



STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
JUDICIAL BRANCH

---

**STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE**

Michael P. Bowler, *Statewide Bar Counsel*

287 Main Street  
Second Floor - Suite Two  
East Hartford, CT 06118-1885  
(860) 568-5157 Fax (860) 568-4953  
Judicial Branch Website: [www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

Attorney Mark A. Dubois  
Chief Disciplinary Counsel  
100 Washington Street  
Hartford, CT 06106

Attorney Morris I. Olmer  
419 Whalley Avenue, Suite 200  
New Haven, CT 06511

RE: Grievance Complaint #07-1222, Soto v. Olmer

Dear Chief Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent:

Pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(g), the undersigned, duly-appointed reviewing committee of the Statewide Grievance Committee, has reviewed the *Agreement to Order of Presentment* (hereinafter "*Agreement*") filed March 17, 2008 and submitted for approval in the above referenced matter. After careful consideration of the *Agreement* the undersigned hereby APPROVE the *Agreement*, a copy of which is attached hereto. Accordingly, the Respondent is hereby ordered presented to the Superior Court upon the terms set forth in the Agreement, and said presentment is to be consolidated with the matter presently pending before the court.


So ordered.

cc: Attorney William F. Gallagher  
Teresa Soto  
Attorney Michael A. Georgetti


(8)  
asc

DECISION DATE: 4/4/08

Grievance Complaint #07-1222  
Decision  
Page 2

  
Attorney Shari Bornstein

Grievance Complaint #07-1222  
Decision  
Page 3



---

Attorney Margarita Moore

1001 250

**STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE**

**GRIEVANCE NO. 07-1222**

**TERESA SOTO**  
Complainant

**Vs.**

**2-32**

**MORRIS OLMER**  
Respondent

**AGREEMENT TO ORDER OF PRESENTMENT**

Pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82(g), the undersigned Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel stipulate and agree as follows:

1. This matter was instituted by grievance complaint filed by the Complainant Statewide Grievance Committee on December 11, 2007 .
2. On January 22, 2008, the local Grievance Panel for the New Haven Judicial District found probable cause that the Respondent had violated Rules 1.15(b) and 8.4 (2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct Practice Book § 2-32 arising from his representation of the Complainant in the refinancing of a mortgage.
3. Respondent is the subject of an application for interim suspension pending before the court, Docket No. CV-06-4023617, Disciplinary Counsel v. Olmer , in the Judicial District of New Haven.
4. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent agree that an order of presentment may enter in the present matter.
5. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel agree that pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82 (g) that this order of presentment is agreed to for the purpose of consolidating this matter with the matter currently pending before the court.


WHEREFORE, this matter is submitted in accordance with Practice Book § 2-82 (g).

3/6/08

Date

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

By:



Patricia King

Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Respondent, Morris Olmer

3/11/08

Date

By:



William F. Gallagher, his attorney