

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 197**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

American Tax Funding, LLC v. Gore . . . . .	234
<i>Foreclosure of municipal tax liens; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open.</i>	
Benitez v. Commissioner of Correction. . . . .	344
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court improperly denied habeas petition; whether petitioner was prejudiced by criminal trial counsel's failure to hire or to consult with defense expert in arson investigation prior to trial.</i>	
Dept. of Social Services v. Freeman . . . . .	281
<i>Conversion; child support lien; expert witness disclosure; claim that trial court ignored requirements for expert witness disclosure set forth in applicable rule of practice (§ 13-4) by allowing plaintiff's expert to testify despite late disclosure; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in allowing plaintiff's counsel to question defendant, in presence of jury, as to prior withdrawn conversion action; whether trial court abused its discretion in allowing biological mothers of two minor children of defendant's client to testify as to client's child support arrearages.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Pollard (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Gawlik v. Semple . . . . .	83
<i>Religious discrimination; claim that defendants, current and former employees of Department of Correction, withheld religious literature and cards from plaintiff in violation of state and federal constitutional and statutory rights governing religious freedom; claim that applicable department administrative directives were not promulgated in accordance with Uniform Administrative Procedure Act (§ 4-166 et seq.); adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Harris v. Neale . . . . .	147
<i>Negligence; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open; whether minor plaintiff satisfied burden of demonstrating that he was prevented by reasonable cause from prosecuting action.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Syed . . . . .	129
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly granted summary judgment as to liability; claim that there were genuine issues of material fact concerning whether plaintiff bank was holder of note at time it commenced action due to invalid endorsement of note; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's first and third special defenses as to damages when granting summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly struck defendant's count of amended counterclaim seeking attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) when granting summary judgment as to liability.</i>	
Lamberton v. Lamberton . . . . .	240
<i>Probate appeal; whether term executor in expense reimbursement statute (§ 45a-294) included nominated executor prior to appointment by Probate Court; whether trial court had notice of challenge to amount of fees awarded by Probate Court.</i>	
Longbottom v. Longbottom. . . . .	64
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify educational support; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court failed to determine whether plaintiff had established probable cause of fraud by nondisclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motions to open and to modify; claim that trial court failed to properly understand defendant's financial information.</i>	
Manson v. Conklin. . . . .	51
<i>Negligence; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of findings and conclusions in police department's internal affairs reports that defendant police officer had engaged in misconduct and was dishonest; whether findings and conclusions in reports constituted extrinsic evidence and, therefore, were inad-</i>	

	<i>missible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.</i>	
Merritt Medical Center Owners Corp. v. Gianetti . . . . .	<i>Foreclosure of statutory (§ 47-258 (m)) liens against medical office units for unpaid common charges; whether vote by plaintiff's executive board to send matters to collection complied with § 47-258 (m), requiring board to vote to commence foreclosure action.</i>	226
Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc. v. R.W. Commerford & Sons, Inc. . . . .	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in dismissing second petition as successive; whether habeas corpus jurisprudence contained indication that habeas corpus relief was intended to apply to nonhuman animal; whether animals were permitted to bring action to vindicate animal's own purported rights under common law.</i>	353
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision). . . . .		901
Petrucelli v. Meriden . . . . .	<i>Zoning; municipal blight citation; anti-blight ordinance; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding testimony of witnesses; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent city did not violate petitioner's due process rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that the anti-blight ordinance was not unconstitutionally vague; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was sufficient evidence demonstrating noncompliance with anti-blight ordinance.</i>	1
Pfister v. Madison Beach Hotel, LLC . . . . .	<i>Real property; permanent injunction; whether trial court erred in concluding that zoning restrictions applicable to hotel, which would prohibit it from hosting concerts on its own property, also applied to hotel's ability to host concert series on town park property; whether hotel's permitted use of town park granted hotel possessory interest in park; whether hotel's use of its resources to support and sponsor free concert series, despite commercial nature of such use, transformed park into part of hotel's property, or expanded hotel's use of town park impermissibly; claim that only permissible uses of town park are those which can be shown to have historically occurred prior to adoption of zoning regulations and, therefore, because there was no evidence of concerts having occurred at park at issue, their occurrence improperly expanded nonconforming use status applicable to park.</i>	326
Purtill v. Cook . . . . .	<i>Summary process; motion to open judgment of default; stay of execution; automatic stay; mootness; standing; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claim of exemption from eviction.</i>	22
State v. Fredrik H. . . . .	<i>Unlawful restraint in first degree; interfering with emergency call; criminal mischief in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to substantially interfere with victim's liberty; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct.</i>	213
State v. Hernandez . . . . .	<i>Assault in first degree; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to be present at all critical stages of prosecution when it sentenced him in absentia; whether defendant waived his constitutional right to be present at sentencing by deliberately absenting himself from sentencing proceedings; whether trial court improperly failed to make express finding that defendant waived his right to be present at sentencing; claim that trial court was constitutionally required to advise defendant, prior to sentencing, that sentencing would proceed in his absence if he did not appear.</i>	257
State v. Holley . . . . .	<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; criminal possession of firearm; statutory interpretation; rule of lenity; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that defendant's consecutive sentences did not violate constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether trial court properly construed relevant statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53a-217 (a) (1)) as criminalizing possession of single firearm; whether statute was ambiguous; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply rule of lenity.</i>	161
State v. Holmgren . . . . .	<i>Home invasion; burglary in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to sustain defendant's conviction of home inva-</i>	203

*sion and burglary in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant entered dwelling while victim was present in that dwelling as required by home invasion statute (§ 53a-100aa (a) (1)); whether state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant entered victim's apartment with intent to commit crime; whether jury reasonably could have inferred from certain evidence defendant's intent to sexually assault victim; claim that trial court improperly allowed state to introduce testimony of police detective regarding statements made by defendant; whether probative value of evidence of bag in defendant's possession outweighed any prejudice caused to defendant by its admission.*

State v. Nusser . . . . . 76

*Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit.*

State v. Tinsley . . . . . 302

*Manslaughter in first degree; risk of injury to child; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant's conviction for manslaughter in first degree and risk of injury to child did not violate prohibition against double jeopardy; whether legislature authorized multiple punishments under statutes in question.*

State v. Vivo . . . . . 363

*Murder; assault in first degree; sentence enhancement pursuant to statute (§ 53-202k); whether trial court properly dismissed motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider motion to correct; whether defendant's claim that state presented insufficient evidence to prove applicability of § 53-202k challenged underlying conviction rather than legality of sentence or sentence proceeding.*

Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 172

*Habeas corpus; larceny in fifth degree; larceny in sixth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner's amended habeas petition as moot; whether prejudicial collateral consequences exist; whether petitioner's claim that his right to effective assistance of counsel was violated was reviewable.*

U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi . . . . . 31

*Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismissing rather than denying motion to reargue.*

World Business Lenders, LLC v. 526-528 North Main Street, LLC . . . . . 269

*Foreclosure; whether guarantor of note was party to foreclosure action; whether guarantor had standing to bring appeal challenging foreclosure judgment; whether final judgment had been rendered by trial court with respect to all counts of complaint.*