

CLIENT SECURITY FUND COMMITTEE
PUBLIC MEETING, JULY 15, 2010
100 WASHINGTON STREET
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

MINUTES

Members Participating:

Justice Joette Katz
Attorney Robert S. Bello
Attorney Maureen Dewan
Attorney Kathleen Eldergill
Judge Carmen E. Espinosa
Mr. Stephen J. Grasso
Attorney John J. Houlihan
Ms. Johanna Kimball
Attorney David A. Moraghan
Mr. David J. Sullivan, III

Also Present:

Attorney Christopher G. Blanchard
Mr. Kim H. Odell
Ms. Kristy Tiede

The meeting commenced at 2:07 p.m.

I. OLD BUSINESS

A.) Minutes of Meeting, May 27, 2010

The minutes of the meeting of May 27, 2010 were unanimously approved as drafted.

II. NEW BUSINESS

A.) Practice Book Section 2-65, Federal and Out of State Judges

The committee considered materials provided by Attorney Blanchard in connection with an inquiry from the office of the Statewide Bar Counsel regarding the recent amendment to Practice Book Section 2-65 that requires an attorney to have complied with Practice Book Section 2-70, the client security fund fee rule, in order to be considered in good standing in the Connecticut bar. The inquiry concerned the application of the

amendment to federal and out of state judges. Attorney Blanchard discussed the committee's policy adopted in 1999 that Practice Book Section 2-70 did not specifically cover federal and out of state judges with respect to consequences for non-payment of the fee. After considering the matter, the committee determined that for purposes of consistency with its prior policy, its position would remain that Practice Book Section 2-70 does not cover federal or out of state judges for purposes of determining that judge's "good standing" in the Connecticut bar pursuant to Practice Book Section 2-65. However, Attorney Blanchard was directed to request information from the Legal Services office of the Judicial Branch regarding how other jurisdictions handle the payment of similar fees by federal and out of state judges, and information on issues of federalism that may be relevant to consideration of the issue, for purposes of determining whether a proposal to amend the Practice Book rules might be appropriate to clarify the matter.

III. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 2:39 p.m., with a meeting to consider matters exempt from F.O.I. and confidential pursuant to Practice Book Section 2-76 following immediately thereafter.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher G. Blanchard
Staff Attorney