



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
JUDICIAL BRANCH

STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

Michael P. Bowler, *Statewide Bar Counsel*

287 Main Street
Second Floor – Suite Two
East Hartford, CT 06118-1885
(860) 568-5157 Fax (860) 568-4953
Judicial Branch Website: www.jud.ct.gov

Attorney Mark A. Dubois
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
100 Washington Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Michael W. Kennedy
207 Miles River Court
P. O. Box 657
Edgewater, MD 21037

RE: Grievance Complaint #05-0694, Leach v. Kennedy

Dear Chief Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent:

Pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(g), the undersigned, duly-appointed reviewing committee of the Statewide Grievance Committee, has reviewed the *Agreement to Order of Presentment* (hereinafter "*Agreement*") filed February 1, 2008 and submitted for approval in the above referenced matter (the committee notes that the Agreement contains a scrivener's error in the file number, which should begin "05" rather than "07"). After careful consideration of the *Agreement* the undersigned hereby APPROVE the *Agreement*, a copy of which is attached hereto. Accordingly, the Respondent is hereby ordered presented to the Superior Court upon the terms set forth in the Agreement, and said presentment is to be consolidated with the matter presently pending before the court.

So ordered.

cc: Attorney William F. Dow
Murlin Leach
Attorney John Welch

(8)
asc

DECISION DATE: 4/4/08

Grievance Complaint #05-0694

Decision

Page 2


Attorney Shari Bornstein

Grievance Complaint #05-0694
Decision
Page 3



Attorney Margarita Moore

Grievance Complaint #05-0694
Decision
Page 4



Dr. Romeo Vidone

STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

GRIEVANCE NO. 07-0694

MURLINE LEACH
Complainant

Vs.

MICHAEL KENNEDY
Respondent

AGREEMENT TO ORDER OF PRESENTMENT

Pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82(g), the undersigned Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel stipulate and agree as follows:

1. This matter was instituted by grievance complaint filed by the Complainant Statewide Grievance Committee on May 25, 2005.
2. On September 7, 2007, the local Grievance Panel for the New Haven Judicial District /G.A. 7 found probable cause that the Respondent had violated Rules 1.4, and 8.4(4) of the Rules of Professional Conduct, arising from his representation of the Complainant in a matter involving a dispute concerning the purchase of an automobile.
3. Respondent is the subject of a disciplinary action pending before the court, Docket No. CV-05-4013371, Disciplinary Counsel v. Kennedy, in the Judicial District of New Haven.
4. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent agree that an order of presentment may enter in the present matter.
5. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel agree that pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82 (g) that this order of presentment is agreed to for the purpose of consolidating this matter with the matter currently pending before the court.


WHEREFORE, this matter is submitted in accordance with Practice Book § 2-82

(g).

1/30/08
Date

Office of Disciplinary Counsel


By:


Patricia King
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

1/25/18
Date

Respondent, Michael Kennedy

By:


William F. Dow, his attorney