

\*\*\*\*\*

The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of this opinion is the date the opinion was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion.

This opinion is subject to revisions and editorial changes, not of a substantive nature, and corrections of a technical nature prior to publication in the Connecticut Law Journal.

\*\*\*\*\*

IN RE AVA W.—CONCURRENCE

MULLINS, J., concurring. I agree with the result. In the present case, it was the child who originally sought posttermination visitation. The child consistently requested posttermination visitation throughout the termination proceeding and joins the respondent's appeal, asserting that the trial court has authority to consider that request for posttermination visitation. For that reason, I agree with the majority that the court in the present case had the authority to consider posttermination visitation orders under General Statutes § 46b-121 (b) (1).

Accordingly, I concur in the majority opinion.

---