

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 178**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Dixon v. East Coast Music Mall (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Frauenglass & Associates, LLC v. Enagbare . . . . .	35
<i>Contracts; action to recover unpaid legal fees; whether claims regarding attorney's fees charged by plaintiff in underlying dissolution proceeding constituted improper collateral attack on underlying judgment; reviewability of claim of newly discovered evidence of fraudulent conduct by plaintiff; failure to file motion to open underlying judgment on basis of fraud; reviewability of claim made for first time on appeal.</i>	
James v. Blackburn (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Kellman v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	63
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to meaningfully present and explain pretrial plea offer from state; whether habeas court's finding that petitioner failed to demonstrate that he was prejudiced by counsel's allegedly deficient performance during pretrial plea negotiations was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses; whether petitioner established that it was reasonably probable that he would have accepted plea offer; whether habeas court improperly determined that petitioner failed to establish claim that trial counsel was ineffective by employing deficient trial strategy that pursued extreme emotional disturbance defense at trial, without consulting with expert on that defense prior to trial; whether habeas court's finding that trial counsel's decision not to retain expert witness in pursuing extreme emotional disturbance defense was reasonable strategic decision was clearly erroneous; whether petitioner demonstrated that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance in pursuing extreme emotional disturbance defense without consulting with expert witness.</i>	
Samakaab v. Dept. of Social Services. . . . .	52
<i>Employment discrimination; claim that defendant discriminated against plaintiff on basis of age, sex, national origin and prior opposition to unlawful employment practices in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.); whether trial court properly determined that insufficient facts were presented to support prima facie case of discrimination or retaliation; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Sovereign Bank v. Licata . . . . .	82
<i>Foreclosure; mootness; appellate jurisdiction; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction and appeal was moot because defendant's interest in property had been extinguished after law days passed; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to defendant; whether foreclosure judgment had been stayed by appeal from counterclaim; whether initial appellate stay of execution that arose when foreclosure judgment was rendered expired after appeal period for that judgment had run; whether there was appellate stay in effect with respect to foreclosure judgment when law days began to run; whether absolute title to property transferred to plaintiff as matter of law after all law days expired; whether final judgment disposing of counterclaim is separate and distinct from judgment on associated complaint; whether foreclosure judgment gave rise to distinct appeal period and appellate stay that was not affected by stay that resulted due to appeal from judgment on counterclaim.</i>	
State v. Gill . . . . .	43
<i>Murder; sufficiency of evidence; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to prove that defendant intended to cause victim's death; consciousness of guilt evidence.</i>	
State v. Jackson . . . . .	16
<i>Murder; claim that trial court committed plain error in failing to give special accomplice credibility instruction regarding testimony of two witnesses; whether defendant failed to establish indisputable instructional error on part of trial</i>	

*court that was so clear and obvious as to require extraordinary remedy of reversal, as required under first prong of plain error doctrine; whether claim failed second prong of plain error doctrine because it did not result in manifest injustice; whether jury was presumed to have followed trial court's instructions.*

State v. Manning (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 902

State v. Myers . . . . . 102

*Murder; reviewability of claim that defendant was harmed by trial court's improper exclusion from evidence of video interview of witness who was unavailable to testify where claim was raised for first time in reply brief; claim that harm resulting from court's allegedly erroneous ruling was implicit in defendant's principal brief such that defendant did not need to brief and analyze harmfulness of ruling in principal brief.*

State v. Stallworth (Memorandum Decision). . . . . 901

State v. Torres . . . . . 29

*Murder; claim that certain portions of trial court's instruction to jury on reasonable doubt constituted plain error; claim that cumulative effect of subject portions of instruction constituted plain error; whether Appellate Court was bound by Supreme Court precedent.*

Stephen J. R. v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 1

*Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for certification to appeal after erroneously concluding that trial counsel had provided effective assistance despite decision not to consult with and present testimony of expert on false memory syndrome in child sexual assault cases.*

Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision). . . . . 902