v. Holmgren 203

Home invasion; burglary in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to sustain defendant's conviction of home invasion and burglary in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant entered dwelling while victim was present in that dwelling as required by home invasion statute (§ 53a-100aa (a) (1)); whether state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant entered victim's apartment with intent to commit crime; whether jury reasonably could have inferred from certain evidence defendant's intent to sexually assault victim; claim that trial court improperly allowed state to introduce testimony of police detective regarding statements made by

	<i>defendant; whether probative value of evidence of bag in defendant's possession outweighed any prejudice caused to defendant by its admission.</i>	
State v. Nusser		76
	<i>Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit.</i>	
State v. Tinsley		302
	<i>Manslaughter in first degree; risk of injury to child; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant's conviction for manslaughter in first degree and risk of injury to child did not violate prohibition against double jeopardy; whether legislature authorized multiple punishments under statutes in question.</i>	
State v. Vivo		363
	<i>Murder; assault in first degree; sentence enhancement pursuant to statute (§ 53-202k); whether trial court properly dismissed motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider motion to correct; whether defendant's claim that state presented insufficient evidence to prove applicability of § 53-202k challenged underlying conviction rather than legality of sentence or sentence proceeding.</i>	
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction		172
	<i>Habeas corpus; larceny in fifth degree; larceny in sixth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner's amended habeas petition as moot; whether prejudicial collateral consequences exist; whether petitioner's claim that his right to effective assistance of counsel was violated was reviewable.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi		31
	<i>Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismissing rather than denying motion to reargue.</i>	
Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)		901
World Business Lenders, LLC v. 526-528 North Main Street, LLC		269
	<i>Foreclosure; whether guarantor of note was party to foreclosure action; whether guarantor had standing to bring appeal challenging foreclosure judgment; whether final judgment had been rendered by trial court with respect to all counts of complaint.</i>	