



STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

www.jud.ct.gov/sgc/
Second Floor - Suite Two
287 Main Street, East Hartford, Connecticut 06118-1885

04/12/2013

OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY C
100 WASHINGTON STREET
HARTFORD CT 06106

ROGER G NIELSON
26 TERRACE LANE
P O BOX 403
BRISTOL

CT 06011-0403


RE: GRIEVANCE COMPLAINT #12-0460
KING vs. NIELSON

Dear Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel:

Enclosed herewith is the decision of the reviewing committee of the Statewide Grievance Committee concerning the above referenced matter. In accordance with the Practice Book Sections 2-35, 2-36 and 2-38(a), the Respondent may, within thirty (30) days of the date of this notice, submit to the Statewide Grievance Committee a request for review of the decision.

A request for review must be sent to the Statewide Grievance Committee at the address listed above.

Sincerely,


Michael P. Bowler

Encl.

cc: Attorney Richard T. Florentine
Attorney Donald E. Freeman
Patricia King

**NOTICE REGARDING DECISION
- PRESENTMENT -**

GRIEVANCE COMPLAINT # 12-0460

**THE ATTACHED DECISION IS PRESENTLY STAYED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH PRACTICE BOOK §2-35.**

SECTION 2-35 STATES, IN PART, AS FOLLOWS:

(e) ... Enforcement of the final decision ... shall be stayed for thirty days from the date of the issuance to the parties of the final decision. In the event the respondent timely submits to the statewide grievance committee a request for review of the final decision of the reviewing committee, such stay shall remain in full force and effect pursuant to Section 2-38(b).

Note: This stay terminates upon the issuance of a final decision by the Statewide Grievance Committee.

DECISION DATE: 4/19/13



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
JUDICIAL BRANCH

STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

Christopher L. Slack, *First Assistant Bar Counsel*

287 Main Street
Second Floor – Suite Two
East Hartford, CT 06118-1885
(860) 568-5157 Fax (860) 568-4953
Judicial Branch Website: www.jud.ct.gov

Attorney Patricia A. King
Chief Disciplinary Counsel
Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel
100 Washington Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Attorney Roger G. Nielson
26 Terrace Lane
Bristol, CT 06010

RE: Grievance Complaint #12-0460, Patricia A. King v. Roger G. Nielson

Dear Chief Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent:

Pursuant to Practice Book §2-82(g), the undersigned, duly-appointed reviewing committee of the Statewide Grievance Committee, have reviewed the *Agreement to Order of Presentment for Consolidation* (hereinafter "*Agreement*") filed December 13, 2012, and submitted for approval in the above referenced matter. After careful consideration of the *Agreement* and the entire record of the complaint, the undersigned hereby APPROVE the *Agreement*, a copy of which is attached hereto. Accordingly, the Respondent is hereby ordered presented to the Superior Court upon the terms set forth in the *Agreement*, and said presentment is to be consolidated with the matter presently pending before the court.

So ordered.

cc: Attorney Richard T. Florentine
Attorney Donald Freeman

(8)
jf

DECISION DATE: 4/12/13

Grievance Complaint #12-0460

Decision

Page 2




Attorney Nancy E. Fraser

Grievance Complaint #12-0460

Decision

Page 3


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'J. Foti', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with a large loop at the top and a long, thin tail extending downwards.

Attorney Joseph D. Foti

Grievance Complaint #12-0460

Decision

Page 4

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "V. Mauro, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Mr. Vincent Mauro, Jr.

STATEWIDE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

GRIEVANCE NO. 12-0460

PATRICIA KING
Complainant

Vs.

ROGER NIELSON
Respondent

AGREEMENT TO ORDER OF PRESENTMENT


Pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82(g), the undersigned Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel stipulate and agree as follows:

1. This matter was instituted by grievance complaint filed by the Complainant Statewide Grievance Committee on June 21, 2012.
2. On September 19, 2012, the local Grievance Panel for the New Britain Judicial District and Judicial District of Hartford found probable cause that the Respondent had violated Rules 1.15(b), and 8.4(4) of the Rules of Professional Conduct arising from his misappropriation of funds from an estate.
3. Respondent is the subject of an application for interim suspension pending before the court, Docket No. CV-12-6026507, Disciplinary Counsel v. Nielson, in the Judicial District of New Britain.
4. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent agree that an order of presentment may enter in the present matter.
5. Respondent and Disciplinary Counsel agree that pursuant to Practice Book § 2-82 (g) that this order of presentment is agreed to for the purpose of consolidating this matter with the matter currently pending before the court.

(g). WHEREFORE, this matter is submitted in accordance with Practice Book § 2-82


10/26/12
Date

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

By: 
Patricia King
Chief Disciplinary Counsel

October 25, 2012
Date

Respondent, Roger Nielson

By: 
Donald E. Freeman, his attorney