

**Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 196**

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

<p>Al-Fikey v. Obaiah</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court's finding that defendant was at fault for irretrievable breakdown of marriage was clearly erroneous; whether trial court properly found that defendant was intentionally underemployed when calculating his earning capacity; claim that trial court erred in calculating support orders on basis of defendant's earning capacity rather than his actual income; claim that trial court improperly determined which properties were part of marital estate.</i></p> <p>American Tax Funding, LLC v. First Eagle Corp.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Municipal tax collection; special defenses; municipal tax lien assignment statute (§ 12-195h); extinguishment of liens pursuant to statute (§ 12-195); whether trial court properly found that plaintiff's claims for unpaid taxes were extinguished pursuant to § 12-195 when plaintiff or its assignee took title to property in foreclosure proceeding; whether plaintiff provided inadequate record for review of its claim that trial court incorrectly found that defendant's debt to plaintiff had been satisfied.</i></p> <p>Board of Education v. Waterbury Teachers Assn., CEA-NEA</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Arbitration; claim that trial court improperly vacated arbitration award, pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-418 (a) (4)) on ground that arbitrator exceeded or so imperfectly executed his powers that mutual, final and definite award on subject matter submitted was not made; whether award conformed to submission; claim that trial court improperly determined that award violated the public policy set forth in Teacher Negotiation Act (§ 10-153a et seq.); whether award was inconsistently limited to group within collective bargaining unit.</i></p> <p>Bordiere v. Ciarca Construction, LLC</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Motion to open judgment; motion to substitute as party plaintiff; whether trial court erred in premising its decision to open judgment and to substitute executrix as plaintiff on statute (§ 52-107) permitting intervention in case that had reached final judgment; claim that right of survival statute (§ 52-599) provided trial court with broad discretion to grant executrix' untimely motion to substitute herself as plaintiff on showing of good cause.</i></p> <p>Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)</p> <p>Carabetta Organization, Ltd. v. Meriden</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Summary judgment; res judicata; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendants where plaintiffs claimed that certain defendants conspired to secure defeat of plaintiffs' effort to obtain approval of leaseback agreement of certain real property that had been subject of four prior unsuccessful actions by plaintiffs; claim that plaintiffs' action was founded on different types of conduct by different defendants and different effects of that conduct.</i></p> <p>Cheswold (TL), LLC, BMO Harris Bank, N.A. v. Kwong</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure of tax liens; subject matter jurisdiction; standing; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that substitute plaintiff's failure to record certain assignment of tax liens on town land records deprived it of standing to pursue foreclosure action.</i></p> <p>Compass Bank v. Dunn</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; whether trial court incorrectly granted motion for default for failing to disclose defense on ground that no "valid" defense was asserted; whether rule of practice (§ 13-19) allows trial court to pass on legal sufficiency of proposed defense; claim that defendants interposed valid defense to foreclosure action.</i></p> <p>Consiglio v. Al Dente, LLC (Memorandum Decision)</p> <p>Dickau v. Mingrone</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Property; breach of contract; claim that trial court erred in finding that city building department had not made determination regarding use and occupancy status of plaintiff's property; whether record was sufficient to support trial court's finding;</i></p>	<p>13</p> <p>298</p> <p>463</p> <p>70</p> <p>902</p> <p>147</p> <p>279</p> <p>43</p> <p>904</p> <p>59</p>
---	---

