

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 327

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

American First Federal, Inc. v. Gordon (Order)	909
Avery v. Medina (Order)	927
Bagley v. Adel Wiggins Group	89
<i>Product liability; action pursuant to Connecticut's Product Liability Act (§ 52-572m et seq.) alleging wrongful death of decedent based on his exposure to defendant's allegedly defective asbestos containing product; motion for directed verdict; motion to set aside verdict and for judgment notwithstanding verdict; whether expert testimony was necessary to prove plaintiff's strict liability and negligence claims; whether plaintiff proved through competent expert testimony that defendant's product was dangerous and that product's dangerous condition caused decedent to develop mesothelioma; whether court's holding compelled by law as it existed at time of trial or whether it stemmed from principles newly articulated in court's recent product liability jurisprudence, entitling plaintiff to new trial.</i>	
Bank of America, N.A. v. Fisher (Order)	903
Bank of America, N.A. v. Sabir (Order)	903
Bank of New York Mellon v. Lindsey (Order)	931
Bigelow v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Bozelko v. D'Albero (Order)	912
Brander v. Stoddard (Order)	928
Bueno v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Cadle Co. v. Ogalin (Order)	930
Cimino v. Cimino (Order)	929
Clinton S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	927
Dull v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930
Emerick v. Emerick (Order)	922
Financial Freedom Acquisition, LLC v. Griffin (Order)	931
Fitzpatrick v. U.S. Bank National Assn. (Order)	902
Freeman v. A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. (Order)	927
Gordon v. Gordon (Order)	904
Haughey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906
Heinonen v. Gupton (Order)	902
Hutterly v. Miller (Order)	904
Hynes v. Jones (Order)	930
In re Elianah T.-T. (Order)	912
Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Ionescu v. Stratford (Order)	901
James v. Commissioner of Correction	24
<i>Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)	908
Kruger v. Grauer (Order)	901
Levanti v. Conway (Order)	919
Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order)	920
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)	908
New Haven Parking Authority v. Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order)	928
OneWest Bank, FSB v. Gnazzo (Order)	922
Pascarella v. Silver (Order)	931
Pires v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Pronovost v. Tierney (Order)	922
R.T. Vanderbilt Co. v. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co. (Orders)	923, 925
Ramos v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904

Reserve Realty, LLC v. BLT Reserve, LLC (Order)	911
Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC (Orders)	910, 911
Rogers v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Santos v. Stratford (Order)	926
Sinchak v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
Sousa v. Sousa (Order)	906
State v. Ames (Order)	908
State v. Andino (Order)	906
State v. Holley (Order)	907
State v. Jones (Order)	905
State v. Joseph (Order)	912
State v. Lewis (Order)	925
State v. Pelella	1
<i>Threatening second degree; appeal by state on granting of permission; first amendment to United States constitution; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss charges; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that law required alleged threat to be imminent for it to constitute true threat; whether trial court improperly viewed evidence before it in light most favorable to defendant in ruling on motion to dismiss; whether issue of whether statement constituted true threat was for jury to decide.</i>	
State v. Purcell (Order)	921
State v. Reddick (Order)	921
State v. Sampson (Order)	920
State v. Smith (Order)	910
State v. Toro (Order)	905
State v. Torres (Order)	910
State v. Wiggins (Order)	908
Stones Trail, LLC v. Weston (Orders)	926
Szymonik v. Szymonik (Order)	903
Thorpe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Townsend v. Hardy (Order)	909
Wells Fargo Bank, National Assn. v. Tarzia (Order)	928
Wellswood Columbia, LLC v. Hebron	53
<i>Action to recover damages for temporary taking, temporary nuisance, and tortious interference with business expectancies; whether doctrine of res judicata barred plaintiffs' action; whether takings claim accrued after injunction had been issued in first action; whether accrual of takings claim was postponed because extent of plaintiffs' damages was uncertain; claim that defendant town's road closure constituted temporary nuisance or continuing or recurrent wrong; claim that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiffs had sustained losses prior to first action for purposes of tortious interference with business expectancies claim; whether policies underlying res judicata supported its application in present case.</i>	