Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 181

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Altama, LLC *v.* Napoli Motors, Inc. 151
Summary process; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment against defendant on theory of liability not alleged in complaint; whether complaint sufficiently alleged that plaintiff initiated summary process action for lapse of time; claim that court improperly concluded that subject lease had terminated for lapse of time; whether trial court's finding that term of lease had expired was clearly erroneous.

Berka *v.* Middletown 159
Administrative appeal; whether trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal because plaintiff failed to name in administrative citation agency that rendered final decision challenged in appeal; whether dismissal of administrative appeal was proper where plaintiff failed to serve agency that rendered final decision challenged in appeal.

Cator *v.* Commissioner of Correction. 167
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal with respect to claim that appellate counsel provided ineffective assistance in failing to raise claims of instructional error and evidentiary insufficiency; unpreserved claim that appellate counsel should have raised claim that trial court improperly read to jury entire statutory (§ 53a-3 [11]) definition of intent when crimes with which petitioner was charged required instructions only as to specific intent; whether appellate counsel acted reasonably by not raising claim that evidence was insufficient to prove that petitioner was guilty of murder as accessory and conspiracy to commit murder; claim that appellate counsel improperly failed to raise claim that evidence was insufficient with respect to charge of felony murder; claim that petitioner's due process rights were violated when trial court erroneously instructed jury as to intent; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner's due process claim was subject to procedural default.

Chioffi *v.* Martin 111
Breach of fiduciary duty; whether trial court properly found that defendant breached provision of partnership agreement governing distribution of revenues; whether trial court improperly concluded that defendant breached partnership agreement when he assigned corporate accounts receivable and works in progress to new law firm he had formed; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it ordered direct payment from defendant to plaintiff rather than reduction in defendant's capital account; whether award of attorney's fees had to be vacated; whether trial court improperly failed to conclude that defendant breached fiduciary duty to plaintiff; claim that trial court abused its discretion in its method of calculating damages; claim that trial court committed clear error or abused its discretion in finding that plaintiff waived claim for accounting.

Desmond *v.* Yale-New Haven Hospital, Inc. 201
Statutory theft; motion to strike; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly determined that counts of substitute complaint were barred by exclusivity provision of Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.); whether trial court improperly denied request for leave to amend substitute complaint to add claim for retaliatory discrimination pursuant to statute (§ 31-290a); whether trial court considered proper pleading when it denied plaintiff's request for leave to amend.

GMAC Mortgage, LLC *v.* Demelis 101
Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; motion for articulation; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion to dismiss and motion for articulation; whether court order, which stated that failure to comply would result in dismissal, was self-executing; whether, in event of noncompliance with court order, further action of court was still required to render judgment of dismissal; whether court's decision denying motion to dismiss was consistent with policy preference to bring about trial on merits; whether trial court properly exercised discretion in

favor of resolving case on merits; whether delay in resolution of case was attributed solely to original plaintiff; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion for articulation when defendant failed to file motion for review pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 66-7).

Jobe *v.* Commissioner of Correction 236

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed habeas petition for lack of jurisdiction where petitioner was no longer in custody when petition was filed.

McMahon *v.* Middletown 68

Contracts; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; reviewability of claim that trial court violated statute (§ 52-178) when it denied plaintiff's counsel permission to ask leading questions of three allegedly adverse parties on direct examination.

Osborn *v.* Waterbury 239

Negligence; action for damages for personal injuries to minor child who was injured during lunchtime recess at elementary school; claim that trial court improperly found that defendants breached standard of care when it determined that one student intern and three or four staff members were insufficient to control as many as four hundred students on playground; whether expert testimony was required as to standard of care regarding number of supervisors needed to ensure safety of elementary school students on playground; whether plaintiffs were required to produce expert testimony on standard of care and to show how defendants breached standard.

Randazzo *v.* Sakon 80

Contracts; whether defendant was bound to reimburse plaintiff for property taxes assessed on portion of plaintiff's land that was subject to defendant's easement under terms of easement agreement that was recorded in land records; whether trial court properly applied six year statute of limitations (§ 52-576 [a]) for breach of contract actions rather than three year statute of limitations (§ 52-598a) for indemnification actions; whether defendant, by accepting easement, became contractually bound by its terms, including payment of taxes; claim that statute of frauds barred plaintiff's action because defendant did not sign easement agreement; claim that no property tax could be imposed on easement area because municipalities generally cannot assess easements separately from dominant estate; claim that defendant's commercial tenant should share in tax reimbursement to plaintiff.

State *v.* Andaz 228

Violation of probation; claim that defendant's due process right to fair notice of charges against him was violated by state's filing of substitute information changing underlying basis for violation of probation six days prior to probation revocation hearing; whether defendant's unpreserved claim satisfied third prong of test set forth in State *v.* Golding *(213 Conn. 233); whether defendant received adequate notice of ground on which he ultimately was found to have violated his probation.*

State *v.* Frazier 1

Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; whether evidence was sufficient to prove that defendant was intoxicated due to alcohol consumption; whether trial court abused its discretion by concluding that defense counsel opened door to admission of certain testimony; unpreserved claim that trial court infringed on defendant's right to testify; reviewability of claim that defendant was prematurely forced to make decision about whether to testify when court canvassed him prior to conclusion of state's case-in-chief.

State *v.* Liebenguth 37

Breach of peace in second degree; tampering with witness; whether evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace in second degree; whether victim was likely to retaliate with immediate violence to defendant's conduct; whether defendant's racial slurs were fighting words; whether evidence adduced at trial was sufficient to support conviction of tampering with witness; whether defendant intended to induce victim to absent himself from court proceeding; whether state was required to prove, and trial court required to find, that defendant directly threatened victim in order to establish that defendant sought to induce victim not to testify.

State *v.* Rivera 215

Capital felony; conspiracy to commit murder; claim that trial court abused its discretion in refusing to admit into evidence certain statements made by cocon-

spirator under residual exception to hearsay rule; whether trial court properly concluded that statements lacked trustworthiness and reliability that are required for admission under residual exception to hearsay rule; whether defendant demonstrated that allegedly improper exclusion of statements was harmful.