

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 196

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

<p>Al-Fikey v. Obaiah</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court's finding that defendant was at fault for irretrievable breakdown of marriage was clearly erroneous; whether trial court properly found that defendant was intentionally underemployed when calculating his earning capacity; claim that trial court erred in calculating support orders on basis of defendant's earning capacity rather than his actual income; claim that trial court improperly determined which properties were part of marital estate.</i></p> <p>American Tax Funding, LLC v. First Eagle Corp.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Municipal tax collection; special defenses; municipal tax lien assignment statute (§ 12-195h); extinguishment of liens pursuant to statute (§ 12-195); whether trial court properly found that plaintiff's claims for unpaid taxes were extinguished pursuant to § 12-195 when plaintiff or its assignee took title to property in foreclosure proceeding; whether plaintiff provided inadequate record for review of its claim that trial court incorrectly found that defendant's debt to plaintiff had been satisfied.</i></p> <p>Bordiere v. Ciarcia Construction, LLC</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Motion to open judgment; motion to substitute as party plaintiff; whether trial court erred in premising its decision to open judgment and to substitute executrix as plaintiff on statute (§ 52-107) permitting intervention in case that had reached final judgment; claim that right of survival statute (§ 52-599) provided trial court with broad discretion to grant executrix' untimely motion to substitute herself as plaintiff on showing of good cause.</i></p> <p>Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)</p> <p>Carabetta Organization, Ltd. v. Meriden</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Summary judgment; res judicata; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendants where plaintiffs claimed that certain defendants conspired to secure defeat of plaintiffs' effort to obtain approval of leaseback agreement of certain real property that had been subject of four prior unsuccessful actions by plaintiffs; claim that plaintiffs' action was founded on different types of conduct by different defendants and different effects of that conduct.</i></p> <p>Cheswold (TL), LLC, BMO Harris Bank, N.A. v. Kwong</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure of tax liens; subject matter jurisdiction; standing; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that substitute plaintiff's failure to record certain assignment of tax liens on town land records deprived it of standing to pursue foreclosure action.</i></p> <p>Compass Bank v. Dunn</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Foreclosure; whether trial court incorrectly granted motion for default for failing to disclose defense on ground that no "valid" defense was asserted; whether rule of practice (§ 13-19) allows trial court to pass on legal sufficiency of proposed defense; claim that defendants interposed valid defense to foreclosure action.</i></p> <p>Dickau v. Mingrone</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Property; breach of contract; claim that trial court erred in finding that city building department had not made determination regarding use and occupancy status of plaintiff's property; whether record was sufficient to support trial court's finding; claim that trial court erred in not finding that plaintiff established existence of damages.</i></p> <p>Hogfeldt v. Board of Education (Memorandum Decision)</p> <p>Jepsen v. Camassar</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>Declaratory judgment; action seeking declaration that certain modifications made by beach association to restrictive covenants in beach deed were invalid; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiffs' postjudgment motion for equitable relief because this court's order of remand in first appeal required trial court to address their claims for quiet title and injunctive relief; claim that trial court improperly denied postjudgment motion for fees and costs; claim that, even</i></p>	<p>13</p> <p>298</p> <p>70</p> <p>902</p> <p>147</p> <p>279</p> <p>43</p> <p>59</p> <p>901</p> <p>97</p>
--	--

