

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 28 January 9, 2018 147 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

State v. Bush (replacement pages), 325 C 277–278	iii
State v. Bush (replacement pages), 325 C 297–298	v

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Boykin v. State, 179 CA 175	83
<i>Personal injury; defective highway; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; sovereign immunity; whether written notice of claim provided pursuant to state highway defect statute (§ 13a-144) was patently defective; whether notice of claim provided sufficient information as to cause of injury.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co., Trustee v. Savvoulides (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 901	133
Estela v. Bristol Hospital, Inc., 179 CA 196	104
<i>Accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592 (a)); whether trial court abused its discretion in determining applicability of § 52-592 (a); whether it was proper for trial court to address applicability of § 52-592 (a) through motion to bifurcate; claim that defendant waived right to challenge applicability of § 52-592 (a) by failing to previously raise statute of limitations as special defense; whether trial court applied correct standard in determining applicability of § 52-592 (a) to present action; whether trial court's findings as to conduct that led to judgment of nonsuit in prior action were clearly erroneous; reviewability of claim that § 52-592 (a) applies to any judgment of nonsuit.</i>	
Recycling, Inc. v. Commissioner of Energy & Environmental Protection, 179 CA 127	35
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal from decision by defendant Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection denying application for individual recycling permit and revoking general permit to operate recycling facility; whether substantial evidence supported hearing officer's finding of pattern or practice of noncompliance by plaintiff with permit requirements, in violation of statute (§ 22a-6m (a)), so as to warrant revocation of general permit registration and denial of application for individual permit; claim that denial of permit application was not warranted even if plaintiff's compliance history demonstrated pattern of noncompliance; whether it was abuse of discretion to deny permit application and revoke general permit registration; claim that trial court improperly upheld defendant's decision because hearing officer failed to apply correct standard of review; claim that hearing officer abused discretion by excluding evidence of prior enforcement actions by Department of Energy and Environmental Protection against other waste facilities; whether trial court's finding that there was no bias on part of administrative adjudicators was clearly erroneous; whether plaintiff overcame presumption that administrative agents acting in adjudicative capacity are not biased.</i>	
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction, 179 CA 160	68
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; pretrial confinement credit; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner failed to meet his burden of proving that there was reasonable probability that, but for trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance during plea proceeding, he would not have accepted plea offer and instead would have gone to trial.</i>	

(continued on next page)

State v. Bush, 179 CA 108 16
Sale of narcotics; sale of narcotics within 1500 feet of school; conspiracy to sell narcotics; whether trial court abused its discretion when it failed to grant defendant's request to represent himself and suggested that his trial counsel continue to represent him through voir dire; claim that jury was misled by trial court's instructions on conspiracy charge; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury on elements of possession of narcotics and possession of narcotics with intent to sell; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury to determine which of underlying charged crimes defendant had conspired to commit; whether trial court improperly sentenced defendant to twenty years incarceration on conspiracy conviction, where most serious crime of which he was convicted that was proved to have been object of conspiracy carried maximum possible prison sentence of fifteen years; vacation of sentence on conspiracy conviction.

State v. Jin, 179 CA 185 93
Conspiracy to commit burglary in third degree; whether trial court lacked jurisdiction to consider motion to open judgment of conviction following imposition of sentence; reviewability of claims that trial court improperly denied application for accelerated rehabilitation program and that trial court erred in determining that defendant received effective assistance of counsel; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court had jurisdiction to correct imposition of illegal sentence pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 43-22) where defendant did not file motion to correct illegal sentence.

Tirado v. Torrington, 179 CA 95 3
Allegedly improper tax assessment of plaintiff's motor vehicle; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court incorrectly determined that statute (§ 12-119) governing applications for relief when property has been wrongfully assessed applied to plaintiff's claim; whether trial court correctly determined that statute (§ 12-117a) governing appeals to Superior Court from municipal boards of assessment appeals applied to plaintiff's claim; whether plaintiff failed to exhaust her available administrative remedies before appealing to Superior Court; claim that plaintiff did not receive notice of defendant's certificate of change and tax assessment in time to challenge assessment.

State v. Bush (replacement pages), 156 CA 259-260 vii
Volume 179 Cumulative Table of Cases 135

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Application for Reinstatement to the Bar 1A

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.