

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 179

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Boykin v. State	175
<i>Personal injury; defective highway; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; sovereign immunity; whether written notice of claim provided pursuant to state highway defect statute (§ 13a-144) was patently defective; whether notice of claim provided sufficient information as to cause of injury.</i>	
Colon v. Commissioner of Correction	30
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to adequately explain state's plea offer and by failing to oversee petitioner's cooperation with law enforcement in effort to reduce sentence; whether petitioner established that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance.</i>	
Dean v. Kahn	58
<i>Declaratory judgment; implied easement; whether there was sufficient evidence in record to support trial court's conclusion that implied easement existed over subject property in favor of plaintiff's property; whether trial court, on basis of circumstantial evidence presented, reasonably and logically could have inferred that parties to relevant conveyance intended to create implied easement and that easement was reasonably necessary for use and normal enjoyment of plaintiff's property; whether trial court improperly considered, as matter of law, evidence of use of subject property other than use that existed at or close to time of conveyance; whether fact that parties to relevant conveyance expressly set forth in deed common driveway and mutual boundary easements precluded trial court from finding existence of additional easement by implication.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co., Trustee v. Savvoulides (Memorandum Decision) . . .	901
Doyle v. Universal Underwriters Ins. Co.	9
<i>Underinsured motorist benefits; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment and determined that doctrine of collateral estoppel barred relitigation of amount of damages awarded to plaintiff in binding arbitration proceeding; whether issue of total compensatory damages resulting from motor vehicle collision was actually litigated and necessarily determined in prior binding arbitration proceeding.</i>	
Estela v. Bristol Hospital, Inc.	196
<i>Accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592 [a]); whether trial court abused its discretion in determining applicability of § 52-592 (a); whether it was proper for trial court to address applicability of § 52-592 (a) through motion to bifurcate; claim that defendant waived right to challenge applicability of § 52-592 (a) by failing to previously raise statute of limitations as special defense; whether trial court applied correct standard in determining applicability of § 52-592 (a) to present action; whether trial court's findings as to conduct that led to judgment of nonsuit in prior action were clearly erroneous; reviewability of claim that § 52-592 (a) applies to any judgment of nonsuit.</i>	
Recycling, Inc. v. Commissioner of Energy & Environmental Protection	127
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal from decision by defendant Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection denying application for individual recycling permit and revoking general permit to operate recycling facility; whether substantial evidence supported hearing officer's finding of pattern or practice of noncompliance by plaintiff with permit requirements, in violation of statute (§ 22a-6m [a]), so as to warrant revocation of general permit registration and denial of application for individual permit; claim that denial of permit application was not warranted even if plaintiff's compliance history demonstrated pattern of noncompliance; whether it was abuse of discretion to deny permit application and revoke general</i>	

	<i>permit registration; claim that trial court improperly upheld defendant's decision because hearing officer failed to apply correct standard of review; claim that hearing officer abused discretion by excluding evidence of prior enforcement actions by Department of Energy and Environmental Protection against other waste facilities; whether trial court's finding that there was no bias on part of administrative adjudicators was clearly erroneous; whether plaintiff overcame presumption that administrative agents acting in adjudicative capacity are not biased.</i>	
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction		160
	<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; pretrial confinement credit; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner failed to meet his burden of proving that there was reasonable probability that, but for trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance during plea proceeding, he would not have accepted plea offer and instead would have gone to trial.</i>	
Stack v. Hartford Distributors, Inc.		22
	<i>Arbitration; whether trial court properly rendered judgment granting application for order to proceed to arbitration regarding termination of plaintiff's employment; claim that termination of plaintiff's employment did not involve dispute arising out of interpretation or enforcement of parties' employment agreement and, therefore, that arbitration provision contained in that agreement was not applicable; claim that employment contract was void and unenforceable; whether issue of validity of employment contract should be considered by arbitrator in first instance where party did not challenge arbitration clause in employment agreement.</i>	
Stanley v. State's Attorney (Memorandum Decision)		901
State v. Bush		108
	<i>Sale of narcotics; sale of narcotics within 1500 feet of school; conspiracy to sell narcotics; whether trial court abused its discretion when it failed to grant defendant's request to represent himself and suggested that his trial counsel continue to represent him through voir dire; claim that jury was misled by trial court's instructions on conspiracy charge; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury on elements of possession of narcotics and possession of narcotics with intent to sell; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury to determine which of underlying charged crimes defendant had conspired to commit; whether trial court improperly sentenced defendant to twenty years incarceration on conspiracy conviction, where most serious crime of which he was convicted that was proved to have been object of conspiracy carried maximum possible prison sentence of fifteen years; vacation of sentence on conspiracy conviction.</i>	
State v. Grant		81
	<i>Manlaughter in first degree with firearm; assault in first degree; harmless error; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting certain witness' testimony and portions of defendant's statements to police indicating that defendant was involved in sale of drugs; whether admission of subject evidence was harmless; whether defendant demonstrated that admission of subject evidence had significant impact on jury's verdict; claim that trial court abused its discretion in permitting state to elicit testimony from witness that he had observed defendant carrying firearm on prior occasion; whether any alleged error in admission of witness' statement was harmless.</i>	
State v. Jackson		40
	<i>Assault in first degree; tampering with witness; claim that evidence was insufficient to prove defendant's identity as perpetrator of stabbing to support conviction of assault in first degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of tampering with witness; whether trial court reasonably could have found that defendant attempted to induce witness to testify falsely; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss tampering with witness charges; claim that state violated separation of powers doctrine when it added witness tampering charges to substitute information without judicial determination as to whether probable cause existed for added offenses; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and abused its discretion when it prevented him from asking witness certain questions on recross-examination.</i>	
State v. Jin		185
	<i>Conspiracy to commit burglary in third degree; whether trial court lacked jurisdiction to consider motion to open judgment of conviction following imposition of</i>	

sentence; reviewability of claims that trial court improperly denied application for accelerated rehabilitation program and that trial court erred in determining that defendant received effective assistance of counsel; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court had jurisdiction to correct imposition of illegal sentence pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 43-22) where defendant did not file motion to correct illegal sentence.

State v. Mukhtaar 1

Murder; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motions to correct illegal sentence and to allow expert witness to testify; claim that defendant's chronological age at time of crime was not representative of mental age; claim that trial court should have applied rationale of Miller v. Alabama (567 U.S. 460) and its progeny to adult defendant whose mental age, at time of crime, was not substantially different from that of juvenile; whether trial court was required under Miller necessarily and expressly to take defendant's mental state into consideration at sentencing where defendant was twenty years old at time of crime; whether defendant set forth colorable claim for relief under Miller; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly denied motion to allow expert testimony.

State v. Stanley (Memorandum Decision) 901

Tirado v. Torrington. 95

Allegedly improper tax assessment of plaintiff's motor vehicle; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court incorrectly determined that statute (§ 12-119) governing applications for relief when property has been wrongfully assessed applied to plaintiff's claim; whether trial court correctly determined that statute (§ 12-117a) governing appeals to Superior Court from municipal boards of assessment appeals applied to plaintiff's claim; whether plaintiff failed to exhaust her available administrative remedies before appealing to Superior Court; claim that plaintiff did not receive notice of defendant's certificate of change and tax assessment in time to challenge assessment.