

\*\*\*\*\*

The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

\*\*\*\*\*

GAIL APRIL GREEN *v.* STEVEN J. DEFRANK  
(AC 32948)

Lavine, Alvord and Borden, Js.

Argued October 24—officially released November 29, 2011

(Appeal from Superior Court, judicial district of  
Ansonia-Milford, Keegan, J.)

*Gail April Green*, pro se, the appellant (plaintiff).

*Steven J. DeFrank*, for the appellee (defendant).

*Opinion*

PER CURIAM. The self-represented plaintiff, Gail April Green, commenced this action to dispute the attorney's fees paid from the proceeds of her workers' compensation award.<sup>1</sup> On appeal, the plaintiff claims that the trial court improperly granted the motion for summary judgment filed by the defendant, Steven J. DeFrank, one of several attorneys who represented her. In ruling on the motion for summary judgment, the court issued a memorandum of decision, which is a concise and thoughtful statement of the facts and the applicable law on the issue. See *Green v. DeFrank*, 52 Conn. Sup. 160, A.3d (2010). We therefore adopt the decision of the trial court as our own. It would serve no useful purpose for this court to repeat the discussion contained therein. See *Norfolk & Dedham Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. Wysocki*, 243 Conn. 239, 241, 702 A.2d 638 (1997).

The judgment is affirmed.

<sup>1</sup> General Statutes § 31-280 (b) (11) (C) provides that the chairman of the workers' compensation commission shall issue annually guidelines for the maximum fees payable to a claimant for legal services. The chairman of the workers' compensation commission has promulgated a 20 percent cap on attorney's fees. See [www.ctworkerscomplaw.com](http://www.ctworkerscomplaw.com); see also *Arcano v. Board of Education*, 81 Conn. App. 761, 769, 841 A.2d 742 (2004).

---