	<i>claim that trial court erred in not finding that plaintiff established existence of damages.</i>	
Hannon v. Board of Education (Memorandum Decision)		903
Hogfeldt v. Board of Education (Memorandum Decision)		901
Holt v. Dolce (Memorandum Decision).		904
In re Gabriel C.		333
	<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly denied respondent mother's motion to disqualify counsel acting as minor child's guardian ad litem on ground that attorney previously acted as mother's guardian ad litem when mother was minor; claim that trial court improperly admitted social studies pursuant to governing statutes (§§ 17a-112 (j) and 45a-717) and on ground that social studies contained hearsay; claim that trial court improperly concluded that mother failed to achieve requisite degree of personal rehabilitation required by § 17a-112.</i>	
In re Geoffrey G..		316
	<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly failed to order, sua sponte, evaluation of respondent mother's competency to assist her counsel at trial; whether mother established violation of her right to due process; claim that evidence in record demonstrated that mother's mental health issues, at time of trial, interfered with her ability to provide her newly retained counsel with truthful, relevant data in presentation of her case.</i>	
Jepsen v. Camassar		97
	<i>Declaratory judgment; action seeking declaration that certain modifications made by beach association to restrictive covenants in beach deed were invalid; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiffs' postjudgment motion for equitable relief because this court's order of remand in first appeal required trial court to address their claims for quiet title and injunctive relief; claim that trial court improperly denied postjudgment motion for fees and costs; claim that, even assuming that this court's mandate in first appeal did not encompass claims of certain plaintiffs to quiet title, equitable relief, and fees and costs, trial court improperly denied their motion to open to provide them with their requested relief; claim that trial court violated several state and federal constitutional rights of certain plaintiffs by failing to hear or grant their postjudgment motions.</i>	
Jolley v. Vinton		379
	<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal constitutional rights; whether trial court's finding that plaintiff failed to prove causal connection between plaintiff's conduct and defendant's alleged retaliation was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Kaminski v. Semple		528
	<i>Declaratory judgment; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss filed by defendant state employees; whether plaintiff lacked standing to raise claims as to certain defendants; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendants were entitled to sovereign immunity and statutory (§ 4-165) immunity; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Lemanski v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Memorandum Decision)		901
Mendieta v. Perry (Memorandum Decision)		906
Morton v. Syriac		183
	<i>Temporary and permanent injunction; easement; motion to disqualify; claim that trial court wrongly issued permanent injunction; claim that plaintiff did not allege irreparable harm or lack of adequate remedy at law; whether complaint provided adequate notice of plaintiff's claim for permanent injunction; whether there was substantial likelihood that, in absence of judicial intervention, plaintiff stood to lose valuable asset; whether defendant could prevail on his claim that trial court improperly allowed plaintiff to modify dissolution judgment by granting injunction; whether trial court erred by allowing plaintiff to present evidence that contradicted alleged judicial admissions in her pleadings; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's motion to disqualify trial judge; whether trial court erred in denying defendant hearing before another judge.</i>	
Nietupski v. Del Castillo		31
	<i>Marital dissolution; separation; claim that trial court violated free exercise clause of first amendment by rendering judgment of marital dissolution; whether trial court abused its discretion when it entered certain orders regarding minor child's travel and education as part of judgment of dissolution.</i>	

Office Condominium Assn., Inc. v. Rompre 370
Foreclosure of statutory (§ 47-258) common charges lien; Common Interest Ownership Act (§ 47-200 et seq.); summary judgment; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal; whether trial court left substantive claim unresolved following its decision that granted in part condominium association's motion for summary judgment on counterclaim; whether appeal was taken from final judgment; whether more than determination of amount of attorney's fees remained to be done.

Peterson v. Torrington 52
Declaratory judgment; summary judgment; tax sale; whether appeal was moot; whether there was unchallenged, alternative ground for affirming judgment of trial court; whether trial court could grant practical relief; whether Appellate Court was without subject matter jurisdiction.

Presto v. Presto 22
Declaratory judgment; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss plaintiff's declaratory judgment action on ground that claims were not ripe for adjudication because they were pending before Probate Court at time complaint was filed in Superior Court; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law on issues.

Professional Electrical Contractors of Connecticut, Inc. v. Stamford Hospital 430
Unjust enrichment; quantum meruit; mechanic's lien; substitution of bond; summary judgment; whether defendant presented evidence of genuine issue of material fact as to plaintiff's claims; whether recovery was barred to second tier subcontractor when general contractor had paid first tier subcontractor in full; whether lienable fund was exhausted.

