

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Reports**  
**Volume 326**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Abreu v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	901
Antwon W. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	909
Barton v. Norwalk. . . . .	139
<i>Inverse condemnation; certification from Appellate Court; whether defendant city's condemnation of parking lot used by tenants substantially destroyed plaintiff property owner's use and enjoyment of subject property; whether claim of highest and best use in previous direct condemnation proceeding barred claim of inverse condemnation predicated on different use under doctrine of judicial estoppel.</i>	
Brenmor Properties, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission . . . . .	55
<i>Zoning; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly sustained plaintiff developer's administrative appeal from defendant planning and zoning commission's denial of application for affordable housing subdivision pursuant to statute (§ 8-30g); whether, in light of commission's concession regarding applicable standard of review, trial court abused its discretion by remanding matter with direction to approve plaintiff's application as presented; standard of review applicable to trial court's affordable housing remedy under § 8-30g, discussed.</i>	
Brian S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	904
Brown v. Njoku (Order) . . . . .	901
Channing Real Estate, LLC v. Gates. . . . .	123
<i>Action to recover on promissory notes; motion to preclude certain evidence; claim that, although Appellate Court properly concluded that parol evidence rule barred introduction of extrinsic evidence to vary terms of notes, that court improperly remanded case for new trial rather than directing judgment for plaintiff and restricting proceedings on remand to hearing in damages; parol evidence rule, discussed; claim that defendant lacked standing to pursue claim alleging violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether member of limited liability company has standing to bring action on basis of injury allegedly suffered by limited liability company.</i>	
DeEsso v. Litzie (Order) . . . . .	913
Fairfield Merrittview Ltd. Partnership v. Norwalk (Order). . . . .	901
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Lawson (Order) . . . . .	902
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Morneau (Order) . . . . .	913
Giuca v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). . . . .	903
Green v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	907
Hull v. Hull (Order) . . . . .	909
Keller v. Keller (Order) . . . . .	912
Maturo v. State Employees Retirement Commission . . . . .	160
<i>Administrative appeal; whether defendant State Employees Retirement Commission properly interpreted statutory provision (§ 7-438 [b]) of Municipal Employees' Retirement Act (§ 7-425 et seq.) to bar retired member from collecting pension while he was reemployed by municipality in nonparticipating position; statutory framework of act establishing and governing municipal employees retirement system, discussed; claim that position of mayor of East Haven did not constitute employment and mayor was not employee for purposes of act; claim that § 7-438 (b) evidences legislative intent to preclude member from receiving pension only while reemployed in position designated by town as participating in retirement system; whether statute (§ 7-432 [g]) providing for application to medical examining board for reconsideration of eligibility for disability retirement was applicable to plaintiff's claim; claim that legislature acquiesced in defendant's prior interpretation of act; claim that trial court should have deferred to nonbinding opinion letter of attorney general recommending that defendant not deviate from prior interpretation of act, specifically its interpretation of § 7-438 (b), in absence of further legislative direction.</i>	

