The Chair, Hon. Anne C. Dranginis (Ret.), called the public portion of the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. (EDT). Present were Richard F. Banbury, Raymond L. Baribeault, Jr., Eric M. Gross, Karen L. Karpie, Hon. C. Ian McLachlan (Ret.), Denise Martino Phelan, Robert D. Silva, Hon. Elliot Solomon, Frederic S. Ury, Matthew Wax-Krell, and Michael J. Whelton. Present by invitation were: Jessica F. Kallipolites, Administrative Director; Lisa Valko, Assistant Administrative Director; and Assistant Dean Monica Maldonado, Yale Law School.

Upon motion duly made by Mr. Banbury, seconded by the Chair, it was voted unanimously to accept and record the minutes of the public session of the Regular Meeting of May 6, 2016.

At 10:18 a.m., members Alex Simonetti and Hon. Nina Elgo arrived.

Discussion was had regarding proposed regulation amendments, whether the Uniform Bar Examination (UBE) should be adopted and whether the current cut score of 264 should be increased, how high the cut score should be increased and the potential pros and cons of increasing the cut score at the time the UBE might be adopted versus increasing it after the UBE has been adopted and UBE transfer scores have been accepted for a period of time. Upon motion duly made by Ms. Karpie, seconded by Mr. Whelton, a majority voted against raising the cut score to 268 contingent upon the adoption of the UBE by a vote of 4 to 10 with Mr. Gross, Ms. Karpie, Justice McLachlan, and Mr. Whelton voting in favor.

Upon motion duly made by the Chair, seconded by Judge Solomon, a majority voted in favor of adopting the UBE by accepting the regulation amendments as proposed by a vote of 8 to 6 with Mr. Baribeault, Mr. Gross, Ms. Karpie, Justice McLachlan, Ms. Simonetti, and Mr. Whelton voting against.

Upon motion duly made by Ms. Phelan, seconded by Justice McLachlan, a majority voted in favor of raising the cut score from 264 to 266 by a vote of 10 to 4 with Mr. Banbury, Mr. Silva, Ms. Simonetti, and Mr. Wax-Krell voting against.

At 11:24 a.m., member Mr. Silva left the meeting.

Discussion was had regarding the proposal for a new regulation (Article IV-3) addressing the MPRE/ethics course requirement as applied to law professors at Connecticut law schools for admission on motion. The normal time requirement for satisfaction of the MPRE/ethics course requirement would be relaxed for full time law professors and clinical fellows at an accredited Connecticut law school. Upon motion duly made by Justice McLachlan, seconded by Mr. Ury, a majority voted to adopt the
proposed regulation as presented by a vote of 9 to 4 with Ms. Karpie, Ms. Phelan, Ms. Simonetti, and Mr. Whelton voting against.

Upon motion duly made by Justice McLachlan, seconded by Mr. Whelton, a majority voted against sending the amendments made to the regulations adopting the UBE at the meeting to the Rules Committee by a vote of 5 to 8 with Mr. Baribeault, Ms. Karpie, Justice McLachlan, Ms. Simonetti, and Mr. Whelton voting in favor.

Upon motion duly made by the Chair, seconded by Mr. Whelton, it was voted unanimously to adjourn the public portion of the meeting at 11:36 a.m. (EDT) and to reconvene in the non-public portion of the meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

___________________________
RICHARD F. BANBURY
Secretary Pro Tem