

**Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 182**

Asia M. v. Geoffrey M.	22
<i>Acknowledgment of paternity; child support; whether trial court erred in concluding that Ragin v. Lee (78 Conn. App. 848) provided fourth and independent ground to open acknowledgment of paternity, apart from statutory grounds of fraud, mistake of fact, and duress, as set forth in applicable statutory provision ([Rev. to 2011] § 46b-172 [a] [2]); whether trial court erred in determining that family support magistrate had inherent authority to open judgment of paternity on basis of best interests of child.</i>	
Battistotti v. Suzanne A.	40
<i>Child custody; whether trial court abused its discretion in failing to analyze whether plaintiff's visitation expenses warranted deviation from child support guidelines; claim that trial court abused its discretion in restricting plaintiff's parenting time with child to town of Greenwich.</i>	
General Ins. Co. of America v. Okeke	83
<i>Declaratory judgment; insurance; action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether insurer was obligated to defend and indemnify insureds in certain civil actions brought against them; claim that trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly determined that insurer had no duty to defend or indemnify insureds; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Geoffrey M. v. Asia M. (See Asia M. v. Geoffrey M.)	22
Plainville v. Almost Home Animal Rescue & Shelter, Inc.	55
<i>Negligence per se; unjust enrichment; motion to strike; claim that trial court applied improper legal standard in ruling on motion to strike; whether trial court properly struck count of complaint alleging negligence per se; whether trial court correctly determined that plaintiffs were not among intended beneficiaries of applicable statute (§ 53-247 [a]); whether, as matter of law, plaintiffs could not rely on § 53-247 (a) as basis for maintaining negligence per se action against defendant; whether trial court properly struck count of complaint alleging unjust enrichment; whether plaintiffs could not avail themselves of action sounding in unjust enrichment in light of adequate statutory (§ 22-329a [h]) remedy.</i>	
State v. Bennett	71
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly dismissed, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, postjudgment motions to dismiss information under which defendant was convicted where motions did not raise issues over which court had jurisdiction beyond defendant's sentencing date; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying portion of motion to correct illegal sentence that claimed defendant had been sentenced on basis of materially inaccurate information contained in presentence investigation report.</i>	
State v. Hall	103
<i>Manslaughter in first degree; claim that trial court improperly failed to provide jury with instruction concerning defendant's lack of duty to retreat from scene of incident in violation of sixth amendment right to present defense; whether duty to retreat played part in defendant's criminal trial; whether defendant established existence of constitutional violation that deprived him of fair trial.</i>	
Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc.	1
<i>Medical malpractice; whether trial court erred in denying request for leave to amend complaint where request was filed after expiration of statute of limitations for wrongful death actions; whether trial court improperly dismissed action for lack of personal jurisdiction where complaint was supported by opinion letter from health care provider that was legally insufficient under statute (§ 52-190a [a]); whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to reargue denial of motion to set aside judgment of nonsuit.</i>	