Micek-Holt v. Papageorge (Order) . . . . .	915
Middlebury v. Connecticut Siting Council . . . . .	40
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiffs' appeal from decision of defendant siting council granting petition to open and modify certificate for operation of electric generating facility; whether trial court improperly determined that council adequately had considered neighborhood concerns as required by statute (§ 16-50p [c] [1]) in granting petition; statutory construction, discussed; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs had abandoned their due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate briefing; whether plaintiffs' claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs had abandoned due process and substantial evidence claims due to inadequate briefing was moot because plaintiffs failed to challenge on appeal trial court's alternative conclusions rejecting those claims on merits.</i>	
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) . . . . .	905
New Haven Parking Authority v. Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order) . . . . .	912
O'Brien v. O'Brien . . . . .	81
<i>Marital dissolution; motion for contempt for plaintiff's purported violation of court's automatic orders effective during pendency of dissolution proceeding and appeal from judgment of dissolution on basis of certain stock transactions that plaintiff executed without defendant's consent or court order; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court improperly had considered, in making its financial orders, plaintiff's violations of automatic orders stemming from his decision to conduct certain stock transactions; whether court may remedy harm caused by another party's violation of court order, even without finding of contempt; claim that trial court's financial award was erroneous because it was excessive and based on improper method for valuing loss to marital estate; whether court had discretion to consider value that stocks and options would have had at time of remand trial; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that plaintiff's stock transactions did not violate automatic orders because those transactions were made in usual course of business; whether trial court's conclusion that stock options plaintiff exercised were marital property subject to distribution between parties was clearly erroneous; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that trial court's award of retroactive alimony was improper because it purportedly required plaintiff to pay arrearage out his share of marital assets, thereby effectively reducing his share of property distribution.</i>	
PMG Land Associates, L.P. v. Harbour Landing Condominium Assn. (Order) . . . . .	911
Reese v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	907
Rosa v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	905
Shipman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	908
Spiotti v. Wolcott . . . . .	190
<i>Employment discrimination; whether plaintiff's claims previously decided adversely to her by State Board of Mediation and Arbitration pursuant to collective bargaining agreement barred by doctrine of collateral estoppel; whether this court should overrule Genovese v. Gallo Wine Merchants, Inc. (226 Conn. 475); claim that Genovese should be overruled because it relied on legislative history to interpret statute (§ 31-51bb) pertaining to right of employee covered by collective bargaining agreement to pursue cause of action, and legislature subsequently enacted statute (§ 1-2z) requiring courts to interpret statutes pursuant to plain meaning rule; claim that this court should depart from principles of stare decisis and overrule Genovese.</i>	
State v. Baccala . . . . .	232
<i>Breach of peace second degree; claim that, because evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace second degree on basis of words that did not fall within narrow category of unprotected fighting words, conviction constituted violation of first amendment to federal constitution; scope and application of fighting words exception to protections of first amendment, discussed; whether words spoken by defendant under circumstances in which they were uttered were likely to provoke violent response.</i>	
State v. Bonds (Order) . . . . .	907
State v. Caballero (Order) . . . . .	903
State v. Chankar (Order) . . . . .	914
State v. Crenshaw (Order) . . . . .	911
State v. Henderson (Order) . . . . .	914

State v. Henry D. (Order) . . . . .	912
State v. Jerzy G. . . . .	206
<i>Application for pretrial program of accelerated rehabilitation pursuant to statute (§ 54-56e); sexual assault fourth degree; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; mootness; whether Appellate Court properly dismissed deported defendant's appeal as moot; State v. Aquino ( 279 Conn. 293), distinguished; collateral consequences doctrine, discussed; whether there was reasonable possibility of prejudicial collateral consequences resulting from trial court's orders terminating accelerated rehabilitation and ordering rearrest; claim that defendant must evince intention to reenter country in order to raise existence of collateral consequences above mere speculation.</i>	
State v. Kallberg . . . . .	1
<i>Larceny third degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit larceny third degree; motion to dismiss; certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss charges; whether Appellate Court improperly concluded that trial court's factual finding as to parties' intent was clearly erroneous; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of conviction on ground that prosecution of defendant was barred because nolle prosequi that had been entered on larceny charges had been part of global disposition agreement supported by consideration; unilateral entry of nolle prosequi and bilateral agreement involving entry of nolle prosequi, distinguished; claim that ambiguity in agreement between state and defendant must be construed against state.</i>	
State v. Killiebrew (Order) . . . . .	909
State v. Linder (Order) . . . . .	902
State v. Morel (Order) . . . . .	911
State v. Navarro (Orders) . . . . .	910
State v. Perez (Order) . . . . .	908
State v. Petion (Order) . . . . .	906
State v. Schovanec . . . . .	310
<i>Identity theft third degree; illegal use of credit card; credit card theft; larceny sixth degree; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury instruction on third-party culpability and excluded references to third-party culpability from argument; unpreserved claim that certain of defendant's convictions violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy.</i>	
State v. Seeley . . . . .	65
<i>Forgery second degree; supervisory authority over administration of justice; claim that waiver rule should be abandoned in context of bench trials; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant forged signature during purchase of automobile; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant acted with intent to deceive.</i>	
State v. Sinclair (Order) . . . . .	904
State v. Snowden (Order) . . . . .	903
State v. Williams (Order) . . . . .	913
State v. Williams-Bey (Order) . . . . .	920
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Nelson (Order) . . . . .	908
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Walbert (Order) . . . . .	902
Wells Fargo Bank v. Braca (Order) . . . . .	914
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Monaco (Order) . . . . .	905
William Raveis Real Estate, Inc. v. Zajackowski (Order) . . . . .	906