RCN Capital, LLC v. Chicago Title Ins. Co. 518
Breach of contract; foreclosure action; claim that trial court improperly calculated damages due to breach of contract claim using tax foreclosure sale price of property instead of estimated fair market value of property at time plaintiff brought foreclosure action to determine actual loss.

Sherman v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision). 905

Solomon v. Doe (Memorandum Decision) 904

Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) 903

Starboard Resources, Inc. v. Henry 80
*Interpleader; interpleader action to determine rights of defendants to certain shares of plaintiff's common stock; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; standing; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over interpleader action; whether plaintiff lacked standing because its nonparty transfer agent allegedly held subject shares on plaintiff's behalf; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant companies' motion to dismiss for mootness; claim that trial court improperly rendered interlocutory judgment of interpleader; whether it was premature for this court to consider merits of parties' purportedly adverse claims to shares; whether trial court properly exercised its authority to remand matter to clarify arbitration award as to ownership of shares; whether trial court violated doctrine of *functus officio*.*

State v. Albert D. 155
*Risk of injury to child; sexual assault in fourth degree; sexual assault in first degree; attempt to commit sexual assault in first degree; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial on basis of alleged prosecutorial improprieties during state's rebuttal closing argument; whether prosecutor's remarks on own credibility and credibility of witness constituted improper vouching for state's credibility; whether prosecutor's comments that state's experts were not allowed as matter of law to meet with victims were improper and constituted impropriety; whether law prohibits expert witnesses from meeting with children who are complainants of sexual assault; whether prosecutorial impropriety deprived defendant of due process right to fair trial under test set forth in *State v. Williams* (204 Conn. 523).*

State v. Bloom (Memorandum Decision) 905

State v. Bornstein 420
Interlocutory appeal; violation of civil protection order; harassment in second degree; motion to dismiss; claim that state was collaterally estopped from pursuing criminal charges because relevant factual allegations had been subject of full evidentiary hearing at hearing on request for civil protection order that was denied; lack of final judgment; whether Appellate Court had jurisdiction to hear interlocutory appeal; double jeopardy.