	<i>assuming that this court's mandate in first appeal did not encompass claims of certain plaintiffs to quiet title, equitable relief, and fees and costs, trial court improperly denied their motion to open to provide them with their requested relief; claim that trial court violated several state and federal constitutional rights of certain plaintiffs by failing to hear or grant their postjudgment motions.</i>	
Lemanski v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Memorandum Decision)		901
Morton v. Syriac		183
	<i>Temporary and permanent injunction; easement; motion to disqualify; claim that trial court wrongly issued permanent injunction; claim that plaintiff did not allege irreparable harm or lack of adequate remedy at law; whether complaint provided adequate notice of plaintiff's claim for permanent injunction; whether there was substantial likelihood that, in absence of judicial intervention, plaintiff stood to lose valuable asset; whether defendant could prevail on his claim that trial court improperly allowed plaintiff to modify dissolution judgment by granting injunction; whether trial court erred by allowing plaintiff to present evidence that contradicted alleged judicial admissions in her pleadings; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's motion to disqualify trial judge; whether trial court erred in denying defendant hearing before another judge.</i>	
Nietupski v. Del Castillo		31
	<i>Marital dissolution; separation; claim that trial court violated free exercise clause of first amendment by rendering judgment of marital dissolution; whether trial court abused its discretion when it entered certain orders regarding minor child's travel and education as part of judgment of dissolution.</i>	
Peterson v. Torrington		52
	<i>Declaratory judgment; summary judgment; tax sale; whether appeal was moot; whether there was unchallenged, alternative ground for affirming judgment of trial court; whether trial court could grant practical relief; whether Appellate Court was without subject matter jurisdiction.</i>	
Presto v. Presto		22
	<i>Declaratory judgment; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss plaintiff's declaratory judgment action on ground that claims were not ripe for adjudication because they were pending before Probate Court at time complaint was filed in Superior Court; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Starboard Resources, Inc. v. Henry		80
	<i>Interpleader; interpleader action to determine rights of defendants to certain shares of plaintiff's common stock; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; standing; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over interpleader action; whether plaintiff lacked standing because its nonparty transfer agent allegedly held subject shares on plaintiff's behalf; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant companies' motion to dismiss for mootness; claim that trial court improperly rendered interlocutory judgment of interpleader; whether it was premature for this court to consider merits of parties' purportedly adverse claims to shares; whether trial court properly exercised its authority to remand matter to clarify arbitration award as to ownership of shares; whether trial court violated doctrine of functus officio.</i>	
State v. Albert D.		155
	<i>Risk of injury to child; sexual assault in fourth degree; sexual assault in first degree; attempt to commit sexual assault in first degree; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial on basis of alleged prosecutorial improprieties during state's rebuttal closing argument; whether prosecutor's remarks on own credibility and credibility of witness constituted improper vouching for state's credibility; whether prosecutor's comments that state's experts were not allowed as matter of law to meet with victims were improper and constituted impropriety; whether law prohibits expert witnesses from meeting with children who are complainants of sexual assault; whether prosecutorial impropriety deprived defendant of due process right to fair trial under test set forth in State v. Williams (204 Conn. 523).</i>	
State v. Hargett		228
	<i>Murder; claim that trial court's exclusion of evidence deprived defendant of right to present defense; whether defendant demonstrated relevancy of alleged statement; whether defendant laid evidentiary foundation for claim of self-defense; whether there was causal relationship between toxicology report and cause of death of victim; whether there was evidence that defendant had reason to believe deadly physical force was required; claim that trial court violated defendant's right to</i>	

due process by refusing to give self-defense jury instruction; whether reasonable juror could have concluded that defendant believed himself to be in imminent or immediate danger; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion for new trial or to dismiss charges for state's late disclosure of firearm related evidence; whether late disclosure constituted bad faith; whether defendant was prejudiced in plea bargaining or trial by late disclosure of evidence; claim that defendant was denied fair trial by prosecutorial impropriety in closing argument; whether prosecutor's improper statement harmed defendant.

State v. Torres (Memorandum Decision) 902

Thompson v. Commission of Correction (Memorandum Decision) 901

Turek v. Zoning Board of Appeals 122

Zoning; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that plaintiffs demonstrated legally cognizable hardship; claim that trial court erroneously determined that plaintiffs' application to zoning board for variance qualified under exception to hardship requirement set forth in Adolphson v. Zoning Board of Appeals (205 Conn. 703).

U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Madison. 267

Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; bankruptcy; motion to reenter judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court erred by concluding that defendant lacked standing to object to plaintiff's motion to reenter judgment; whether defendant lacked standing to pursue her defense to plaintiff's interest in property because her failure to notify bankruptcy trustee of defense by not disclosing it as asset of bankruptcy estate precluded her from raising defense after discharge of bankruptcy estate; whether Beck & Beck, LLC v. Costello (178 Conn. App. 112) was applicable; whether plaintiff's reliance on Beck & Beck, LLC, conflated debtor's claim for money damages as asset of bankruptcy estate with debtor's defense to enforcement of invalid lien; whether defendant's claim that either bankruptcy trustee or any creditor could move to reopen bankruptcy estate if trial court were to find mortgage invalid ignored threshold issue that defendant lacked legal capacity to raise that defense.

Wachovia Mortgage, FSB v. Toczek 1

Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; motion to reargue; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff did not have standing because it was not holder of subject note; claim that note was nonnegotiable instrument pursuant to relevant statute (§ 42a-3-104 (a)) because it was not for fixed amount of money and was governed by federal law; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability; whether trial court abused its discretion by granting motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; whether plaintiff complied with requirement in applicable rule of practice (§ 23-18) that preliminary statement of monetary claim be filed no less than five days prior to hearing on motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to reargue judgment of strict foreclosure.

Wells v. Wells 309

Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for order; whether trial court improperly interpreted provision of separation agreement; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order.

Windham Solar, LLC v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority 287

Administrative appeal; appeal from decisions by defendant Public Utilities Regulatory Authority concerning plaintiff's petition, pursuant to statute (§ 16-243a), to compel defendant utility to enter into contract with plaintiff for purchase of energy and capacity from solar electric generating facilities; whether trial court improperly granted authority's motion to dismiss appeal; whether trial court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff had failed to plead facts sufficient to establish aggrievement and because plaintiff's appeal was moot.

Young v. Hartford Hospital. 207

Medical malpractice; certificate of good faith and opinion required by statute (§ 52-190a) for negligence action against health care provider, discussed; whether trial court improperly granted defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's action on ground that plaintiff failed to provide certificate of good faith and opinion pursuant to § 52-190a; whether plaintiff's claims were based on ordinary negligence or medical malpractice.