State v. Bradbury	510
<i>Criminal possession of firearm; carrying pistol without permit; assault in first degree; criminal attempt to commit robbery in first degree; claim that, in light of jury's not guilty finding on certain charges, there was insufficient evidence to support conviction as demonstrated by inconsistency of jury's verdict; whether defendant's attempt to obtain review of legal inconsistency between conviction and acquittal by recasting it as claim of evidentiary insufficiency changed nature of claim; whether state presented sufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction; whether defendant's argument that jury's not guilty verdict on certain charges meant that jury necessarily rejected victim's testimony in its entirety was availing.</i>	
State v. Hargett	228
<i>Murder; claim that trial court's exclusion of evidence deprived defendant of right to present defense; whether defendant demonstrated relevancy of alleged statement; whether defendant laid evidentiary foundation for claim of self-defense; whether there was causal relationship between toxicology report and cause of death of victim; whether there was evidence that defendant had reason to believe deadly physical force was required; claim that trial court violated defendant's right to due process by refusing to give self-defense jury instruction; whether reasonable juror could have concluded that defendant believed himself to be in imminent or immediate danger; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion for new trial or to dismiss charges for state's late disclosure of firearm related evidence; whether late disclosure constituted bad faith; whether defendant was prejudiced in plea bargaining or trial by late disclosure of evidence; claim that defendant was denied fair trial by prosecutorial impropriety in closing argument; whether prosecutor's improper statement harmed defendant.</i>	
State v. Prince A.	413
<i>Sexual assault in first degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; constancy of accusation doctrine discussed; whether trial court improperly denied motion to strike testimony of constancy of accusation witness who testified that it was her belief that victim had reported assault contemporaneously, without delay; application of constancy of accusation doctrine as modified in State v. Daniel W. E. (322 Conn. 593), under which constancy of accusation testimony is permissible if defendant challenges victim's credibility on cross-examination.</i>	
State v. Richards.	387
<i>Murder; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to cause death of victim and did in fact cause her death; whether trial court committed plain error in failing to give special credibility instruction regarding testimony of cooperating witness; whether state's decision to prosecute defendant in third trial after two previous trials ended in mistrials violated defendant's right to due process.</i>	
State v. Rosa	480
<i>Murder; assault in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; unpreserved claim that state suppressed DNA evidence that was material to defense in violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) and did not disclose it until after jury returned verdict; claim that DNA match between sweatshirt found in vicinity of crime scene and DNA sample of convicted felon constituted material evidence; claim that lack of evidence of DNA match at trial undermined trial's fairness and resulted in verdict that was not worthy of confidence.</i>	
State v. Spells (Memorandum Decision)	905
State v. Torres (Memorandum Decision)	902
Thompson v. Commission of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Turek v. Zoning Board of Appeals	122
<i>Zoning; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that plaintiffs demonstrated legally cognizable hardship; claim that trial court erroneously determined that plaintiffs' application to zoning board for variance qualified under exception to hardship requirement set forth in Adolphson v. Zoning Board of Appeals (205 Conn. 703).</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Madison.	267
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; bankruptcy; motion to reenter judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court erred by concluding that defendant lacked standing to object to plaintiff's motion to reenter judgment; whether defendant lacked standing to pursue her defense to plaintiff's interest in property because her failure to notify bankruptcy trustee of defense by not disclosing it as asset of bankruptcy estate</i>	

precluded her from raising defense after discharge of bankruptcy estate; whether Beck & Beck, LLC v. Costello (178 Conn. App. 112) was applicable; whether plaintiff's reliance on Beck & Beck, LLC, conflated debtor's claim for money damages as asset of bankruptcy estate with debtor's defense to enforcement of invalid lien; whether defendant's claim that either bankruptcy trustee or any creditor could move to reopen bankruptcy estate if trial court were to find mortgage invalid ignored threshold issue that defendant lacked legal capacity to raise that defense.

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. O'Brien (Memorandum Decision) 903

Wachovia Mortgage, FSB v. Toczek. 1

Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; motion to reargue; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff did not have standing because it was not holder of subject note; claim that note was nonnegotiable instrument pursuant to relevant statute (§ 42a-3-104 (a)) because it was not for fixed amount of money and was governed by federal law; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability; whether trial court abused its discretion by granting motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; whether plaintiff complied with requirement in applicable rule of practice (§ 23-18) that preliminary statement of monetary claim be filed no less than five days prior to hearing on motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to reargue judgment of strict foreclosure.

Wells v. Wells 309

Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for order; whether trial court improperly interpreted provision of separation agreement; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Widow, Heirs and/or Creditors of Estate of Elsi Savvidis (Memorandum Decision). 902

Windham Solar, LLC v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority 287

Administrative appeal; appeal from decisions by defendant Public Utilities Regulatory Authority concerning plaintiff's petition, pursuant to statute (§ 16-243a), to compel defendant utility to enter into contract with plaintiff for purchase of energy and capacity from solar electric generating facilities; whether trial court improperly granted authority's motion to dismiss appeal; whether trial court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff had failed to plead facts sufficient to establish aggrievement and because plaintiff's appeal was moot.

Young v. Hartford Hospital. 207

Medical malpractice; certificate of good faith and opinion required by statute (§ 52-190a) for negligence action against health care provider, discussed; whether trial court improperly granted defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's action on ground that plaintiff failed to provide certificate of good faith and opinion pursuant to § 52-190a; whether plaintiff's claims were based on ordinary negligence or medical malpractice.